

Other provinces are quite aware that the Canadian Government warned Ontario officials about their needless, senseless and malicious prohibition of pest control products

The Whole Truth from an Independent Perspective



LAWN CARE INDUSTRY FILES FEDERAL CHARGE AGAINST THE MCGUINTY GOVERNMENT

January 13th, 2010

Media Release

CNW Group

According to a December, 2008 Economic Profile by the Ontario Turfgrass Research Foundation (Guelph, Ontario), in 2007, Ontario lawn care companies generated **1.26** billion dollars in income through providing turfgrass maintenance services.

The same report lists **577 million dollars** spent on equipment, supplies, and wages, as well as the equivalent of **20.8 thousand** year–round full–time employees.

[THE MCGUINTY GOVERNMENT IS THE CURRENT GOVERNMENT OF ONTARIO, REFERRING TO THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT OF THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO.]



Jeffrey Lowes, Director of Government Relations for M-REP Communications, has today filed proceedings for a *munication of the Environment*,



John Gerretsen and Senior Staff of the MOE [ONTARIO MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT] — before a Justice of the Peace at the Kingston Provincial Court.

Filing for a PRIVATE INFORMATION, whether laid by either a private citizen such as Mr. Lowes, or by the police, is commonly termed a « *charge* ». The private information is a sworn allegation, made before a Provincial Court Judge or a Justice of the Peace, that the INFORMANT believes an offence has been committed.

Mr. Lowes filed the charge as a result of reviewing the actions of the Province of Ontario in respect to the 2008 Cosmetic Pesticide Ban Act and Ontario Regulations 63/09. These actions alleged to have resulted in serious violations of sections of the Federal Pest Control Products Act (2002, c 28).



The alleged charge filed states:

<< John Gerretsen, Dale Henry, Geoff Cutten, Wanda Michalowicz, Lorna Poff, Violet vanWassenaer on or about the 22 day of April, 2009 at the City of Kingston in the said region East and elsewhere in the Province of Ontario did fail to exercise their assigned fiduciary responsibilities by not taking reasonable care to ensure that the Ministry of Environment complies with the Pest Control Products Act (2002, c28) and its regulations and did thereby commit an offence contrary to section 70 subsection 2 of the Pest Control Products Act. >>

As we wait for the process hearing to confirm the charge, we are preparing additional charges under the Federal Pest Control Products Act (2002, c 28), the Federal Competition Act (R.S., 1985, c. C–34), Criminal Code (R.S., 1985, c. C–46).

If there are sufficient grounds, ADDITIONAL CHARGES will be filed against INDIVIDUALS and ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVISTS who have undermined the scientific approach to pesticide regulations.



Other Provinces will be closely following these developments, since M-REP Communications has been working with the industry across Canada.

THEY ARE NO DOUBT AWARE THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAD WARNED ONTARIO ABOUT THAT PROVINCE'S REGULATORY METHODOLOGY.

Jeffrey Lowes has also previously warned Ontario's Minister of the Environment, senior staff, and the organizations that presented the false and misleading information — which resulted in the ban — that the industry would « exercise every possible legal option ». The INDUSTRY HAS LOST OVER 300 million dollars IN THE 2009 SEASON AS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE BAN.

M-REP Communications is a consulting services firm that provides support through a network of companies in North America and the EU [EUROPEAN UNION] on environmental policy and communications.

For further information —

Jeffrey Lowes, M-REP Communications, DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS, (613) 483–7855, fax (514) 221–4176, jplowes@mrepcommunications.com





Jeffrey Lowes is Director of Government and Industrial Relations for M-REP Communications.

M-REP Communication is part of an international coalition of companies that provide consulting services through a network of technical and

research based companies and agencies in North America and the European Union. M-REP Communications focuses on sound environmental policy and communications.

M-REP Communications represents lawn and tree-care companies in Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and British Columbia. This has made M-REP Communications one of the largest organizations in Canada as the voice of the lawn and tree-care industries and working with their provincial counter parts.

M-REP Communications

PO Box 24010		direct	613.531.2657
1201 Division Street		cell	613.483.7855
Kingston, Ontario, Canada	K7K 7A6	fax	514.221.4176

jplowes@mrepcommunications.com

www.mrepcommunications.com



GROUP TRIES TO BRING CHARGES AGAINST ONTARIO ENVIRONMENT MINISTER OVER PESTICIDE BAN

January 13th, 2009

Maria Babbage

The Canadian Press

TORONTO — A group representing dozens of lawn care companies is trying to bring charges against Ontario's environment minister and senior bureaucrats over the province's controversial pesticide ban.

A so-called « private information » against Environment Minister John Gerretsen and senior ministry staff was filed Wednesday in a Kingston court, said Jeffrey Lowes, a spokesman for the group and a director at M-REP Communications, a Kingston-based public relations firm.



The legal manoeuvre, if endorsed by the court, could result in FEDERAL CHARGES BEING FILED against Gerretsen and others by police or by a private individual, and there may be sufficient grounds for a CRIMINAL CHARGE OF FRAUD, he said.

The action stems from Ontario's pesticide regulations, which permit the use of azadirachtin [NEEM OIL], a chemical that is not approved for use in Canada, Lowes said.

« There's something fundamentally wrong with the whole system, » he said.

« We would be subjected to federal prosecution if we use the products if we abide by the Ontario laws, and if we abide by the federal laws, we would be prosecuted by the Ontario government. »

The government's RECLASSIFICATION of pesticides is based on a methodology that « was not based in regulatory science », he said.

The action to seek a FRAUD charge stems from a report that formed the basis of that methodology, Lowes said.



The [DISGRACEFUL AND INACCURATE] report, a peer-reviewed pesticide LITERATURE REVIEW of products used in the lawn care industry, was written by the Ontario College of Family Physicians, he said.

Lowes alleges that one doctor, who was listed as having peer–reviewed the report, was not aware that her name was on the report until it was published, and then denied she had reviewed the report.

Lowes, who said he represents a group of about thirty-six Ontario lawn care companies, said similar actions may be filed in other provinces that have adopted similar legislation governing pesticides.

Lowes said the action will be heard February 17 in a Kingston court.

Gerretsen wasn't immediately available for comment, but his spokesman, John Karapita, said the ministry has only recently been made aware of the allegations made against it. [UNTRUE. THE MINISTER HIMSELF HAS KNOWN AS OF MARCH 4TH AND APRIL 21ST, 2009. SEE LATER FOR MORE DETAILS.]



« Until we have the chance to review the information filed today, it would be inappropriate to provide further comments at this time, » he said in an email.

« We will be reviewing what information was filed with the courts. »

Ontario's ban, which took effect last April, prohibits the sale and cosmetic use of more than eighty ingredients and two-hundred-and-fifty products, as a way of [ALLEGEDLY] protecting public health and the environment.

Quebec has also stirred up controversy with its pesticide ban, which sparked a NAFTA [NORTH AMERICA FREE TREE AGREEMENT] challenge.

Dow AgroSciences LLC, which manufactures banned weed killer 2,4–D, has decided to sue the federal government and seek at least **2 million dollars** in damages, arguing that Quebec's rules VIOLATE Canada's trade obligations because it prohibits a product WITHOUT ANY SCIENTIFIC BASIS.



Background Information from an Independent Perspective

OTRF RELEASES ECONOMIC IMPACT STUDY OF THE ONTARIO TURFGRASS INDUSTRY

February 26th, 2009

Ontario Turfgrass Research Foundation [OTRF]

FACT: The Ontario Turfgrass Industry maintained **390,000 acres** of turfgrass in 2007 while generating **2.6 billion dollars** in revenue to the economy. The industry also provided **33,000 full-time jobs** while spending an additional **1.75 billion dollars** on operating and equipment expenditures.

The Ontario Turfgrass Research Foundation [OTRF] has released a detailed report of the economic size of the Ontario Turfgrass Industry. This commissioned study measures the scale and the breadth of the industry both in physical terms (acreage, employment) as well as in economic terms (gross revenue, expenditures on operating and equipment). The Ontario Turfgrass Industry consists of diverse segments, such as golf courses, municipal parks, sod farms, lawn care companies and sports fields. Surveyed industry professionals and selected turfgrass industry segments, along with other data sources, provided an in depth analysis of the value of Ontario Turfgrass Industry. The results of this study emphasize the importance of the turfgrass industry to the economy of Ontario.

« This well researched study provides a defensible and conservative measure of the Ontario turfgrass segment » — as reviewed by an economist at the George Morris Centre.



Background Information from an Independent Perspective

COMMENTARY FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE — THE FOLLOWING STATISTICS WILL BE USEFUL WHEN THE GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY SEEKS RESTITUTION AND DAMAGES FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF ONTARIO AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT FOR THE CONSPIRACY TO PROHIBIT PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS.

Economic Profile of the Ontario Turfgrass Industry — Executive Summary

ALL FINANCIAL MAGNITUDES ARE REPORTED IN 2007 CANADIAN \$ UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

Funded by Ontario Turfgrass Research Foundation [OTRF]

December 19th, 2008

Kate Tsiplova (2), Glenn Fox (2), Katerina Jordan (3), Eric Lyons (3)

WE GRATEFULLY ACKNOWLEDGE THE INVALUABLE HELP BY PAM CHARBONNEAU WITH THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD AND RURAL AFFAIRS, WITH SURVEY AND STUDY DEVELOPMENT. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS, PRESIDENTS, AND EXECUTIVE ASSISTANTS AND MANAGERS OF THE PROFESSIONAL LAWN CARE ASSOCIATION OF ONTARIO, LANDSCAPE ONTARIO, GOLF COURSE SUPERINTENDENTS ASSOCIATION OF ONTARIO, SPORTS TURF ASSOCIATION OF ONTARIO, ONTARIO PARKS ASSOCIATION AND ONTARIO RECREATION FACILITIES ASSOCIATION FOR THEIR COMMENTS ON THE SURVEY AND THEIR ASSISTANCE WITH SURVEY DISTRIBUTION. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO THANK MARY WALES FOR REVIEWING OUR CALCULATIONS AND PROOFREADING SOME SECTIONS OF THIS REPORT.

- (2) DEPARTMENT OF FOOD, AGRICULTURAL AND RESOURCE ECONOMICS, UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH
- (3) DEPARTMENT OF PLANT AGRICULTURE, UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH

EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW CONDUCTED BY THE GEORGE MORRIS CENTRE, GUELPH (REVIEW ENCLOSED) ECONOMIC PROFILE OF THE ONTARIO TURFGRASS INDUSTRY, 2007 KATE TSIPLOVA, GLENN FOX, KATERINA JORDAN, ERIC LYONS



BACKGROUND

The Ontario Turfgrass Industry consists of diverse segments, such as golf courses, municipal parks, sod farms, lawn care companies and sports fields. Prior to this project, the most recent economic profile of the Ontario Turfgrass Industry was conducted for 1982. The Ontario Turfgrass Research Foundation [OTRF] commissioned an economic study of the Ontario Turfgrass Industry. Starting in the fall of 2007, the University of Guelph research team, consisting of Professors Glenn Fox, Katerina Jordan, and Eric Lyons and Research Associate Kate Tsiplova, have undertaken a study that —

- Developed an economic profile of the Ontario Turfgrass Industry and;
- Analyzed and assessed the growth potential of the Ontario Turfgrass Industry.

This study should be of interest to all Ontario Turfgrass Industry segments and to government agencies that regulate them. We hope that the results of this study will emphasize the importance of the turfgrass industry to the economy of Ontario.

Both secondary and primary data sources were used to collect data on the land area devoted to turfgrass cultivation and maintenance, the sales value of turfgrass products and services, and the value of turfgrass maintenance expenditures in Ontario. We surveyed selected turfgrass industry segments to gain insight about factors that turfgrass managers believe to be either constraints to or opportunities for the growth of the Ontario Turfgrass Industry.



