


Pesticide Truths

Conspiracy Against Glyphosate – Victories Against Anti-Glyphosate Terrorism – 2018 11 24

November 24, 2018 WILLIAM H GATHERCOLE AND NORAH G



Conspiracy Against Glyphosate

Victories Against Anti-Glyphosate Terrorkrism

FORCE OF NATURE | DARING TO DEFY BY EXPLORING THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE
National Organization Responding Against B&E that conspire to harm the Green space and other industries (NORABE)

Fact ...

Glyphosate Will Not Cause Cancer

Summary

Glyphosate is scientifically-safe and will cause NO harm.

National regulatory agencies & leading experts have been siding with the overwhelming scientific evidence demonstrating that glyphosate WILL NOT cause cancer — there is NO bio-medical and NO epidemiological evidence to support the claim that glyphosate causes cancer.

The unfounded chemophobic assault against science has been NEUTRALIZED.

The lies and the false allegations by anti-pesticide & environmental-terrorkrists masquerading as health experts have been REFUTED.

Glyphosate has been VINDICATED around the world.

Glyphosate WILL NOT cause cancer, according to leading scientific experts in ...

Australia • Canada • European Union • Genotoxic Expert Panel Review •
New Zealand • Nova Scotia • National Cancer Institute • Switzerland •
United Nations • United States (America)

National regulatory agencies and leading experts are siding with the overwhelming scientific evidence demonstrating that glyphosate WILL NOT cause cancer.

There is NO bio-medical and NO epidemiological evidence to support the claim that glyphosate causes cancer.

Glyphosate is scientifically-safe and will cause NO harm.

Read the following [blog](#) ...


[Glyphosate Herbicide Will Not Cause Cancer — Glyphosate Vindicated Around The World \(Blog \)](#)

Explore the library of [references](#) ...

[IARC — Questionable Evidentiary Weight — Another Nail In IARC's Coffin \(Reference \)](#)

[WHO — Hot Water Causes Cancer — Don't Believe It \(Reference \)](#)

[Carcinogenic — Independent Global Scientists Weigh In \(Reference \)](#)



Fact ...

Glyphosate Is NOT A Carcinogen

Summary

The NCI researchers have concluded that glyphosate was NOT statistically significantly associated with cancer.

The U.S. National Cancer Institute (US NCI) has found NO association between glyphosate and cancer.

The NCI researchers concluded that glyphosate was NOT statistically significantly associated with cancer.

This large long-term research was published in the Journal of the National Cancer Institute (JNCI) on November 9th, 2017.

This was the largest research study of agricultural workers in history, a gold standard, over the longest period of time.

It definitively demonstrated in a real-world environment that glyphosate DOES NOT cause cancer.

Read the following blog ...

[Glyphosate Vindicated By US NCI \(Blog \)](#)

Explore the library of references ...

[No Significant Link Between Weed-Killer & Cancer \(Reference \)](#)

[Farm Study Finds No Firm Cancer Link — Version 2 \(Reference \)](#)


[Farm Study Finds No Firm Cancer Link — Version 1 \(Reference \)](#)

[Cancer Incidence In Agricultural Health Study \(Reference \)](#)

View the [video recording ...](#)

Glyphosate -- US National Cancer Institute -- No Link Between Cancer & Weed-Killer ([Video Recording, 0 Min 41 Sec](#))





Fact ...

The EPA Gave Glyphosate Its Safest Possible Classification

Summary

The United States has declared that the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) has given glyphosate its safest possible classification.

The United States has declared that the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) has given glyphosate its safest possible classification.

In September 2016, the US Environmental Protection Agency made the following declaration — « *The EPA gave glyphosate its safest possible classification* ».

The US EPA has successfully separating a health scare from a health threat.

On September 12th, 2016, the US EPA reported that glyphosate DOES NOT cause cancer.

According to the US EPA —

... the available data at this time DO NOT support a carcinogenic process for glyphosate.

The US EPA's assessment of glyphosate was based upon an extensive data-base exists for evaluating the carcinogenic potential of glyphosate, including 23 epidemiological studies, 15 animal carcinogenicity studies, and nearly 90 genotoxicity studies for the active ingredient glyphosate.

