

FORCE OF NATURE | THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE from National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG)

Myth-BUSTING

The Myth Of Bees & Insecticides



Exposing Misconceptions About So-Called Bee Colony Collapse Disorder

Bee-Keepers Are Continuously STEALING From Other Bee-Keepers

July 13th, 2013

Summary

Bee-keepers cannot be trusted!

The bee-keeper industry is composed of bunch of thieving basterds!

Bee-keepers are continuously stealing from other bee-keepers.

Bee-keepers are mere bee-rustlers!

If bee-keepers are lying and cheating and stealing among themselves, then they are also lying and cheating with their public statements about alleged bee deaths and neonicotinoid insecticides ?!?!

Bee-keepers are the least qualified and least credible to provide any advice concerning neonicotinoid insecticides.

In their usual method of arriving at scientifically illiterate conclusions, beekeepers have somehow concocted the imaginary danger that neonicotinoid insecticides was the reason for bee colony collapse disorder.

Of course, this is a myth!

It is also a ploy to attract enviro-profit in the form of illegitimate compensation.

Bee-keepers allege, with no scientific proof, that neonicotinoid insecticides somehow cause bee colony collapse disorder.

Bee-keepers are perpetuating a myth!

In fact, when used properly by growers, with best management practices, neonicotinoid insecticides cause no harm, and do not hurt bees.

There is no evidence to suggest a link between neonicotinoid insecticides and bee colony collapse disorder.

Neonicotinoid insecticides do not harm bees.

Clearly, bee colony collapse disorder is the fault of bee-keepers and their mis-management practices.

Bee-keepers are responsible, and not neonicotinoid insecticides.

Table Of Contents

Bee-Keepers Are Continuously Stealing From Other Bee-Keepers
5
Bee-Keepers Are Bee-Rustlers6
Bee-Keepers Are Stealing From Bee-Keepers In Ontario8
Bee-Keepers Are Stealing From Bee-Keepers In British Columbia 10
Bee-Keepers Are Stealing From Bee-Keepers In Alberta11
Bee-Keepers Cannot Be Trusted
Bee-Keepers Are All Thieving Basterds
Background Information From An Independent Perspective 15
The Bee-Keeper Industry16
Bee-Keepers Are Harming Bees, And Not Insecticides
Varroa Mites Are The Prime Suspects In Bee Deaths18
Varroa Mites Are The Prime Suspects In Bee Deaths
Bee-Keepers Must Get Their Mite Problems Under Control
Bee-Keepers Must Get Their Mite Problems Under Control
Bee-Keepers Must Get Their Mite Problems Under Control



Bee-Keepers Are Continuously STEALING From Other Bee-Keepers

July 13th, 2013

Toronto Star

Selected And Adapted Excerpts

FORCE OF NATURE | THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE from National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG)

Myth-BUSTING Bee-Keepers STEAL From Bee-Keepers (cont)



Bee-Keepers Are Bee-Rustlers

There are a growing numbers of reports that describe bee-keepers as mere bee-rustlers.

In other words, bee-keepers are stealing from bee-keepers.

If bee-keepers are lying and cheating and stealing among themselves, then they are also lying and cheating with their public statements about bee deaths and neonicotinoid insecticides ?!?!

Myth-BUSTING Bee-Keepers STEAL From Bee-Keepers (cont)

Bee-keepers all over North America are thieving basterds — it is a myth to believe otherwise — how can bee-keepers be trusted about allegations of bee deaths and neonicotinoid insecticides ?!?!

In each case when bee-hives or queen bees were stolen, it was assumed that the bee-rustlers were industry insiders — in other words, they were bee-keepers.

Each bee-hive can weigh about 80 kilograms, and can contain between 30,000 and 80,000 bees.



Say your prayers varmint
I'm gonna steal all ya bees



Bee-Keepers Are Stealing From Bee-Keepers In Ontario

In Peterborough, Ontario, a bee-keeper opened his wooden mating boxes — where the worker bees were confined with their queen during a 48-hour bonding period — and he was stunned to see what had been stolen.

The bee-keeper understood immediately that bee-rustlers had entered his property, walked up the hill past the house, past the barn, past the chickens and goats, to the large trucking containers where the mating boxes were stored.

Myth-BUSTING Bee-Keepers STEAL From Bee-Keepers (cont)

There, bee-rustlers took the lid off one of the boxes, found the small plastic cage containing the queen bee, popped it open, and stole it.

Then, bee-rustlers must have moved on to the next box, and stole two more queen bees.

In Goderich, Ontario, bee-rustlers stole seven active bee-hives worth about 2,100 dollars.

Near Lindsay, Ontario, bee-rustlers stole eight bee-hives worth about 1,600 dollars from a producer.