PRODUCTION

The total gross Ontario Turfgrass Industry's revenue was **2.61 billion dollars** in 2007. In comparison, the total Ontario farm value of grains and oilseeds was **2.34 billion dollars** in 2007.

ACRES

The Ontario Turfgrass Industry maintained **390 thousand acres** of turfgrass in 2007. In comparison, the total Ontario harvested area of grains and oil-seeds was **5.52 million acres** in 2007.

TURFGRASS SURVEY SUMMARY FOR THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

SOD FARMS (2007). **36,300 acres** of production. **108 million dollars** in gross revenue. **68.8 million dollars** in operating expenditures. **12.0 million dollars** in equipment purchases. **1,055** total full-time equivalent employees.

GOLF COURSES (2007). **98,600 acres** of green space maintained. **1.25 billion dollars** in gross revenue. **339 million dollars** in operating expenditures. **35.9 million dollars** in equipment expenditures. **6,711** total full-time equivalent employees.



HOUSEHOLDS (2007). 122,000 acres of green space maintained. 223 million dollars in operating expenditures. 280 million dollars in equipment purchases.

MUNICIPALITIES (2007). **93,200 acres** of green space maintained. **174 million dollars** in operating expenditures. **9 million dollars** in equipment purchases. **3,840** total full-time equivalent employees.

UNIVERSITIES (2007). 839 acres of green space maintained. 7.72 million dollars in operating expenditures. 34,800 dollars in equipment purchases. 357 total full-time equivalent employees.

PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS AND ROADS (2007). 38,500 acres of green space maintained. 2.47 million dollars in operating expenditures. 22.8 million dollars in equipment purchases.

LAWN CARE COMPANIES (2007). **1.25 billion dollars** in gross revenue. **577,000 dollars** in operating expenditures. **20,810** total full-time equivalent employees.

TOTAL FOR THE ENTIRE TURF INDUSTRY IN THE PROVINCE OF ON-TARIO (2007). 390,000 acres of green space maintained or produced. 2.61 billion dollars in gross revenue. 1.39 billion dollars in operating expenditures. 360 million dollars in equipment purchases. 32,773 total full-time equivalent employees.

Since lawn care companies provide maintenance services for other industry segments, we excluded the turfgrass area that they maintained from the total province—wide area. Lawn care companies maintained 1.13 million acres of turfgrass, which does not match the acreage maintained by other industry segments. The reason for this divergence may be that lawn care respondents may have specified the area of turfgrass that was treated multiple times by their company. Therefore, one treatment location may have been counted more than once.



STRATEGIC GROWTH ANALYSIS

All industry segments, except universities and colleges, reported that they expected population growth and urbanization, or retirement trends, or both, to benefit the industry over the next five to ten years.

Overall, all industry segments had a positive outlook on the future of their turfgrass operation.

The majority of respondents indicated that they expect the size of their turfgrass operation to either increase somewhat or remain stable over the next five to ten years.

Some of the impediments to growth of the turfgrass industry included water use policies and cost of water.

Another potentially problematic factor for turfgrass industry is either cost of labour or availability of qualified labour.

The Cosmetic Pesticides Ban Act impacts the turfgrass industry considerably, with lawn care companies being affected the most.

We found that lawn care respondents identified pesticide use policies and public perception of turfgrass industry as having a negative effect on the future growth of their turfgrass operations.





Background Information from an Independent Perspective

[Ontario Environment Minister] Gerretsen says he won't back down from a ban that protects the health and safety of Ontario residents just because there's a threat of a potential lawsuit. — March 4th, 2009

WEED KILLER 2,4-D ON FINAL LIST OF BANNED PESTICIDES IN ONTARIO: MINISTER

March 4th, 2009

Ontario has released a final list of pesticides that will be banned for cosmetic use and sale — including weed killer 2,4–D — starting on Earth Day on April 22. Environment Minister John Gerretsen says the new regulations will prohibit the sale and use of 2,4–D in its concentrated form, despite a NAFTA challenge from its manufacturer.

