THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE from National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NOR.

In the 9/11 Era of Environmental Terror ...

Why listen to Anti-Pesticide Activists when the federal government already regulates pest control products through Health Canada?

- Anti-Pesticide Activists are THE LEAST QUALIFIED TO PROVIDE ANY AD-VICE concerning pest control products
- Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA) is the branch of Health Canada that has THE ESSENTIAL EXPERTISE on the subject of pest control products
- Anti-Pesticide Activists seem to believe, with NO SCIENTIFIC PROOF,
- Canadian-Cancer-Society is NOT A SCIENTIFIC ORGANIZATION, but a volunteer advocacy and fund-raising association, with NOT A SINGLE SCI-ENTIST on staff with expertise in pest control product
- The largest long-term study ever undertaken on pest control products the U.S. Agricultural Health Study — showed an INVERSE CORRELATION between the use of 2,4-D Herbicide and colorectal cancer
- NO landscape and garden pest control product registered in Canada is even classed as either a carcinogen or probable carcinogen



Pest Control Product Evaluations Need To Be Based on Facts

August 19th, 2011

John J. Holland

Letter to the Editor

The Daily News

Selected and adapted excerpts







Obtaining Advice

Municipal and provincial governments and even health-related professional organizations have been taking advice on pest control products from those who are THE LEAST QUALIFIED TO PROVIDE IT.

These governments also ignore those who have THE ESSENTIAL EXPERTISE, such as Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA).

BACKGROUND INFOR-MATION FROM AN INDE-PENDENT PERSPECTIVE

British Columbia (B.C. or BC) is the western-most of Canada's provinces and is known for its natural beauty, as well as its Environmental-Terrorist-Organizations.

There almost twenty Anti-Pesticide Environmental-Terrorist-Organizations operating in the Province of British Columbia —

- Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment (CAPE)
- Canadian Cancer Society (CCS) BC and Yukon Division
- Canadian Coalition for Health and Environment (CCHE)

The Wisdom of a REAL Expert

Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment

[Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Fund-Raiser] Gideon Forman operates with Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment (CAPE), which is composed of many members other than health professionals, despite what may otherwise be claimed.

For example, Forman himself is NOT A PHY-SICIAN.

Forman holds a masters in philosophy, with later training in creative writing.

CAPE and Forman also seem to believe, with NO SCIENTIFIC PROOF, that all synthetic pest control products cause cancer.

the Province of British Co-

- David Suzuki Founda-
- Ecojustice Canada
- Environmental Defence
- political shield for the En-
- North Columbia Envi-
- Pesticide Free BC



Canadian-Cancer-Society

Despite popular belief, Canadian–Cancer–Society, which has taken such a large and activist role against pest control products, is NOT A SCIENTIFIC ORGANIZATION.

Canadian–Cancer–Society is a volunteer advocacy and fund–raising association, with NOT A SINGLE SCIENTIST on staff with pest control product expertise.

Environmental-Terrorist-Organizations operating in the Province of British Columbia (continued) —

- Pesticide Free Columbia
 Basin Coalition (Formerly
 Pesticide Free Columbia
 Valley Coalition)
- Prevent Cancer Now
- Sierra Club of Canada
- Sunshine Coast Clear Air Society
- Toxic Free Canada

 the public affairs shield
 for Labour Environmental

 Alliance Society, LEAS, and Canadian-Cancer-Society)
- West Coast Environmental Law (WCEL)
- Western Canada Wilderness Committee
- Wildsight.

The Wisdom of a REAL Expert

No Discernable Health Problems

While there have been numerous studies published on the effects of pest control products on human health, the VAST MAJOR-ITY have shown that there are NO DIS-CERNABLE HEALTH PROBLEMS.

Those studies that find some correlation EXHIBIT VERY WEAK AND UNCONVINCING but these are still used as the foundation of the Anti-Pesticide Activists' « proof » of so-called adverse health effects pest control products.

NO landscape and garden pest control product registered in Canada is even classed as either a carcinogen or probable carcinogen by PMRA, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or any other scientific agency.

BACKGROUND INFOR-PENDENT PERSPECTIVE

- Conventional pest control products are SCIEN-TIFICALLY SAFE since
- oversight as pest control



Studies

Epidemiology — seemingly unbeknownst to Anti-Pesticide Activists — is based upon statistics and thus generally requires very large numbers of participants (on the order of tens of thousands) before obtaining results that may be interpreted as convincing.

It is worth noting that the largest long-term study ever undertaken on pest control products — the U.S. Agricultural Health Study — showed an INVERSE CORRELATION between the use of 2,4-D — the most common herbicide used on lawns — and colorectal cancer (30 per cent less cancer).

