
Glyphosate Herbicide And The Subversive Conspiracy To Impose Reckless
And Arbitrary Prohibition Against This Safe And Effective Pest Control Product

**International
Agency For
Research On
Cancer (IARC)
No Longer Has
Credibility ?!?!**

FORCE OF NATURE | DARING TO DEFY WITH THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE
National Organization Responding Against HUE that seek to harm the Green Space and other industries (NORAN)



Why Only Enviro-Fanatics Pay Attention To IARC Claims ?!?!

IARC's Meta-Analysis Goes Looking For Problems Where None Exist

Coffee Is NOT Giving You Cancer, Any More Than Glyphosate Herbicide

November 2nd, 2015

American Council on Science and Health (ACSH)

Selected And Adapted Excerpts

Why Only Enviro-Fanatics Pay Attention To IARC Claims ?!?!

International Agency For Research On Cancer (IARC) No Longer Has Credibility ?!?!

If you were laughing at the notion that SAUSAGE IS JUST AS CARCINOGENIC AS CIGARETTES, you are NOT alone.

The United Nations' World Health Organization (WHO) is scrambling to repair the damage to what's left of the credibility of its International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) after its latest paper about PROCESSED MEAT.

But this IARC report is actually NO worse than any of their others.

While Pesticide-Hating Fanatics had jumped on claims about **GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (Roundup)** and DIESEL EMISSIONS and 400 other things declared to be carcinogens [?!?!], they would be wise to give this latest IARC report A WIDE BERTH.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION — GLYPHOSATE WILL NOT CAUSE CANCER — The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) is a mere subsidiary of World Health Organization (WHO). It is now common knowledge that glyphosate WILL NOT cause cancer. World Health Association (WHO) NEVER even issued a statement concerning glyphosate and cancer. IARC has NOT even classified glyphosate as **Group 1 - Carcinogenic To Humans**. However, IARC has arbitrarily classified glyphosate as **Group 2A - Probably Carcinogenic To Humans** on the basis of « *limited evidence* », clearly implying that its study is SEVERELY FLAWED, DISTORTED, and UNBALANCED. Nonetheless, the IARC's study has NOT classified glyphosate as **Group 1 - Carcinogenic To Humans**, which means glyphosate WILL NOT cause cancer.

Why Only Enviro-Fanatics Pay Attention To IARC Claims ?!?!

IARC's Meta-Analysis Goes Looking For Problems Where None Exist

Scientifically, these IARC reports are ALL SUSPECT, because they are META-ANALYSES, which are of LIMITED VALUE AT BEST.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION — META-ANALYSIS GOES LOOKING FOR PROBLEMS WHERE NONE EXIST — In statistics, a meta-analysis refers to methods focused on contrasting and combining results from different studies, in the hope of identifying patterns among study results, sources of disagreement among those results, or other interesting relationships that may come to light in the context of multiple studies. In other words, meta-analysis goes looking for problems where

none exist. The most severe fault in meta-analysis often occurs when the people doing the meta-analysis have an economic, social, or political agenda such as the conspiracy to prohibit pest control products. Meta-analysis, a mere statistical tool, is widely used in epidemiology. Epidemiology is the mere statistical study of diseases in humans. Epidemiology studies have been used to somehow validate every conspiracy against pest control products used in the urban landscape. Epidemiology studies have been used to somehow concoct imaginary links between pest control products and health issues, such as certain types of cancer and Parkinson's disease. Epidemiology studies are NOT to be trusted ! Indisputable and conclusive scientific research shows that, as reported through the vast toxicology database of Health Canada and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NO harm will occur when pest control products are used according to label directions. The risk assessment of pest control products indicates that they are practically-non-toxic. There is NOT ONE known illness or death from the proper use of pest control products used in the urban landscape.



Why Only Enviro-Fanatics Pay Attention To IARC Claims !?!

IARC Uses Studies That Meet A Very Narrow Set Of Criteria

Additionally, IARC reports has used studies that were HAND-PICKED by PARTICIPANTS WHO MEET A VERY NARROW SET OF CRITERIA.

For example, if you have ever consulted, then the IARC decrees you have a conflict-of-interest, but if you are the co-author of one of the papers under review, that is fine.

This has been a problem for decades.



Why Only Enviro-Fanatics Pay Attention To IARC Claims !?!