Dow AgroSciences, a unit of Dow Chemical, filed a **2-million-dollar** notice of action against the federal government last August. It alleges that Quebec's ban on 2,4–D violates Canada's obligations under NAFTA because it prohibits a product without any scientific basis.

GERRETSEN SAYS HE WON'T BACK DOWN FROM A BAN THAT PROTECTS THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF ONTARIO RESIDENTS JUST BECAUSE THERE'S A THREAT OF A POTENTIAL LAWSUIT.

Ontario passed legislation last year banning the sale and use of pesticides with few exceptions, such as golf courses and agricultural purposes.



Background Information from an Independent Perspective

ONTARIO TO ENACT TOUGHEST PESTICIDE RESTRICTIONS IN CANADA DESPITE TRADE DISPUTE

April 21st, 2009

Ontario will enact the toughest rules in Canada when its pesticide ban takes effect, a move that could land the province in the legal crosshairs of an international trade dispute.

Ontario is joining Quebec in restricting the use of pesticides, but its rules go further by prohibiting the sale and cosmetic use of more than 80 ingredients and 250 products, with few exceptions, experts say.

Ontario's environment minister said he isn't worried by the potential threat of a lawsuit.

- « We think we're doing absolutely the right thing by banning pesticides from our laws and gardens, and we'll have to wait and see what the courts say about that, » said John Gerretsen.
- « But I'm quite confident that they will uphold the right of Ontario to take this kind of an action. »



Background Information from an Independent Perspective

GOVERNMENT CULPRITS

THOSE ONTARIO GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS THAT ALLEGEDLY FAILED TO EXERCISE THEIR ASSIGNED FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITIES BY NOT TAKING REASONABLE CARE TO ENSURE THAT THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT COMPLIED WITH THE PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS ACT, AND INSTEAD, BECAME PART OF A CONSPIRACY TO PROMOTE THE NEEDLESS, SENSELESS, AND MALICIOUS PROHIBITION OF PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS.

Geoff Cutten — Senior Pesticides Regulatory Scientist, Pesticides Section, Standards Development Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment. geoff.cutten@ene.gov.on.ca

John Gerretsen — MINISTER OF THE ENVIRONMENT, Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

jgerretsen.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

Dale Henry — DIRECTOR OF HUMAN TOXICOLOGY AND AIR STANDARDS SECTION, STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT BRANCH, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES AND STANDARDS DIVISION, Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

dale.henry@ontario.ca

Wanda Michalowicz — Manager of Environmental Sciences and Standards Division, Standards Development Branch, Pesticides Management Section, Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

wanda.michalowicz@ontario.ca

Lorna Poff — Pesticides Section, Standards Development Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

lorna.poff@ene.gov.on.ca

Violet van Wassenaer — Pesticides Regulatory Scientist, Standards Development Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

violet.vanwassenaer@ontario.ca



FORCE OF NATURE WAS LAUNCHED FOR CONTINUOUS TRANSMISSION ON THE INTERNET ON JANUARY 1ST, 2009. IT IS A SERIES OF E-NEWSLETTERS DESTINED FOR THE GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY, THE ENVIRONMENTAL-TERROR-MOVEMENT, GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, AND THE MEDIA, NATION-WIDE ACROSS CANADA, THE UNITED STATES, AND OVERSEAS.