There has also been bee-rustling near waterloo, Ontario, another north of Peterborough and yet another in the Ottawa area, none of which was reported in the media.



Now I gotchya, ya bee rustler



Bee-Keepers Are Stealing From Bee-Keepers In British Columbia

In 2012, in Abbotsford, British Columbia, there was a bee-rustling of 100 bee-hive frames that amounted to about 500,000 bees and 3,600 kilograms of honey, valued at 100,000 dollars in stolen merchandise.



Myth-BUSTING Bee-Keepers STEAL From Bee-Keepers (cont)

Bee-Keepers Are Stealing From Bee-Keepers In Alberta

In Alberta, bee-rustlers stole 150 bee-hives — that included man-made wooden box structures containing frames of honey-comb cells and a bee colony — and about three million bees, valued at about 60,000 dollars.



Bee rustler, you just yupped yourself into a hole in the head



Bee-Keepers Cannot Be Trusted

Bee-keepers claim there is some kind of unwritten code among bee-keepers, but this has not prevented the bee-keeper industry from becoming a bunch of thieving basterds.

Clearly, the bee-rustlers must have intimate knowledge of bees and almost certainly want the bee-hives for themselves.



Ya durn bee rustler!
I'll give ya a taste of leather!

Bee-Keepers Are All Thieving Basterds

Bee-keepers are stealing from bee-keepers — they are all thieving basterds — how can they be trusted about allegations of bee deaths and neonicotinoid insecticides?!?!

Bee-keepers are the least credible and the least qualified to provide any advice concerning neonicotinoid insecticides.

If bee-keepers are capable of lying and cheating and stealing among themselves, then they are also capable lying and cheating with their public statements against insecticides.



Heh Heh Heh Heh

Good night bee rustling varmints







Background Information From An Independent Perspective

The Bee-Keeper Industry

Here is a summary of what is known about the bee-keeper industry —

- Bee colony collapse disorder is the fault of bee-keepers and their mismanagement practices.
- Bee-keepers all over North America are lying and cheating by using illegal and unregistered pest control products.
- Bee-keepers appear to be unable or unwilling to get their pest problems under control, such as *varroa* mites.
- Bee-keepers are continuously stealing from other bee-keepers.
- Bee-keepers are conveniently overlooking the fact that coated corn seed is inaccessible to bees.
- Bee-keepers are harming bees, and not neonicotinoid insecticides.
- Bee-keepers are illegally using products like amitraz that is known to cause cancer, and is known to kill people.
- Bee-keepers are the least credible and the least qualified to provide any advice concerning neonicotinoid insecticides.
- Bee-keepers are wrong about neonicotinoid insecticides since the weight of the scientific evidence clearly shows that they do not affect bees.
- Bee-keepers avoid admitting that fugitive dust may be only one of several possible routes of exposure.
- Bee-keepers cannot be trusted.
- Bee-keepers fail to admit that there are only a small number of reports of bee losses.
- Bee-keepers have no idea what they are doing.
- Bee-keepers know almost nothing about pest control products.
- Bee-keepers seem to be blissfully unaware that *varroa* mites are problem no. 1
- Bee-keepers use illegal, unregistered, deadly, and cancer-causing products, and thus, bee-keepers are producing potentially dangerous honey.
- Varroa mites are the primary problem associated with bee mortality, and not neonicotinoid insecticides.

Bee-Keepers Are Harming Bees, And Not Insecticides

There is no evidence to suggest a link between neonicotinoid insecticides and bee colony collapse disorder.

Neonicotinoid insecticides, like imidacloprid, are widely used for the control of insect pests in lawns, gardens, ornamental plants, and agriculture.

In fact, there is overwhelming scientific evidence demonstrating that neonicotinoid insecticides are not a significant factor in overall bee health decline.

Moreover, researchers have not been able to identify a single cause of bee colony collapse disorder.

Overall, neonicotinoid insecticides do not harm bees.

However, bee-keepers are harming bees with their mis-management practices.

Since 2006, anti-pesticide bee-keepers have falsely alleged that there are so-called links and causes between the neonicotinoid insecticides like imidacloprid and bee colony collapse disorder.

This is false!

Bee-Keepers Are Harming Bees
With Their Mis-Management Practices

Varroa Mites Are The Prime Suspects In Bee Deaths

International researchers widely agree that bee health is impacted by a combination of factors, the primary one being *varroa* mites.

According to the U.S. Agriculture Department and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the single most detrimental pests of honeybees are *var-roa* mites.

According to Ernesto Guzman, a bee researcher at the University of Guelph, *varroa* mites continue to be the prime suspect in bee deaths.