IARC Groups Of Chemical Agents With Alleged Carcinogenic Hazard (and NOT Risk)

To recap, IARC uses the following classification —

IARC Group 1	Carcinogenic To Humans
IARC Group 2A	Probably Carcinogenic To Humans
IARC Group 2B	Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans
IARC Group 3	NOT Classifiable As To Carcinogenicity
IARC Group 4	Probably NOT Carcinogenic

Since only one out of almost 1,000 compounds the IARC has ever looked has been deemed Probably NOT Carcinogenic (Group 4), it is given that if someone on a UN committee decides to look at your chemical, your company is going to be the subject of an environmental fund-raising campaign, or at least a rant by Pesticide-Hating Fanatics like The Food Babe.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION — A major problem with the IARC process is that it makes it ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE to assign a chemical agent to **Group 4 - Probably NOT Carcinogenic**. Of the roughly one thousand chemical agents evaluated by the agency, exactly ONE is in **Group 4 - Probably NOT Carcinogenic**.

Why Only Enviro-Fanatics Pay Attention To IARC Claims ???!

Coffee Is Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans ???!

Dr Geoffrey C Kabat is Cancer Epidemiologist at Albert Einstein College of Medicine, and Scientific Advisor at American Council on Science and Health (ACSH).

In 1991, Dr Kabat said IARC tackled COFFEE.

In 1991, COFFEE was declared by IARC as a **Group 2B - Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans** - because they found a WEAK RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COFFEE AND BLADDER CANCER.

As a result, every Starbucks in California contains a PROPOSITION 65 WARNING LABEL about COFFEE.

Though people drink COFFEE every day, more than ever, and therefore the population available for study is huge, the evidence shows that BLADDER CANCER IS NOT INCREASING, and never has.

As Dr Kabat notes, the weakness in IARC META-ANALYSES is NOT just BIAS among the participants on the panels and the papers they want to include, it is BIAS in the case-control studies the papers contain; people with serious illness will necessarily have more recall BIAS.

Who doesn't want to blame something for their cancer ?!?!

In the last few decades, Dr Kabat notes, other cohort studies with large groups have been done, and COFFEE consumption is, instead, correlated with a number of reduced cancers, including BLADDER CANCER — the thing that got COFFEE a warning label.

That is obviously nonsense.

When a committee gives a lot of weight to positive results and ignores negative results, almost anything will become a « *carcinogen* ».


The American Council on Science and Health has said this for decades.

So it's okay to eat some sausage at breakfast and drink a COFFEE also.

It is NOT giving you cancer, any more than the **GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (Roundup)** or your CELL PHONE is.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION — GLYPHOSATE WILL NOT CAUSE CANCER — On March 20th, 2015, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), a mere subsidiary of World Health Organization (WHO), arbitrarily classified glyphosate as **Group 2A - Probably Carcinogenic To Humans** on the basis of LIMITED EVIDENCE, rendering its study as severely flawed, distorted, and unbalanced. The IARC's anti-glyphosate study was a surprise because this herbicide is known for being one of the safest and most studied pesticides in the world. The IARC's study has NOT classified either coffee or glyphosate as **Group 1 - Carcinogenic To Humans**, which means both coffee and glyphosate WILL NOT cause cancer.

Glyphosate Herbicide And The Subversive Conspiracy To Impose Reckless
And Arbitrary Prohibition Against This Safe And Effective Pest Control Product



**The IARC Gives
Greater Weight
To Positive
Results Than To
Negative
Results, Even
When The
Latter Are From
Higher-Caliber
Studies**

FORCE OF NATURE | DARING TO DEFY WITH THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE
National Organization Responding Against HUIE that seek to harm the Green Space and other industries (NORAHG)



How Coffee & Meats Became Carcinogens

IARC's Classification System Is Seriously Flawed

Coffee Is Different From Glyphosate Herbicide

October 30th, 2015

Dr Geoffrey C Kabat

Slate

Selected And Adapted Excerpts

IARC's Classification System Is Seriously Flawed

Coffee Is Carcinogenic To Humans ?!?!

The POSSIBLE LINK BETWEEN COFFEE-DRINKING AND CANCER has been studied since 1971.

If COFFEE were carcinogenic, we would know it by now.

IARC's Classification System Is Seriously Flawed

Meats Are Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans ?!?!

In late October 2015, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified PROCESSED MEATS and RED MEAT as CARCINOGENIC OR PROBABLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS. [?!?!]

BACKGROUND INFORMATION — The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), a mere subsidiary of the World Health Organization, has evaluated the carcinogenicity of the consumption of RED MEAT and PROCESSED MEAT. After thoroughly reviewing [?!?!] the accumulated scientific literature, a Working Group of 22 experts from 10 countries convened by the IARC Monographs Programme classified THE CONSUMPTION OF RED MEAT as **Group 2A - Probably Carcinogenic To Humans** - based on LIMITED EVIDENCE that the consumption of red meat causes cancer in humans and strong mechanistic evidence supporting a carcinogenic effect. This association was observed mainly for colorectal cancer, but associations were also seen for pancreatic cancer and prostate cancer. PROCESSED MEAT was classified as **Group 1 - Carcinogenic To Humans**, based on SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE [?!?!] in humans that the consumption of processed meat causes colorectal cancer.

IARC has once again drawn attention to how difficult it is to convey to the public the state of scientific knowledge on these sorts of questions.

It also points out just how SKEWED AND CONFUSING is IARC's scheme for classifying carcinogens.

IARC's Classification System Is Seriously Flawed

IARC Groups Of Chemical Agents With Alleged Carcinogenic Hazard (and NOT Risk)

Other recent pronouncements by International Agency for Research on Cancer that have perplexed both scientists and the public have involved the **GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (Roundup)** and CELLPHONE « *RADIATION* ».

IARC reviews all available scientific evidence [**?!?!**] on a particular chemical, biological, or physical agent, and classifies potential carcinogenic hazards according to the following scheme —

IARC Group 1	Carcinogenic To Humans
IARC Group 2A	Probably Carcinogenic To Humans
IARC Group 2B	Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans
IARC Group 3	NOT Classifiable As To Carcinogenicity
IARC Group 4	Probably NOT Carcinogenic

Of the nearly 1,000 substances IARC has assessed over the years, **ONLY ONE** has been placed in **Group 4 - Probably NOT Carcinogenic**.

Thus, it appears that, in practice, IARC's scheme disposes against declaring that an agent is unlikely to be a carcinogenic hazard.

IARC's Classification System Is Seriously Flawed

Meats Are Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans !?! — Continued

The confusion and alarm set off by the announcement regarding PROCESSED MEATS stems from the inclusion of this hazard in **Group 1 - Carcinogenic To Humans**.

This puts PROCESSED MEAT in the same company as CIGARETTE SMOKE, ALCOHOL, ASBESTOS, SUNLIGHT, and CERTAIN VIRUSES.

However, the classification of **Group 1 - Carcinogenic To Humans** - DOES NOT MEAN that the hazard associated with consuming HOT DOGS, SAUSAGES, or BACON is comparable to SMOKING A PACK OF CIGARETTES EVERY DAY.

It merely reflects IARC's judgment that the scientific evidence on the CONSUMPTION OF THESE FOODS CAN CAUSE CERTAIN CANCERS IS STRONG.

But IARC's judgment SAYS NOTHING about the magnitude of the risk, or what level of consumption of these foods is dangerous.

It is clear that we are talking about A MUCH WEAKER AND SUBTLER RISK than that associated with CIGARETTE SMOKE.

IARC's Classification System Is Seriously Flawed

Coffee Is Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans !?!?

Beyond the misunderstandings and confusion caused by the broadcasting of this judgment regarding PROCESSED MEATS, other assessments by the IARC do not square with the actual scientific evidence.

This is perhaps nowhere more apparent than in the IARC's assessment of COFFEE.

In 1991 the IARC classified COFFEE as **Group 2B - Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans**, « *possibly carcinogenic to the human urinary bladder* ».

This conclusion was arrived at after reviewing the LIMITED EVIDENCE available at that time.

IARC noted that the data ...

... are consistent with a WEAK POSITIVE RELATIONSHIP between COFFEE consumption and the occurrence of BLADDER CANCER, but the possibility that this is due to BIAS or confounding CANNOT BE EXCLUDED.

IARC did NOT find convincing evidence for an association of COFFEE with other types of cancer.

As an object of scientific study, COFFEE is different from **GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (Roundup)**, cellphone radiation, or the many chemicals that IARC assesses.

This is because COFFEE drinking is a habitual behavior that is relatively stable, and people can tell researchers with a fair degree of accuracy how long they've been drinking COFFEE and how many cups they usually consume per day.

This means that exposure data should be of considerably higher quality than it is in studies of trace chemicals in the body, reports of cellphone use, or of how much dietary fat an individual has consumed over a period of decades.

Furthermore, COFFEE is consumed by a large proportion of the population in Western countries and, yet, importantly, there is a proportion of the population that does not consume it.

Among consumers, there is a wide range in the number of cups of COFFEE consumed.

Thus, COFFEE consumption is amenable to study with respect to an individual's exposure (present or absent) as well as the dose among those who drink COFFEE.

This means that, if COFFEE drinking were in fact associated with cancer, we would stand an excellent chance of detecting it.

The possible link between COFFEE-drinking and cancer has been studied since 1971.

Most studies conducted in the 1970s through the 1990s were case-control studies.

This type of study enrolls patients with the disease of interest (say, bladder cancer) and compares their exposures to those of « *controls* », who may either be patients hospitalized with other diseases or healthy persons.

IARC's Classification System Is Seriously Flawed

Recall Bias

Theoretically, the « *controls* » are comparable to the cases except for the absence of the disease of interest.

Case-control studies are subject to RECALL BIAS because people with a serious illness are likely to report their past exposures differently from controls, due to their desire to explain why they developed their disease.

Early studies focused on cancer of the bladder and kidney and showed inconsistent associations with COFFEE consumption.

The ambiguous results of these studies stimulated further research, and many studies were undertaken examining a range of different types of cancer.

In the past two decades, results from cohort studies have appeared.

In this type of study, a large population is enrolled in a study, and information about the participants' health and behaviors is collected at the time the study begins.

The cohort is then followed for a number of years and monitored for the development of disease.

This type of study design is not susceptible to the problem of RECALL BIAS, since information about exposure is collected prior to the development of disease.

IARC's Classification System Is Seriously Flawed

Coffee Is Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans !?! — Continued

As of 2010, more than 500 studies of the association of COFFEE intake with various types of cancer have been published.

The results of these studies have been summarized in META-ANALYSES to determine whether there is a consistent association of COFFEE drinking and cancer of a specific type.

What these META-ANALYSES and qualitative reviews show is that COFFEE drinking is associated with reduced risk of several cancers, including endometrial, colorectal, liver, and post-menopausal breast cancer.

In the case of liver cancer, COFFEE drinkers have roughly a 50 per cent reduction in risk.

For other cancers, including bladder, kidney, prostate, pancreas, and ovary, there is no consistent evidence of an association.

IARC's initial classification of COFFEE as a **Group 2B - Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans** - and its FAILURE TO UPDATE ITS ASSESSMENT in the light of the extensive evidence that has accumulated over the past 25 years HIGHLIGHT A LARGER PROBLEM with the agency's scheme for classifying carcinogens.

The IARC gives greater weight to positive results than to negative results, even when the latter are from higher-caliber studies.

It is easy to see how this mind-set can lead to an upward shift in the classification of a given agent.


And this explains why, of all the nearly 1,000 agents IARC has assessed, ONLY ONE has been categorized as **Group 4 - Probably NOT Carcinogenic**.

As the biostatistician Donald Berry commented in 2011, at the time IARC placed cellphone radiation in **Group 2B - Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans**, « *anything is a possible carcinogen* »

COFFEE is the one of the most widely consumed beverages in the world and one of the most extensively studied components of diet.

If we can't declare COFFEE to be **Group 4 - Probably NOT Carcinogenic**, what does that say about our ability to assess much more difficult and subtle risks ?!?!

Glyphosate Herbicide And The Subversive Conspiracy To Impose Reckless
And Arbitrary Prohibition Against This Safe And Effective Pest Control Product

A woman with long, wavy brown hair, wearing a purple and floral strapless dress, is positioned on the right side of the image. She is looking towards the camera with a slight smile. The background is a solid dark purple color.

Glyphosate Herbicide Is NOT A CARCINOGEN

FORCE OF NATURE | THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE from NOAHHG
National Organization Responding Against HUIE that seek to harm the Green Space and other industries



Background Information

Roundup Will Cause No Harm — IARC Has Been Discredited !

Glyphosate (Roundup) will NOT cause cancer.

The IARC's anti-glyphosate study was WRONG.

There is NO evidence that glyphosate causes cancer, according to a Panel of Leading Scientific Experts that has DISCREDITED and CONTRADICTED the IARC's anti-glyphosate study on the basis of epidemiology and toxicology.

On March 20th, 2015, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), a mere subsidiary of World Health Organization (WHO), arbitrarily classified glyphosate as **Group 2A - Probably Carcinogenic To Humans** - on the basis of LIMITED EVIDENCE, rendering its study as severely flawed, distorted, and unbalanced.

The IARC's anti-glyphosate study was a surprise because this herbicide is known for being one of the safest and most studied pesticides in the world.