BACKGROUND INFOR-MATION FROM AN INDE-PENDENT PERSPECTIVE

- Pest control products have a WIDE SAFETY MARGIN.
- NO HARM WILL OC-CUR when pest control products are used according to label directions.
- Pest control products represent an ACCEPTA-BLE RISK, often SEVERAL HUNDRED TIMES TO GREATER THAN A THOU-SAND TIMES LESS THAN THE NO EFFECT LEVEL.
- There is NO growing body of evidence connecting pest control products and cancer.
- Pest control products cause NO HARM, including chronic effects such as CANCER.
- Pest control products
 DO NOT CAUSE CANCER.

John J. Holland is Communications Director for Integrated Environmental Plant Management Association of Western Canada (IEPMA).

He is Canada's most eloquent and intelligent writer on the subject of public policy and pest control products.

The Integrated Environmental Plant Management Association of Western Canada (IEPMA), in conjunction with its membership, has been VERY decisive regarding the CONSPIRACY to PROHIBIT pest control products in British Columbia.

After all, conventional pest control products are HEALTH-CANADA-APPROVED, FEDERALLY-LEGAL, SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE, TOTALLY-IRREPLACEABLE, and ABSO-LUTELY-INDISPENSABLE.

The Integrated Environmental Plant Management Association has correctly recognized that this is a BEACH-HEAD in the FIGHT FOR VICTORY against the TERROR-IST CONSPIRACY to PROHIBIT pest control products in British Columbia.

Here is a list of Mr. Holland's career highlights and accomplishments —

Honours BA in History and Geology.

Post-graduate work in History.

After university, co-owned a large bookstore in Ontario.

Moved to British Columbia from Ontario in 1978.

Worked for a structural pest control company in British Columbia for three years, the last two of which Mr. Holland was a supervisor.

Owned a large tree and lawn care company in the Okanagan from 1983 until 2004, when he retired.

One of the founders of the ESA (Environmental Standards Association – fore-runner to the IEPMA) in 1984.

President of the Environmental Standards Association, 1985 – 1994.

Vice-President of Integrated Environmental Plant Management Association of Western Canada, 1998 – 2007.

Communications Director of Integrated Environmental Plant Management Association of Western Canada, 2007 – present.

In Letters To The Editor, Holland has personally spoken out against activists and against the prohibition of pest control products in the Urban Landscape.

John J. Holland (continued)

Here are examples of some of Holland's wisdom —

- << Agencies of your own Government namely Health Canada and the PMRA [Pest Management Regulatory Agency of Health Canada] - have stated on numerous occasions that 2,4-D POSES NO UNACCEPTABLE RISKS TO THE PUBLIC. >>
- << As you may be aware, there are also numerous MISLEADING or UNSCIEN-</p> TIFIC - studies with such a negative point of view, such as the Ontario College of Family Physicians' [OCFP] Pesticide Literature Review (2004). This review has been used to support almost every proposed pesticide ban, from the Municipal to the Provincial (e.g., Ontario) level. The information used by those like the OCFP has been CHERRY-PICKED by the physicians — NOT SCIENTISTS writing the report, and the report has been DISCOUNTED by many scientists and government experts in this and other countries. Studies used are generally all epidemiological, and links to cancer and other diseases have been WEAK and NOT CONSISTENT from study to study. Toxicological studies DO NOT CONFIRM the epidemiological findings. By definition, epidemiology CANNOT FIND CAUSES they merely suggest correlations, and the basic tenet of epidemiology is that correlation does not mean causation. Studies must also be consistently reproducible before a finding can be found meaningful. At any rate, the OCFP study ignored or down-played other important epidemiological studies that did not conform to its premise of the dangers of pesticides (again, check with the PMRA). >>
- << Although I have never used my degree in the profession for which I studied, I found that the training I received in the methods of research was invaluable in enabling me to discern the facts of the real science behind the use of pest control products. Not being a scientist, I have always been guided by the work of those who are the actual experts. >>
- << From your statements, I would have to assume that you must consider the 350 qualified scientists of the PMRA incompetent and/or incapable of arriving at correct scientific findings. >>
- Products containing 2,4-D DO NOT POSE UNACCEPTABLE RISKS to human health or the environment. They also have value for lawn and turf, agriculture, forestry and industrial uses when used according to the label directions proposed in previous consultation documents. >>
- << 2,4-D has been registered in our country since 1946, and is the third-most</p> used herbicide in Canada. If there were health problems related to 2,4-D's application, it would seem obvious that concrete proof — after over 60 years of use — would have surfaced by now, particularly when you realize that there have been thousands of studies, and numerous re-evaluations by both Health Canada and the U.S. EPA [Environmental Protection Agency]. This herbicide is probably THE MOST STUDIED PESTICIDE IN HISTORY. >>



Emviro-Lunatics live in a state of demial or blissful ignorance William H. Gathercole & NORAHG | force.of.de.nature optimal of | Force Of Nat