According to Guzman, there is evidence that *varroa* mites are the primary problem associated with bee mortality in southern Ontario, although neonicotinoid insecticides have been associated with some isolated cases of colony mortality.

Background Information From An Independent Perspective

Bee-Keepers Must Get Their Mite Problems Under Control

According to Alberta Bee-Keepers Commission, *varroa* mites are the cause of roughly half of bee deaths every winter.

If *varroa* mites infest even one per cent of bees, within two years, the entire hive could be lost.

Alberta Bee-Keepers Commission never mentioned anything about neonicotinoid insecticides.

Bee-keepers must get their *varroa* mite problems under control to rein in the collapse of bee colonies.

Bee-keepers appear to be unable or unwilling to get their pest problems, such as *varroa* mites, under control to rein in the collapse of their bee colonies.

Bee-Keepers Lie And Cheat By Using Illegal Products

There are reports that bee-keepers all over North America are lying and cheating by using illegal and unregistered pest control products.

If bee-keepers are lying and cheating by using illegal products, then they are also lying and cheating with their public statements about bee deaths and neonicotinoid insecticides ?!?!

They are all illegal basterds — how can they be trusted about allegations of bee deaths and neonicotinoid insecticides?!?!

Bee-keepers are the least credible and the least qualified to provide any advice concerning neonicotinoid insecticides.

In Alberta, bee-keepers were fined for using unregistered pest control products to combat mite infestations.

Attempts by these two bee-keepers to stop *varroa* mites from invading their bee-hives resulted in a hefty fine from Health Canada.

Russell Severson of Camrose, and Miedema Honey Farm Inc. of Barrhead, were fined after they used unregistered and illegal pest control products in their bee-hives.

Bee-Keepers Use Illegal, Unregistered Deadly, And Cancer-Causing Products

Bee-Keepers Are Scientifically Illiterate

The weight of the scientific evidence clearly shows that neonicotinoid insecticides do not affect bees.

The exposure of bees to neonicotinoid insecticides is at very low levels, far too low to cause harmful effects.

Neonicotinoid insecticides will cause no harm to bees since treated plant tissues contain only tiny amounts of ingredient, bees are not feeding on the plants, and pollen contains barely detectable levels.

If bee-keepers were not so scientifically illiterate, they would know that scientific research shows that, as reported through EPA's and Health Canada's vast toxicology database, no harm will occur to bees.

Neonicotinoid insecticides have been thoroughly assessed by Health Canada to ensure they can be used safely without harming bees.

Background Information From An Independent Perspective

Insecticide-Treated Seed

Bee-keepers conveniently overlook the fact that insecticide-coated corn seed used by the agriculture industry is inaccessible to bees.

One of the uses of neonicotinoid insecticides is as a coating applied to corn seeds to protect the plants, as well as bees.

The seed itself takes care of its own pest management, not only as a seed but as it grows and matures into a stalk of corn with cobs.

It is a safe and targeted way to use the insecticide without harming pollinators.

The neonicotinoid insecticide is coated on the seed, and the seed is buried in the soil, so it is inaccessible to the bees.

Canadian Bee Mortalities

Bee-keepers fail to admit that there are only a small number of reports of bee losses.

The information evaluated to date suggests that coated corn seeds contributed to a small number of bee losses.

Between April and June 2012, Health Canada received a small number of reports of bee losses from across southern Ontario, involving a mere 40 beekeepers, as well as 1 report from Quebec.

At present, there are 2,900 bee-keepers in Ontario, therefore, the affected bee-keepers represent less than 1.5 per cent of all bee-keepers in Ontario.

Ontario's small number of bee die-offs in 2012 raised the unjustified questions of how bees were coming into contact with neonicotinoid insecticides.

Bee colony collapse disorder is the fault of bee-keepers and their mismanagement practices.

Bee-keepers are responsible, and not neonicotinoid insecticides.

Bee Colony Collapse Disorder Is The FAULT Of Bee-Keepers And THEIR MIS-MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

EU Nations Do Not Want Prohibition

The majority of European Union (EU) nations do not want anti-pesticide prohibition.

EU government officials are thinking twice before banning pesticides.

European Union nations have been unable to reach agreement on proposals to arbitrarily prohibit the use of three neonicotinoid insecticides that have been linked to the decline of bees.

A decline in bee population was linked by false allegations against neonicotinoid insecticides.

The European Commission had called for a two-year EU-wide moratorium (a.k.a. anti-pesticide prohibition), but a majority of nations opposed the plans.


European Union nations were unable to reach a qualified majority in order for prohibition to be adopted.

The EU majority decision against prohibition is a clear recognition that there is no convincing argument against the continued use of neonicotinoid insecticides.

