Glyphosate: The Triumph of Post-Truth in Europe



By Marcel Kuntz — February 8, 2018



In Europe, technical matters which should be science-based, such as the authorization of marketing for chemicals or genetically engineered plants, quickly turn highly political. Even after having received as a *prerequisite a* green light from the European safety agencies, their authorization is dependent on a vote under a "qualified majority rule" of the 28 members states. This usually opens the door to demagoguery and domestically focused political calculations, with little consideration on the advice provided by scientific agencies. The renewal of the herbicide glyphosate has reached an unprecedented peak to this regard.

On November 27th, 2017, the EU member states finally agreed on a five-year renewal period for glyphosate, instead of the originally proposed 15 years. This was only possible since Germany unexpectedly voted in favor of renewal. In a typical "government-can-do-anything" state of mind, President Emmanuel Macron said that he will ban glyphosate "as soon as alternatives have been found, or within three years at the latest."

The glyphosate case also illustrates the "Era of Post-Truth" on scientific questions in the European Union, and in France in particular. The following fiction has become mainstream thinking: evil industries and productivist farmers are lobbying for the renewal of this herbicide at the detriment of health and the environment, neglecting its classification as a "probable carcinogen." The facts are, however, strikingly different.

The classification as a "probable carcinogen" by a single working group of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has been refuted by a dozen scientific agencies around the world. Although fully marginalized, the IARC classification has stayed a favorite meme in most media. The dominance in media of false claims on glyphosate has been quantitatively analyzed in a blog post [1] (in French).

Briefly, it says that 59% of the articles proposed by the French press were opposed to glyphosate

(24% of which rather virulently), and these negative articles reached 84% of the Facebook audience. Furthermore, the arguments presented by the press from the Left side of the political spectrum were 100% opposed to glyphosate, while 50% were negative in the press from the Right. (Most of the positive arguments were related to the economic importance of glyphosate.)

Thus, the French media stubbornly propagated "fake news" in regard to glyphosate by ignoring the broad scientific consensus on glyphosate being of low toxicity, not being an endocrine disruptor, and not being a "carcinogenic" compound under normal use. The press also ignored the facts pointing to the capture of the IARC working group on glyphosate by anti-pesticide activists, some with links to law firms seeking to earn a lot of money through lawsuits [2] based on IARC's decisions. Astonishingly, the press also ignored the inquiry by a journalist from Reuters who found unexplained last-minute changes made to the IARC report on glyphosate [3], which in each case went against the use of glyphosate.

Such a profound reality gap between scientific consensus and press articles deserves to be named the *Triumph of Post-Truth*. It is the outcome of a long anti-glyphosate campaign by antipesticide activists.

This and other similar campaigns have taken root in the public as a consequence of a powerful disinformation movement operated for decades by professional activists. These disinformation groups are incredibly skillful at manipulating the public, while being destructive to science, agriculture, biotechnology, and many industries. These activists are exclusively concerned with promoting the political and financial well-being of the organic and natural products industries, science be damned.

Through the deification of Mother Nature and the shameless exploitation of public fear, this political movement has been able to proclaim itself as protecting the environment, public health, and the common good. Of course, these are all fictions. But in Europe, the Enlightenment has been supplanted by the Era of Post-Truth.

Dr. Marcel Kuntz is a plant biotechnologist at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) in Grenoble. In 2017, he was awarded the French Academy of Agriculture's Gold Medal. This article appeared in print form in Priorities magazine [4], a publication of the American Council on Science and Health.

COPYRIGHT ${\odot}$ 1978-2016 BY THE AMERICAN COUNCIL ON SCIENCE AND HEALTH

Source URL: https://www.acsh.org/news/2018/02/08/glyphosate-triumph-post-truth-europe-12544 Links

[1] http://chevrepensante.fr/2017/12/09/glyphosate-un-echec-mediatique-analyse/

[2] https://risk-monger.com/issues/agriculture/glyphosate-and-iarc-gate/).

[3] https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/who-iarc-glyphosate/

[4] https://www.acsh.org/news/2018/01/31/priorities-magazine-winter-2018-12506