FORCE OF NATURE IS THE BRAINCHILD OF WILLIAM H. GATHERCOLE AND HIS ENTOURAGE. NORAH G IS ACTUALLY AN ACRONYM FOR THE STABLE OF ANONYMOUS PRODUCERS AND WRITERS THAT CONTRIBUTE TO THIS E-NEWSLETTER AND HAVE NOW REPLACED MR. GATHERCOLE. THEY CONSIST OF PEOPLE FROM THE FOLLOWING INDUSTRIES: DISTRIBUTION, FERTILIZER, GOLF, LAWN CARE, MANUFACTURING, MUNICIPAL, NURSERY, AND ORCHARD. MANY OF THESE PEOPLE ARE LEADERS IN THEIR OWN INDUSTRIES. THE OPINIONS EXPRESSED IN FORCE OF NATURE, EVEN THOUGH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE, MAY NOT REFLECT THOSE OF EVERYONE IN THE GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY, OR MR. GATHERCOLE'S MANY ASSOCIATES. BE WARNED! FORCE OF NATURE MAY SOMETIMES BE VERY IRREVERENT AND FEARLESS WITH THESE E-NEWSLETTERS. MR. GATHERCOLE IS NOW RETIRED FROM FORCE OF NATURE, ALTHOUGH HIS NAME CONTINUES TO APPEAR AS THE FOUNDER.

WILLIAM H. GATHERCOLE IS A PRINCIPAL FOUNDER OF THE MODERN PROFESSIONAL LAWN CARE INDUSTRY IN BOTH ONTARIO AND QUEBEC. HE HOLDS A DEGREE IN HORTICULTURE FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH, AND ANOTHER PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE DEGREE FROM MCGILL UNIVERSITY. HE HAS WORKED IN VIRTUALLY ALL ASPECTS OF THE GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY, INCLUDING GOLF AND PROFESSIONAL LAWN CARE, AND HAS SERVED IN PUBLIC AFFAIRS, WORKPLACE SAFETY, AND ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE. MR. GATHERCOLE HAS SUPERVISED, CONSULTED, PROGRAMMED, AND/OR OVERSEEN THE EXECUTION OF HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF PEST CONTROL APPLICATIONS IN THE URBAN LANDSCAPE. HE HAS TRAINED, INSTRUCTED, AND CONSULTED WITH THOUSANDS OF TURF MANAGERS AND TECHNICIANS. MR. GATHERCOLE HAS ALSO BEEN AN AGRICULTURAL AGRONOMIST. FOR MANY YEARS, MR. GATHERCOLE WAS A CONTRIBUTING COLUMNIST FOR TURF & RECREATION MAGAZINE, CANADA'S TURF AND GROUNDS MAINTENANCE AUTHORITY.

MR. GATHERCOLE HAS FOLLOWED THE EVOLUTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL TERRORISM FOR OVER A QUARTER-CENTURY. HIS INVOLVEMENT IN ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES REACHED A FEVERED PITCH IN THE 1990S, WHEN HE ORCHESTRATED, WITH HIS COLLEAGUES, LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE PROHIBITION OF PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS IN THE TOWN OF HUDSON, QUEBEC. FOR FIFTEEN YEARS, THE STRATEGIES DESIGNED AND IMPLEMENTED BY MR. GATHERCOLE AND HIS COLLEAGUES GUARANTEED THE CONTROL OF ENVIRO-TERROR FOR THE ENTIRE MODERN GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY ACROSS CANADA. ALTHOUGH HE CAN BE ACCUSED OF BEING ANTI-ENVIRONMENT-MOVEMENT, HE IS, IN FACT, SIMPLY A STRONG ADVOCATE FOR THE MODERN GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY. HOWEVER, THIS POSITION HAS NOT PRECLUDED HIM FROM CRITICIZING THE GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY ITSELF. NONETHELESS, HIS VAST KNOWLEDGE OF OUR LONG JOURNEY WITH ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES IS UNDENIABLE. (HOPEFULLY!)

Force OF Nature is the instrument of National Organization Responding Against Huje that harm the Green SPACE INDUSTRY (NORAHG) BY CONCOCTING STATEMENTS AND ACTIVITIES SEEKING TO PROHIBIT FEDERALLY LEGAL, SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE, AND TOTALLY IRREPLACEABLE CONVENTIONAL PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS. ENVIRO-MANIAC, Culprits are identified on the basis of their statements, activities, affiliations, and whereabouts. Even THOUGH EACH ENVIRO-MANIAC-CULPRIT IS A MIS-GUIDED ADVERSARY, EACH STILL DESERVES OUR RESPECT. THE TERMS Maniac, Culprit, Terrorist, or Basterd are not accusations of any legal wrong-doing. Force Of Nature is SIMPLY HOLDING CULPRITS ACCOUNTABLE FOR CONSPIRING TO CHANGE PUBLIC POLICIES THAT TERRORIZE AND THREATEN THE GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY. FORCE OF NATURE BELIEVES THAT THE PRETENTIOUS PROHIBITIONIST POLICIES OF THE ENVI-, RONMENTAL-TERROR-MOVEMENT IS LEADING TO LOSS OF REVENUES, BUSINESS FAILURES, BANKRUPTCY, AND UNEMPLOYMENT, INFLICTING DESPAIR AND DESTITUTION FOR THOUSANDS OF VICTIMS THROUGHOUT THE GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY. THE ACTIONS OF MANIAC-CULPRITS-TERRORISTS-BASTERDS IN THE MOVEMENT ARE VIEWED AS A FORM OF TERROR AGAINST THE GREEN SPACE INDUSTRY. THE INFORMATION PRESENTED IN FORCE OF NATURE HAS BEEN DEVEL-OPED FOR THE EDUCATION AND ENTERTAINMENT OF THE READER BY PROVIDING A SEQUENCE OF HISTORICAL EVENTS WITH COMMENTARY. ADDITIONALLY, FORCE OF NATURE INSPIRES PEOPLE TO BELIEVE THAT ENVIRONMENTAL TERRORISM CAN BE STOPPED! THE EVENTS, CHARACTERS, COMPANIES, AND ORGANIZATIONS, DEPICTED IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE NOT ALWAYS FICTITIOUS. ANY SIMILARITY TO ACTUAL PERSONS, LIVING OR DEAD, MAY NOT BE COINCIDENTAL. ALL DOCUMENT EXCEPTS AND PICTURES CONTAINED IN FORCE OF NATURE WERE FOUND SOMEWHERE ON THE INTERNET. WE BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, SERVING ONE OF THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES: ARCHIVE, EDUCATION, PROMOTION, PUBLIC-ITY, OR PRESS RELEASE.

The following Force Of Nature documents are currently available — • Alberta Prohibition • British Columbia Prohibition • Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment • Millionaire—Cancer—Society • Canadian Environmental Law Association • Consequences • David Suzuki Foundation • DDT and Politicized Science • Death and the Environmental—Terror—Movement • Enviro—Money • Environmental Terror—Ists Ummasked • Fertilizer—Terror Is Next • June Irwin, The Clown of Junk Science • Kazimiera Jean Cottam • Landscape Trades Capitulate • New Brunswick Prohibition • Nova Scotia Prohibition • Ontario Prohibition • Organic Fertilizers • Pesticide Free BC • Pets and Lawn Care Chemicals • Prince Edward Island Prohibition • Quebec Prohibition • Rachel Carson, The Queen of Junk Science • Salmon Arm BC Prohibition • The 9/11 Era of the Green Space Industry • The Failure of Integrated Pest Management • The Looming Golf Industry Shipwreck • The Industry Strikes Back • The Misconceptions About Cancer • The National Annihilation of the Modern Green Space Industry • The Wisdom of Bill Bell • The Wisdom of Drysdale • The Wisdom of Health Canada • The Wisdom of Holland • The Wisdom of Lowes • The Wisdom of Mains • The Wisdom of the Solomons • Ask For a Copy of Any Back Issue of Force Of Nature Today. Read All About Enviro—Maniacs and Their Environmental—Terror—Organizations in Force Of Nature ! The Whole Truth From An Independent Perspective! Force Of Nature is TOTALLY Independent of Any trade associtation or business operating within the Green Space Industry. DON'T THANK US. It's A Public Service. And We Are Glad to Do It.



We must defend the industry, whatever the cost may be?