Furthermore, the Pest Management Regulatory Agency of Health Canada disagrees with the EU decision, and does not believe that prohibition is necessary.

Canada will not follow the lead of Europe by proposing an unfounded kneejerk prohibition against neonicotinoid insecticides.

Consequently, EU's prohibition has put it at a disadvantage since it has now become the world's largest food importer.





We are living in the 9|11 Era of Anti Pesticide and Environmental Terrorism where at least ONE SUBVERSIVE ACT OF TERROR is Perpetrated EVERY SINGLE DAY by enviro lunatics.

We are living in the DARK AGE OF ANTI PESTICIDE TERRORISM where sound science is trumped by FAKE SCIENTISTS, JUNK SCIENCE and UNVERIFIABLE SECRET EVIDENCE through FABRICATION, INNUENDO, and INTERNET RUMOUR — scientific research PROVES that pest control products CAUSE NO HARM and can be USED SAFELY.

NORAHG is the National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green space industry.

NORAHG morally represents the VAST SILENT MAJORITY of people associated with turf and ornamental plant maintenance who are OPPOSED to Anti Pesticide PROHIBITION and the CLO-SURE of green spaces under the RIDICULOUS PRETEXT of somehow « saving » the environment

NORAHG is a NATIONAL NON PROFIT NON PARTISAN organization that does not accept mon ey from corporations or governments or trade associations, and represents NO VESTED INTER ESTS WHATSOEVER.

NORAHG is dedicated to reporting the work of RESPECTED and HIGHLY RATED EXPERTS who promote ENVIRONMENTAL REALISM and PESTICIDE TRUTHS.

Anti Pesticide HUJE are enviro lunatics and lawn haters who particularly DESPISE the golf industry

— they are Hateful Underhanded Jokes as Environmentalists who have been WRONG FOR

OVER 50 YEARS

There is NO RECOURSE but LITIGATION against Anti Pesticide HUJE.

Another RECOURSE is to SEEK the CANCELLATION of GOVERNMENT GRANTS and REVO CATION of the TAX EXEMPT STATUS of Anti Pesticide Organizations.

HUJE should Get OFF Our grASS, and they should Roast In Hell.

NORAHG manages the Library of Force Of Nature Reports and References, which is a VAST AR-CHIVE of REPORTS, MEDIA REFERENCES, AUDIO CLIPS, and VIDEOS on ALL Anti Pesticide Terrorist Acts of Subversion.

The purpose of this ARCHIVE is to provide information that will lead to a SUCCESSFUL LITIGATION AGAINST Anti-Pesticide Organizations.

All names, statements, activities, and affiliations have been ARCHIVED for the intention of eventua CRIMINAL CHARGES.

When CRIMINAL CHARGES for FRAUD and CONSPIRACY are laid, legal experts say that the ARCHIVE is sufficient to lead to a SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION!

NORAHG also produces FORCE OF NATURE, reports that present THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE about environmental issues, including anti pesticide terrorism.

FORCE OF NATURE is a series of reports destined for the green space industry, the environmenta terrorist movement, governments, and the media, nationwide across Canada, the United States, and overseas

FORCE OF NATURE is committed to SOUND SCIENCE, as well as ground breaking original reporting that informs, entertains, and creates real change.

FORCE OF NATURE was launched for CONTINUOUS transmission on the Internet on January 1st, 2009 — however, the VERY FIRST Stand-Alone FORCE OF NATURE Report was issued on September 19th, 2008.

By early 2009, the reports were released no more than five times per week, but by August 25th 2009 FORCE OF NATURE was produced on a DAILY basis.

On March 15th, 2010, Uncle Adolph independently launched the Blog-Site called The Pesticide Truths for the posting of information right-off-the-press.

By May 27th, 2010, Uncle Adolph expanded Pesticide Truths to a SECOND Blog-Site, and independently acquired the rights to archive the ENTIRE FORCE OF NATURE Library of Reports.

By mid-December 2010, ENTIRE FORCE OF NATURE Library of Reports was FULLY SUMMA-



RIZED and CROSS-REFERENCED, and by early 2012, the library was archived on The Pesticide Truths Web-Site. in the form of Web-Pages.

The information presented in FORCE OF NATURE has been developed for the education and entertainment of the reader by providing a sequence of events WITH COMMENTARY, striving for accuracy in history, politics, and science.

FORCE OF NATURE is TOTALLY INDEPENDENT and provides NO guarantee regarding accuracy or completeness. In no event shall FORCE OF NATURE be liable for any incidental or consequential damages, lost profits, or any indirect damages.

NORAHG also produces A LOOK AT, a series of reports providing TECHNICAL INFORMATION or issues such as Career Management, Golf Course Maintenance, