OPINION TERENCE CORCORAN • WILLIAM WATSON • JACK M. MINTZ • LAWRENCE SOLOMON • PETER FOSTER

FP COMMENT

TRENDING

Housing Market | Bitcoin | Samsung Galaxy S5 | Loonie | Keystone XL Pipeline | Emerging Markets | PDAC 2014 | Apple | Pensions | Investing

Quebec backs down on 2,4-D, says it is not harmful to humans



TERENCE CORCORAN | May 26, 2011 | Last Updated: May 26 3:10 PM ET More from Terence Corcoran | @terencecorcoran

Republish Reprint

Dow scores NAFTA challenge victory over famous herbicide. What about BPA?

The details have yet to emerge, but Dow AgroSciences says it has settled a NAFTA challenge case with Ottawa over the pesticide 2,4-D. As part of agreement, a victory for the company, the government of Quebec has agreed to a statement saying the pesticides used chemical does "not pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment."

Quebec was the first province to declare weed-killers containing 2,4-D as possibly carcinogenic. On that basis, municipalities in Quebec instituted bans of its use as a lawn weed killer. Other jurisdictions also banned 2,4-D, but often stopped short of declaring the chemical a carcinogen. Instead, they invented other reasons for eliminating the chemical, but the junk science cancer scare always lurked in the background as a motivation.

Laws against lawn herbicides containing 2,4-D therefore remain in place, but not because they pose any real health land environmental risk. Under the NAFTA agreement with Dow, "the government of Quebec agrees that products containing 2,4-D do not pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment, provided that the instructions on their label are followed." This is consistent with Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory agency and regulators in the U.S. and Europe.

What this means in Canada is that we now have chemical bans in existence in all regions that are based on junk science scares perpetrated by environmental activists. Quebec set a precedent on the basis of a cancer scare, other regions followed.

Meanwhile, Canadian farmers—where almost 99% of 2,4-D is used—can continue to spray know that there is now little chance that any ban will ever be extended to their industry. Within Canadian cities, however, dandelions and weeds cannot be removed using perfectly safe chemicals.

Which brings up Bisphenol-A (BPA), another chemical that's safe by any measure and in most countries (Europe, US) but which is on Ottawa's junk science list and helped turn Tory Cabinet Minister John Baird into local hero for mounting a baby bottle BPA ban. How many safe chemicals can governments ban on the basis of junk science claims by activists such as Rick Smith at Environmental Defense, aka more recently as the Lipstick Man.

Coming June 14 to FP Comment: JUNK SCIENCE WEEK.



Find Financial Post on Facebook

Most Popular









FARM FIGHT: 1. No way to run a railroad

FARM FIGHT: 2. Greener grass for a Terence Corcoran: Mark Carney may not

Topics: FP Comment, NAFTA, Pesticides

Comments for this thread are now closed.

WHAT'S THIS?

Controversy Over New Steroid Alternative One 'Weird' Trick To Lose Stubborn Fat?

Eat THIS, Never DIET Again! Healthy LifeStyle

Men's Life & Health

AROUND THE WEB

Smart Health Magazine

ALSO ON FINANCIAL POST

BlackBerry Ltd lays off 120 Waterloo staff as part of planned

9 bizarre jobs our ancestors did that no longer exist 46 comments

cost cuts 7 comments

Canadian home sales: How healthy is your housing market?

FARM FIGHT: No way to run a railroad 2 comments

13 comments

Earn rewards for being a loyal National Post Reader

Sign In

Share Favorite

Sort by Best ▼

Be the first to comment.

Subscribe



Add Dis qus to your site