On April 29th, 2016, the US EPA had also classified glyphosate as « *not likely to be carcinogenic to humans* », the SAFEST classification on the scale.

The US EPA's assessment of glyphosate discredited the anti-glyphosate report by International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) which conveniently disregarded several studies on the effects of exposure to glyphosate purely on the basis that these studies showed NO positive results.

The US EPA report also noted that the studies IARC chose to include in its report had significant limitations.

Additionally, IARC's anti-glyphosate report was based on a review of the scientific literature that was NOT comprehensive.

IARC deliberately overstated the risks with its arbitrary and selective « *cherry picking* » studies against glyphosate.

NO pesticide regulator in the world considers glyphosate to be a carcinogen, and both US EPA reports once again reinforce this important fact.

The US EPA is successfully separating a health scare from a health threat.

Read the following reports & blogs ...

[Glyphosate Does Not Cause Cancer – Again \(Blog \)](#)

[Glyphosate Issue Paper — Evaluation Of Carcinogenic Potential \(Report, with Official Document, Scribd \)](#)

[Panel Finds Glyphosate Will Not Cause Cancer \(Blog \)](#)

[Decision Is Protective Of All, Including The Developing Fetus, Infants & Children, The Elderly, & Farm-Workers \(Report \)](#)

Explore the library of references ...

[EPA — Glyphosate Does Not Cause Cancer, Again \(Reference \)](#)

[EPA — Evaluation Of Carcinogenic Potential \(Reference \)](#)

[EPA — Evaluation Of Carcinogenic Potential — HIGHLIGHTED TEXT \(Reference \)](#)

[EPA — Panel Finds Glyphosate Not Likely To Cause Cancer \(Reference \)](#)

[EPA — Magically Makes Glyphosate Safety Report Disappear \(Reference \)](#)

[EPA — Once Again, Concludes That Glyphosate Does Not Cause Cancer \(Reference \)](#)


[EPA — Summary Of Meeting With Monsanto \(Reference \)](#)

[EPA — Final Work Plan \(Reference \)](#)

[EPA — Glyphosate Re-Registration \(Reference \)](#)

[In California, Glyphosate Phobia Results In Hazmat Suits, Respirators \(Reference \)](#)

Victories Against Anti-Glyphosate Terrorism



Fact ...

Products Containing Glyphosate Are Unlikely To Affect Your Health

Summary

Health Canada has found that glyphosate DOES NOT present risks of concern to human health or the environment when used according to label directions.

Health Canada has found that glyphosate DOES NOT present risks of concern to human health or the environment when used according to label directions.

As of 2017, Health Canada is granting continued registration of products containing glyphosate for sale and use in Canada.

The evidence in favour of glyphosate is overwhelming.

Products containing glyphosate are UNLIKELY to affect your health.

Dietary risks from food and water are NOT of concern.

Non-occupational risks are NOT of concern.

Non-occupational risks from by-stander dermal exposure are NOT of concern.

Glyphosate products are NOT expected to pose risks of concern to the environment.

Overall, there are currently NO environmental incident reports involving glyphosate in Canada.

Health Canada, as well as all other national regulatory agencies world-wide, have VINDICATED glyphosate.

These agencies include those within the European Union, the United States, and the United Nations.

Read the following reports & blogs ...

[Frequently Asked Questions On Re-Evaluation Decision RVD2017-01 \(Blog \)](#)

[Re-Evaluation Decision RVD2017-01 \(Blog \)](#)

[Proposed Re-Evaluation Decision PRVD2015-01 – Health Canada Consultation Notice \(Report \)](#)

[Pesticide Incident Report — Unlikely Death By Roundup \(Pesticide Link \)](#)

[Polyethoxylated Tallow Amine \(POEA \) — Re-Evaluation Note REV2010-02 Glyphosate \(Web-Page \)](#)

Explore the library of references ...

[Health Canada — Re-Evaluation Decision RVD2017-01 \(Reference \)](#)

[Health Canada — Frequently Asked Questions On The Re-Evaluation Of Glyphosate \(Reference \)](#)

[Health Canada — Proposed Re-Evaluation Decision PRVD2015-01 \(Reference \)](#)

[Health Canada & CFIA — Glyphosate Testing Shows Food Is Safe \(Reference \)](#)

[Health Canada & CFIA — Statement On Lunatȝc Seralini et al Publication \(Reference \)](#)

[Lunatȝc Messages To PM Justin Trudeau \(Reference \)](#)



Fact ...

Glyphosate Does Not Pose A Cancer Risk To Humans

Summary

Australian concerns about the effect of glyphosate on health have been totally REFUTED, with the government concluding that glyphosate DOES NOT pose a cancer risk to humans.

Australian concerns about the effect of glyphosate on health have been totally REFUTED, with the government concluding that glyphosate DOES NOT pose a cancer risk to humans.

On October 4th, 2016, the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) published its assessment of the IARC report and other recent assessments against Glyphosate.

APVMA has concluded that ...

Concerns have been raised about human exposure to the common herbicide glyphosate, following a FLAWED assessment by International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) which had classified glyphosate in a group of chemicals that is « probably carcinogenic to humans ». [?!?!]

The IARC concerns have been totally REFUTED in Australia.

Glyphosate is a broad-spectrum herbicide which works by inhibiting an enzyme found in plants.

There are around 500 products containing glyphosate registered for use in Australia.

Glyphosate has been registered for use in Australia for over 40 years.


Read the following report ...

[Glyphosate — Does Not Pose A Cancer Risk To Humans \(Report with Official Document, Scribd \)](#)

Explore the library of references ...

[Glyphosate — Does Not Pose A Cancer Risk To Humans \(Reference \)](#)

[Glyphosate — Safe To Use \(Reference \)](#)



Fact ...

Proposal For Prohibition Stopped

Summary

Europeans have been rejecting Greenpeace's fear-mongering, and are indicating that the jig is up — the political tide, boosted by evidence, is starting to turn in favor of glyphosate.

Europe is notoriously averse to modern food technology.

So when Europeans, who have gone so far as to enshrine the precautionary principle into EU law, are rejecting Greenpeace's fear-mongering, it indicates that the jig is up.

The political tide, boosted by evidence, is starting to turn in favor of glyphosate.

The effect of glyphosate on health is a MYTH.

On June 28th, 2016, the European Union announced its approval for glyphosate.

After months of lobbying and member state indecision, the European Union replaced a previous proposal to renew the licence for glyphosate for up to 15 years with a suggested 12- to 18-month extension pending further scientific study.

Read the following reports & blogs ...

[Proposal For Prohibition Stopped \(Blog \)](#)

[Will Extend Glyphosate License For 18 Months \(Blog \)](#)

[Germany — No More Poisonous Than Previously Assumed \(Report \)](#)

[Germany — Roundup Is Safe \(Report \)](#)

Explore the library of references ...

[Found Not Carcinogenic — Key European Chemicals Agency Joins Consensus \(Reference \)](#)

[Roundup Welcomes Glyphosate Approval In EU \(Reference \)](#)

[Commission To Extend Glyphosate Licence For 18 Months \(Reference \)](#)

[United Kingdom — David Cameron Convinced Glyphosate Will Be Re-Authorised By EU \(Reference \)](#)

[United Kingdom — Lincoln Dismisses Petition Despite Cancer Risk Claims \(Reference \)](#)

[Netherlands — Toxicologist Critical Of Dodgy Science In Glyphosate Bans \(Reference \)](#)


[Germany — Federal Institute For Risk Assessment \(BfR \) Re-Evaluation \(Reference \)](#)

[Germany — No More Poisonous Than Previously Assumed \(Reference \)](#)

View the [video recording ...](#)

Glyphosate -- European Union -- Questions & Answers -- Hero Professor David Zaruk ([Video Recording, 3 Min 45 Sec](#))





Fact ...

Glyphosate Is Unlikely To Pose A Carcinogenic Risk To Humans

Summary

The Genotoxic Expert Panel Review has REFUTED the idea that glyphosate causes cancer — this group of 16 leading scientific experts, from Canada, the United States, Denmark, Brazil, the United Kingdom, and other countries, has decisively concluded that glyphosate is UNLIKELY to pose a carcinogenic risk to humans — the Genotoxic Expert Panel Review disagreed with the conclusion of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) that there is sufficient evidence that glyphosate is carcinogenic for lab animals such as mice and rats.

Yet another study has REFUTED the idea that glyphosate causes cancer.

In a paper published on-line in critical reviews in toxicology, four independent panels of experts, named the Genotoxic Expert Panel Review, looked at the relevant research on glyphosate and whether it is carcinogenic.

The group of 16 scientists, from Canada, the United States, Denmark, Brazil, the United Kingdom, and other countries, decisively concluded that ... « *glyphosate is unlikely to pose a carcinogenic risk to humans* ».

The Genotoxic Expert Panel Review bluntly REJECTED the findings of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), which decided in March 2015 that glyphosate is probably carcinogenic to humans. [!?!]

According the Exposure Experts of the Genotoxic Expert Panel Review —

Even when using worst-case assumptions, systemic exposures to applicators, bystanders, and the general public are very small ... there is an extremely large margin of safety from exposure to glyphosate via normal uses.

According the Epidemiology Panel of the Genotoxic Expert Panel Review —

Glyphosate epidemiologic literature DOES NOT indicate a causal relationship between glyphosate exposure and NHL (non-Hodgkin's lymphoma).

According the Leading Experts of the Genotoxic Expert Panel Review —

Extensive reviews of the genotoxicity ... all support a conclusion that glyphosate is inherently NOT genotoxic.

The Genotoxic Expert Panel Review disagreed with the IARC conclusion that there is sufficient evidence that glyphosate is carcinogenic for lab animals such as mice and rates.

The conclusions of the 16 member Genotoxic Expert Panel Review support the findings of agencies around the world.

Health Canada, the European Food Safety Authority and a joint WHO and United Nations Food and Agriculture Panel published similar scientific reviews in 2015 and 2016.

All of these organizations reported that glyphosate is NOT carcinogenic for humans.

Explore the library of references ...

[Genotoxicity Expert Panel Review \(Reference \)](#)

[Genotoxicity Expert Panel Review — Glyphosate Not Cancer-Causing \(Reference \)](#)



Fact ...

There Is No Glyphosate-Cancer Link

Summary

New Zealand has rebuked the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) by announcing that it found NO glyphosate-cancer link — furthermore, the government has stated that glyphosate is UNLIKELY to be carcinogenic and should NOT be classified as a mutagen or carcinogen.

In August 2016, the Environmental Protection Agency in New Zealand (NZ EPA) announced that it found ... « *NO glyphosate-cancer link* ».

New Zealand is REBUKING the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC).

The New Zealand Glyphosate Review, commissioned by New Zealand's Environmental Protection Authority, says the broad-spectrum herbicide is ... « *unlikely to be carcinogenic and should not be classified as a mutagen or carcinogen* ».

From the Executive Summary of the New Zealand Glyphosate Review —

The majority of human studies DID NOT show an association between exposure to glyphosate and cancer.

*Although a small number of studies with a limited number of participants **✗** found a weak association between glyphosate exposure and increased risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), others did not.*

The studies that found NO association between glyphosate exposure and NHL included the largest and most reliable, which included over 50,000 participants.

Based on the inconsistency in the results of the studies on glyphosate exposure and NHL, and the lack of any association in the largest, most robust study, it was concluded that there is NO convincing evidence of an association between glyphosate exposure and the development of cancer in humans.

✗ used by WHO's IARC committee in its decision that glyphosate was « *probably carcinogenic to humans* »


The New Zealand Glyphosate Review takes into account studies reviewed by ...

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) • FAO/WHO Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) • International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) • US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA)

Explore the library of references ...

[Finds No Glyphosate-Cancer Link, Rebukes Who's IARC \(Reference \)](#)

[Review Of The Evidence Relating To Carcinogenicity \(Reference \)](#)



Fact ...

There Is No Evidence That Glyphosate Creates A Risk To Human Health If Used Properly

Summary

In the Province of Nova Scotia, the Chief Public Health Office has issued a statement saying that there is NO evidence that glyphosate creates a risk to human health if used properly — even water can be toxic if too much is consumed in a short period of time.

In the Province of Nova Scotia, Chief Public Health Officer, Dr Robert Strang, is firing back over health concerns surrounding the province's approval for the application of glyphosate.