According to the Panel — « IARC's equivalent working groups' reviews SUFFERED FROM SIGNIFICANT WEAKNESSES such as — SELECTIVITY in the choice of data reviewed, FAILURE to use all relevant biologic information to evaluate relationship to treatment in animal bioassays, and FAILURE to use weight-of-evidence evaluations using all available data and appropriate weighting. »

« NONE of the results [are] from a very large data-base, using different methodologies, provides evidence of, or a potential mechanism for, human carcinogenesis. »

The Panel's Leading Experts have REAL recognized expertise, training, and background in matters concerning glyphosate from countries like Canada, the United States, Germany, and the United Kingdom.

These Leading Experts reviewed scientific literature on the subject of glyphosate and cancer risk, and concluded that there is NO evidence that glyphosate causes cancer.

They also said that IARC relied too heavily on studies of questionable merit, and did NOT properly account for real world exposures to glyphosate.

The Panel included Leading Scientific Experts like Dr Keith Ross Solomon, who is a Doctorate-Level Expert and World Leading Scientific Expert in the Field of Environmental Biology, Toxicology, and Pesticide Safety.

He is also Professor in the Department of Environmental Biology at the University of Guelph in Ontario, and Director of the Centre for Toxicology.

The Panel's findings are consistent with ... • European Food Safety Authority's (EFSA) conclusion that glyphosate is unlikely to pose a carcinogenic hazard to humans • Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency which concluded that the overall weight of evidence indicates that glyphosate is unlikely to pose a human cancer risk • US Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) conclusion that a set of 55 epidemiological studies does NOT provide evidence to show that glyphosate causes cancer.

If you question that glyphosate is carcinogenic based on the IARC's anti-glyphosate study, perhaps you should consider advocating bans against similarly classified products & activities, like bacon, baked food, burgers, cooked meat, fish, fried food, grapefruit juice, night shift work, paint remover, roasted food, sausages, and vegetables.

IARC has also evaluated chemical agents & activities that have HIGHER carcinogenic hazard than glyphosate, like alcoholic beverages, baby oil, oral contraceptives, outdoor air pollution, painter, plutonium, and sunlight.

For more information about IARC, go to ... <http://wp.me/p1jq40-8RO>
<http://wp.me/p1jq40-5Lc> <http://wp.me/p1jq40-8M4>

For more information about GLYPHOSATE, go to ... <http://wp.me/P1jq40-1Jb>

For more information about PANEL OF LEADING SCIENTIFIC EXPERTS, go to ... <http://wp.me/p1jq40-958>

For more information about DR SOLOMON, go to ... <http://wp.me/P1jq40-1Lk>

The Wisdom Of
A REAL Expert



Dr Geoffrey C KABAT

FORCE OF NATURE | THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE from
National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG)

Background Information

Dr Kabat — He Speaks The Truth !

Dr Geoffrey C Kabat is among several LEADING EXPERTS who have recognized expertise, training and background in matters concerning pest control products.

Dr Kabat is among GREATLY RESPECTED and HIGHLY RATED EXPERTS who promote ENVIRONMENTAL REALISM and PESTICIDE TRUTHS.

<http://wp.me/p1jq40-8DV>

Dr Kabat is a Doctorate-Level Expert and a Leading Scientific Expert on Cancer and Pest Control Products.

He is also a Senior Cancer Epidemiologist at Albert Einstein College of Medicine at the Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, located in the Bronx in New York City.

He also holds the position of Scientific Advisor at American Council on Science and Health (ACSH).

Dr Kabat contributes a column to Forbes magazine, described as being about *The Science and Politics of Health Risks*.

He is the author of the book entitled *Hyping Health Risks - Environmental Hazards In Daily Life and the Science of Epidemiology*.

Dr Kabat is also one of the MOST PROMINENT AND KNOWLEDGEABLE SPOKESMEN on the subject of pest control products.

He has also effectively spoken out against the lies and mis-information that are spread by International Agency for Research on Cancer.

Dr Kabat SPEAKS THE TRUTH — and deserves CONGRATULATION.

Background Information

Previous Reports & References Where Dr Kabat Speaks The Truth !

KABAT -- CONFLATION OF ADVOCACY WITH SCIENCE -- HOW ACTIVISM DISTORTS THE ASSESSMENT OF HEALTH RISKS -- INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER (IARC) (Reports)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/Force-Of-Nature-Precautionary-Principle-2012-11-20-UNMASKED-Conflation-Of-Advocacy-With-Science-Kabat-pdf-300-dpi.pdf>

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2012/11/25/precautionary-principle-pesticides-cancer-conflation-of-advocacy-with-science-how-activism-distorts-the-assessment-of-health-risks-false-positives-in-epidemiology-international-a/>

KABAT -- EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDIES HAVE FALSE POSITIVES -- EXPOSURE TO PESTICIDES A RISK FACTOR FOR PARKINSON'S (Report)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2013/06/04/parkinsons-disease-exposure-to-pesticides-a-risk-factor-for-parkinsons-not-so-fast-pesticides-do-not-cause-parkinsons-dr-elizabeth-m-whelan-american-council-on-scienc/>

KABAT -- EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDIES -- 2012 11 20 -- DR. GEOFFREY C. KABAT -- CONFLATION OF ADVOCACY WITH SCIENCE -- HOW ACTIVISM DISTORTS THE ASSESSMENT OF HEALTH RISKS -- PART 1 (Reference)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/Reference-Epidemiology-Studies-2012-11-20-Dr.-Geoffrey-C.-Kabat-Conflation-Of-Advocacy-With-Science-Part-1.pdf>

KABAT -- EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDIES -- 2012 11 20 -- DR. GEOFFREY C. KABAT -- CONFLATION OF ADVOCACY WITH SCIENCE -- HOW ACTIVISM DISTORTS THE ASSESSMENT OF HEALTH RISKS -- PART 2 (Reference)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/Reference-Epidemiology-Studies-2012-11-20-Dr.-Geoffrey-C.-Kabat-Conflation-Of-Advocacy-With-Science-Part-2.pdf>

Background Information

For more information about **IARC**, go to **Pesticide Truths, The Web-Site That Dares To Defy With The Whole Truth From An Independent Perspective ...**

IARC -- GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (ROUNDUP) -- EXPERT PANEL OF SCIENTISTS -- GLYPHOSATE NOT LIKELY CARCINOGENIC -- EUROPEAN FOOD SAFETY AUTHORITY (EFSA) (Blog)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2016/01/08/glyphosate-herbicide-will-not-cause-cancer-the-2015-glyphosate-expert-panel-of-scientists-european-food-safety-authority-efsa-2015-12-08-2/>

IARC -- GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (ROUNDUP) -- DR KEITH R SOLOMON -- SCIENTISTS FIND NO EVIDENCE GLYPHOSATE CAUSES CANCER (Report)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2015/12/07/scientists-find-no-evidence-glyphosate-causes-cancer-the-western-producer/>

IARC -- GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (ROUNDUP) -- AS SOCIAL MEDIA OUTPACES GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE, STUPID PROLIFERATES -- ENDOCRINE DISRUPTORS (Report)

Facts are very hard to find in the glyphosate fear-mongering campaigns. When a herbicide so beneficial to farmers is less toxic than salt or vinegar, would entail a personal daily consumption of over 400 kg of fruit and vegetables to pose any health hazard and has been subject to thousands of studies, and still suffers relentless attacks from those without toxicological backgrounds, it is evident that stupid has reared its ugly face. Stupid even got inside of IARC, but that apparently is not very difficult.

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2015/11/23/as-social-media-outpaces-governance-structure-stupid-proliferates/>

IARC -- GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (ROUNDUP) -- EUROPEAN FOOD SAFETY AUTHORITY (EFSA) -- GLYPHOSATE IS UNLIKELY TO POSE A CARCINOGENIC HAZARD TO HUMANS -- THE EVIDENCE DOES NOT SUPPORT CLASSIFICATION WITH REGARD TO ITS CARCINOGENIC POTENTIAL (Report)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2015/11/14/efsa-roundup-so-safe-it-can-be-used-as-desiccant-on-crops/>

IARC -- GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (ROUNDUP) -- WHY DO REGULATORS CONCLUDE THAT THIS HERBICIDE IS SAFE WHILE ONLY IARC CLAIMS IT PROBABLY CAUSES CANCER ?!?! (Blog)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2015/08/10/glyphosate-herbicide-will-not-cause-cancer-why-do-national-government-regulators-in-america-germany-canada-conclude-that-it-is-safe-while-only-world-health-organization-who-aka-iarc-cla/>

IARC -- GLYPHOSATE HERBICIDE (ROUNDUP) -- EXPERT REACTION TO CARCINOGENICITY
CLASSIFICATION OF FIVE PESTICIDES BY THE IARC (Report)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2015/03/20/expert-reaction-to-carcinogenicity-classification-of-five-pesticides-by-the-international-agency-for-research-on-cancer-iarc-science-media-centre/>

IARC -- CONFLATION OF ADVOCACY WITH SCIENCE -- HOW ACTIVISM DISTORTS THE ASSESSMENT OF
HEALTH RISKS -- INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER (IARC) -- DR GEOFFREY C
KABAT (Reports)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/Force-Of-Nature-Precautionary-Principle-2012-11-20-UNMASKED-Conflation-Of-Advocacy-With-Science-Kabat-pdf-300-dpi.pdf>

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2012/11/25/precautionary-principle-pesticides-cancer-conflation-of-advocacy-with-science-how-activism-distorts-the-assessment-of-health-risks-false-positives-in-epidemiology-international-a/>

N O rah
G F O n

All bad IARC-terrorism must come to an end

FORCE OF NATURE () DARING TO DEFT WITH THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE
National Organization Responding Against IARC that seek to harm the Green space and other industries (NORAHG)



To fight the war against Anti-Pesticide Terrorism, you need facts !