In August 2016, Nova Scotia Environment gave the green light to Northern Pulp, and three other companies, to spray more than 2,600 hectares of woodland in Colchester, Cumberland, Hants and Pictou counties with VisionMax, which contains glyphosate.

On September 2nd, 2016, Dr Strang issued a statement saying that ... « *There is NO evidence that glyphosate creates a risk to human health if used properly. Even water can be toxic if too much is consumed in a short period of time.* »

Dr Strang said although the World Health Organization has identified glyphosate as a probable human carcinogen under certain conditions [?!?!], Health Canada has ruled that glyphosate is NOT a health risk to humans so long as it is properly applied.

According to Dr Strang —

To determine the actual risk you have to ask exactly how is the chemical going to be used — when, how, where, and how much — and work all that through in a risk assessment to say what's the possible human exposure and what are the health risks from that exposure.


All the evidence would say that the way it is proposed to be used in Nova Scotia for forestry application DOES NOT pose any human health risk.

Dr Strang went on to cite a recent New Brunswick study with similar findings, and said it's about weighing risks and benefits.

In 2011, glyphosate was one of a number of ingredients whose use was arbitrarily prohibited in the urban landscape by the government of Nova Scotia.

Explore the following references ...

[Spray Doesn't Pose Any Human Health Risk \(Reference \)](#)



Fact ...

There Was Insufficient Scientific Evidence To Justify A Ban On The General Use Of Glyphosate

Summary

Another VICTORY for evidence-based science has been won in Switzerland, where the government has stated that there was INSUFFICIENT scientific evidence to justify a ban on the general use of glyphosate, especially for farming.

Now, another VICTORY for evidence-based science has been won, this time in Switzerland.

According to Swissinfo, a news-site based in Switzerland —

*The House of Representatives has rejected a petition from various organizations **✗** such as Greenpeace and the Consumers' Association for French-speaking Switzerland, which have been calling for a ban on the use of all synthetic chemical pesticides, such as the weed-killer ingredient glyphosate.*

A majority of parliamentarians said ~~KK~~ there was INSUFFICIENT scientific evidence to justify a ban on the general use of glyphosate, especially for farming.

~~K~~ anti-pesticide & environmental-terrorist organizations

~~KK~~ on September 30th, 2016

In November 2017, the Federal Council, Switzerland's executive, rejected the Green Party's proposal to prohibit the use of glyphosate.

The Federal Council believes that glyphosate residues in Switzerland are LOW and HARMLESS to health.


It sees NO reason to prohibit its use.

Explore the library of references ...

[No Swiss Ban For Weed-Killer Glyphosate \(Reference \)](#)

[A Slow But Steady Vindication \(Reference \)](#)

[Swiss Government Rejects Ban Plan \(Reference \)](#)



Fact ...

Glyphosate Is Unlikely To Pose A Carcinogenic Risk To Humans

Summary

The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food & Agriculture Organization (FAO), both agencies of the United Nations, have stated that glyphosate is **UNLIKELY** to pose a carcinogenic risk to humans.

On May 16th, 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), both agencies of the United Nations, stated that ... « *glyphosate is unlikely to pose a carcinogenic risk to humans* ».

The United Nations announced its assessment that glyphosate is **UNLIKELY** to cause cancer in people and that it is **UNLIKELY** to pose a carcinogenic risk to humans exposed to it through food !

The United Nations' Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO) looked at all pertinent published and un-published studies to assess the health risk to consumers from dietary exposure to glyphosate residues in food.

Read the following blog ...

[United Nations Has Discredited The IARC Anti-Glyphosate Hazard Report \(Blog \)](#)

Explore the library of references ...

[Joint FAO-WHO Meeting On Pesticide Residues 2016 Extraordinary Meeting \(Reference \)](#)

[Food Safety — Frequently-Asked Questions \(Reference \)](#)

[Joint FAO-WHO Meeting On Pesticide Residues 2016 Extraordinary Meeting \(Reference \)](#)

[Joint FAO-WHO Meeting On Pesticide Residues — Summary Report \(Reference \)](#)

[Joint FAO-WHO Meeting On Pesticide Residues — List Of Experts \(Reference \)](#)

[Joint FAO-WHO Meeting On Pesticide Residues — Roster Of Experts \(Reference \)](#)

[Main Findings & Recommendations Of Core Assessment Group \(Reference \)](#)

[Pesticide Residues In Food 2015 Joint FAO-WHO Meeting On Pesticide Residues \(Reference \)](#)

[Joint FAO-WHO Meeting On Pesticide Residues 2016 Extraordinary Meeting \(Reference \)](#)

[Endgame For Glyphosate — The Global Fallout From WHO \(Reference \)](#)

[UN Experts Find Glyphosate Unlikely To Cause Cancer \(Reference \)](#)

[Five Things You Should Know About WHO Saying Roundup Could Cause Cancer \(Reference \)](#)

[Pesticide Residues In Food — Core Assessment Group — Guidance Document \(Reference \)](#)



Fact ? ...

Glyphosate Is So Safe, You Can Drink A Glass Of It

Summary

Of course, this will change few opinions among the true believers.

Monsanto-haters and GMO-phobes are implacable.

We can already hear their objections —

If glyphosate is so safe, why don't you drink a glass of it !?!?

That's absurd.

Organic farmers use manure to fertilize crops.

Perhaps they should DRINK a glass of that.

Nonetheless, any drink test is a ridiculously worthless stunt.

You could no more drink a glass of diluted pest control product than you could a glass of water filled with common table salt.

Both will upset your stomach, and only an idiot would consider drinking either of them.

According to Dr Keith R Solomon —

These materials ж are less toxic than table salt.

I'm NOT suggesting you use them жж on your fish and chips, but just to put it into some sort of perspective.

ж pest control products used in the urban landscape

жж pest control products

Dr Keith Ross Solomon is a Doctorate-Level Expert and World Leading Scientific Expert in the Field of Environmental Biology, Toxicology, and Pesticide Safety.

He is also Professor in the Department of Environmental Biology at the University of Guelph, Ontario, as well as Director of the Centre for Toxicology in Guelph, Ontario.

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-1Lk>

Pest control products, like 2,4-D and glyphosate, are NO more dangerous than table salt, and, in fact, 2,4-D tastes like salt water.

In the early 1940s, Dr R Milton Carleton was the co-developer of another ingredient that is just as safe as glyphosate ... 2,4-D herbicide.

Dr Carleton usually carried a flask around with him that contained 2,4-D from which he would drink on request « *just to prove it was harmless* ».

Anyone who knew the distinctive fish smell of 2,4-D knew that Dr Carleton was actually drinking the real stuff.

Both 2,4-D and glyphosate are LESS TOXIC than Aspirin, baking soda, caffeine, cannabis, nicotine, table salt, and Tylenol.

2,4-D and glyphosate are NO MORE TOXIC than ethanol (an edible beverage constituent in beer, wine, and other intoxicating beverages), mouthwash (Listerine), and Vitamin C.

Pest control products like 2,4-D and glyphosate are scientifically-safe, practically non-toxic, will cause NO harm, WILL NOT cause cancer, and WILL NOT cause irreversible damage if consumed orally.

The probable lethal dose for a person ingesting the concentrated form of 2,4-D or glyphosate is one litre, the volume of an entire milk carton.

By comparison, drinking six litres of water, which is considered harmless, can lead to water poisoning or dilutional hyponatremia, which is a potentially fatal disturbance in brain functions.

In essence, water is only five times safer than 2,4-D or glyphosate.

Dr Carleton was NOT harmed by drinking 2,4-D — he lived to the age of 87.

Read the following report ...

[The Dr Carleton Story Was Authored By Mr Art C Drysdale \(Report \)](#)

Explore the following web-pages ...

[The Wisdom Of Dr Keith Ross Solomon \(Web-Page \)](#)

[The Complete Media Library Of Dr Keith Ross Solomon \(Web-Page \)](#)


Explore the library of references ...

[He's Not A Monsanto Lobbyist, & Weed Killer Isn't Safe To Drink — NORAHG RESPONSE \(Reference \)](#)

[Lobbyist Refuses Glass Of Water Containing Carcinogen \[?!?! \] Despite Saying It's Safe \(Reference \)](#)

View the **video recording** ...