Read ... PESTICIDE TRUTHS

Watch ... UNCLE ADOLPH

Listen To ... NORAHG

Learn From ... FORCE OF NATURE

Discover What Anti-Pesticide & Enviro-Lunatic Terrorists Are Doing And Saying About Subversively Imposing Their Life-Style Choices Against Our Society.

Read ... Reports, Blogs, & Videos, From Force Of Nature, NORAHG, Pesticide Truths, & Uncle Adolph — The Whole Truth From An Independent Perspective.

Communities and businesses are being HARMED and DESTROYED and RAPED by LUNATIC-TERRORISTS who ARBITRARILY AND RECKLESSLY IMPOSE PROHIBITIONS against pest control products used in the Urban Landscape and by the Agriculture Industry, and who PERPETRATE OTHER ACTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL TERRORISM.

We are living in the 9|11 Era of Anti Pesticide & Environmental Terrorism where at least ONE SUBVERSIVE ACT OF TERRORISM is perpetrated EVERY SINGLE DAY by LUNATIC-TERRORISTS.

A LUNATIC is a person whose actions and manner are marked by extreme recklessness.

The RECKLESS ACTS OF SUBVERSION by these LUNATIC-TERRORISTS has led to the ARBITRARY IMPOSITION of Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION in several jurisdictions throughout North America.

The result was CATASTROPHIC CARNAGE !

Because of LUNATIC-TERRORISTS, provinces like Ontario now have a TRAIL OF ECONOMIC DESTRUCTION WITH HUNDREDS OF SMALL BUSINESSES DESTROYED and THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE OUT OF WORK, a MORE DANGEROUS OUTDOOR ENVIRONMENT, and a BLACK MARKET IN PESTICIDES.

Only TRUE LUNATICS would wish to create a TRAIL OF ECONOMIC DESTRUCTION WITH HUNDREDS OF SMALL BUSINESSES DESTROYED and THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE OUT OF WORK.

Because of LUNATIC-TERRORISTS, we are living in the DARK AGE OF ANTI PESTICIDE TERRORISM where sound science is trumped by FAKE SCIENTISTS, JUNK SCIENCE and UNVERIFIABLE SECRET EVIDENCE through FABRICATION, INNUENDO, and INTERNET RUMOR —

scientific research PROVES that pest control products will CAUSE NO HARM and can be USED SAFELY.

An informed public is better able to protect itself and its communities and businesses from LUNATIC-TERRORISTS who are THE LEAST QUALIFIED TO PROVIDE ANY ADVICE about pest control products or the environment.

NORAHG is the National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green space and other industries, and the well-being of our communities.

NORAHG morally represents the VAST SILENT MAJORITY of people associated with turf and ornamental plant maintenance who are OPPOSED to LUNATIC-TERRORISTS and their Anti Pesticide PROHIBITIONS, resulting in the CLOSURE or ABANDONMENT of green spaces under the RIDICULOUS PRETEXT CONCOCTED BY LUNATICS of somehow « *saving* » the environment.

NORAHG is a NATIONAL NON-PROFIT NON-PARTISAN organization that does not accept money from corporations or governments or trade associations, and represents NO VESTED INTERESTS WHATSOEVER.

NORAHG is dedicated to reporting PESTICIDE FREE FAILURES, as well as the work of RESPECTED & HIGHLY RATED EXPERTS who promote ENVIRONMENTAL REALISM and PESTICIDE TRUTHS.

NORAHG strikes back against the MYTHS concerning the need for prohibition against pest control products.

NORAHG RESPONDS on behalf of the VAST SILENT MAJORITY of THE PUBLIC THAT DOES NOT WANT PESTICIDE BANS, and SUPPORTS those who work in the Professional Lawn Care Industry, the Golf Industry, and the Agriculture Industry.

RESPECTED & HIGHLY RATED EXPERTS

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2014/11/14/the-wisdom-of-real-experts-who-speak-out-against-the-conspiracy-to-impose-reckless-and-arbitrary-prohibition-against-conventional-pest-control-products-2014-11-14/>

THE MYTHS ABOUT BANNING PESTICIDES

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2012/11/15/the-myths-about-banning-pesticides-part-2-white-paper-report-on-pesticide-bans-2012-11-15-reports/>

VAST SILENT MAJORITY

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/the-wisdom-of-canadian-consumer-specialty-products-association/>

NORAHG is opposed to PESTICIDE FREE jurisdictions where LUNATIC-TERRORISTS have DOOMED CHILDREN to SUFFER INJURIES since Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION inevitably leads to public and residential green spaces that become DANGEROUS AND PEST-INFESTED GARBAGE DUMPS.

Go to the following links ...

ANTI-PESTICIDE PROHIBITION DESTROYED GREEN SPACES

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/carnage-leading-to-garbage-dumps/>

PESTICIDE BANS MADE OUR GREEN SPACES LOOK #@!!% UGLY – PHOTO GALLERY

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/carnage-leading-to-garbage-dump-green-spaces-photo-gallery/>

EMERALD ASH BORER – SAFE & EFFECTIVE INSECTICIDES VERSUS CHAIN SAWS

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2014/01/19/emerald-ash-borer-wisconsin-municipalities-safe-effective-insecticide-treatments-versus-chain-saws-2014-01-05/>

NORAHG is concerned that, because of PESTICIDE FREE MAINTENANCE of parks and sports fields, CHILDREN ARE AT HIGHER RISK OF SUFFERING INJURIES with DANGEROUS PLAYING SURFACES CREATED BY #@!!% PESTICIDE BANS.

CHILDREN ARE NOT AT RISK

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/children-are-not-at-risk/>

NORAHG pledges to deliver comprehensive reports that are worthy of peoples' time and of peoples' concern, reports that might ordinarily never have breached the parapet.

NORAHG was the brainchild of William H Gathercole and his colleagues in 1991. Mr Gathercole is now retired, although his name continues to appear as founder.

Force Of Nature was launched by NORAHG for CONTINUOUS transmission on the Internet on January 1st, 2009 — however, the VERY FIRST Stand-Alone Force Of Nature Report was issued on September 19th, 2008.

On March 15th, 2010, Uncle Adolph independently launched The Pesticide Truths, an easy-to-use Web-Site that collects relevant reports of information right-off-the-press.

Primus inter pares, first among equals, describes Pesticide Truths, Uncle Adolph, and Force Of Nature — they are formally equal to each other and are all accorded equal respect.

The reports and blogs from Pesticide Truths, Uncle Adolph, and Force Of Nature, in some ways, are like Google for everything concerning the SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES of Anti-Pesticide and Enviro-Lunatic Terrorists.

Many of these reports and blogs provide a sequence of historical events WITH COMMENTARY, the neutrality of which might be disputed.

This is what we do. Don't thank us. It's a public service. And we are glad to do it.

For The Complete Library of reports from Force Of Nature, NORAHG, Pesticide Truths, and Uncle Adolph, go to the following archives ...

ABOUT UNCLE ADOLPH

<http://pesticidetruths.com/about-2/>

FORCE OF NATURE WEB-PAGES

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/>

NORAHG / FON ON FACEBOOK — NORAHG RESPONSES

<https://www.facebook.com/norah.gfon>

PESTICIDE LINKS — PESTICIDE QUESTIONS ANSWERED BY HEALTH CANADA

<http://pesticidetruths.com/pesticide-qa-links/>

TABLE OF CONTENTS — THE COMPLETE LIBRARY OF WEB-PAGES, REPORTS, & REFERENCES

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/table-of-contents/>

THE PESTICIDE TRUTHS WEB-SITE — ARCHIVE OF REPORTS

<http://pesticidetruths.com/>

UNCLE ADOLPH'S ORIGINAL PESTICIDE TRUTHS BLOG

<http://www.uncleadolph.blogspot.ca/>

UNCLE ADOLPH ON SCRIBD — OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS

<http://www.scribd.com/uncleadolph>

UNCLE ADOLPH ON YOUTUBE — VIDEO LIBRARY

<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCVWnwRmNln9JACP3Ap3GF5g>

Glyphosate Herbicide And The Subversive Conspiracy To Impose Reckless
And Arbitrary Prohibition Against This Safe And Effective Pest Control Product

The Pesticide -Hating IARC Has Been DISCREDITED !

FORCE OF NATURE | THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE from NORAHG
National Organization Responding Against HUIE that seek to harm the Green Space and other industries