Glyphosate -- Left-Wing Pesticide-Hating Fanatic Drinks Roundup At Public Meeting -- Washoe, Nevada (**Video Recording, 1 Min 25 Sec**)



View the video recording ...

Glyphosate -- Roundup -- Give It A Minute -- Monsanto (Video Recording, 1 Min 22 Sec)



Transcript Of The Monsanto Video *Give It A Minute* ...

Monsanto Company is an American multi-national agro-chemical and agricultural biotechnology corporation.

Monsanto is a leading producer of Roundup, a glyphosate-based herbicide.

Roundup branded herbicides are some of the most effective methods that farmers use to control weeds.

But is Roundup — and its main active ingredient glyphosate — safe ?!?!

How does it really work ?!?!

Give it a minute.

Whether you battled weeds at your home or in your fields Roundup a branded herbicides are some of the most effective methods for controlling them.

But what about the main active ingredient glyphosate ?!?!

Is it harmful ?!?!

How does it work ?!?!

Give it a minute ?!?!

Glyphosate-based products were introduced in the seventies and became popular with farmers for the wide variety of weeds they helped control and their history of safe use.

In fact, in addition to the US EPA, regulatory agencies in more than one hundred and sixty countries have approved glyphosate-based products.

Here's how it works.

Glyphosate is applied to weeds — once absorbed it travels to the roots where it blocks a specific enzyme found in plants — and NOT animals or humans.

Without that enzyme, the weed can't make the building blocks that it needs to grow, the entire weed withers to the ground.

Any remaining glyphosate is broken down in the soil into naturally-occurring substances like carbon dioxide and phosphate.

To further target only weeds, certain crops have been specifically modified so that glyphosate doesn't affect them.

This means farmers don't have to turn over their soil as much to control weeds, which reduces emissions.

It also reduces soil erosion, in some cases, by as much as 90 per cent.

Targeted, effective, and proven — all reasons glyphosate is the most widely-used weed control product in the world today.

That's a lot to cover in a minute, so if you still have questions check out <https://monsanto.com/>

Glyphosate

The Entire Media History

Explore the following **web-pages** ...

Glyphosate — The Library Of **References** (Web-Page)

Glyphosate — The Library Of **Reports & Blogs** (Web-Page)

Glyphosate — The Library Of **Blogs** (Web-Page)

Glyphosate — Scientifically-Safe According To Real Experts (Web-Page)



Norah GFON

All bad anti-glyphosate victories
must never come to an end

FORCE OF NATURE | DARING TO DEFY BY EXPLORING THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE
National Organization Responding Against HUE that conspire to harm the Green space and other industries (NORAH)




WE SPEAK THE WHOLE TRUTH ABOUT GLYPHOSATE FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE !

We are the **N**ational **O**rganization **R**esponding **A**gainst **H**UJE that conspire to destroy the **G**reen space and other industries (**NORAHG**). As a non-profit and independent organization, we are environmentalists who are dedicated to reporting about truth-challenged pesticide-hating fanatics (**HUJE**) who conspire to destroy businesses that are dependent on the use of safe and effective conventional pest control products. We also report on the work of several highly-rated leading experts who have recognized expertise, training, and background in matters concerning pest control products, and who promote environmental realism and pesticide truths.

Not surprisingly, enviro-fanatics have demonstrated that they are incapable of processing overwhelming scientific evidence. Should we trust these fanatics, who conveniently ignore scientific evidence, and attempt to impose their politicized-doctrines and twisted life-style choices against our society ?!?!

NORAHG was the brain-child of Mr William H Gathercole and his colleagues in 1991. Mr Gathercole is now retired, although his name continues to appear as founder. We dare to defy the pesticide-hating fanatics by exploring the whole truth from an independent perspective on The Pesticide Truths Web-Site ... <http://pesticidetruths.com/> If you wish to receive free reports on issues that concern you, please contact us at ... force.of.de.nature@gmail.com WILLIAM H GATHERCOLE AND NORAH G



Glyphosate Is Scientifically Safe And Will Cause No Harm

FORCE OF NATURE | DARING TO DEFY BY EXPLORING THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE
National Organization Responding Against HUIE that conspire to harm the Green space and other industries (NORAHG)

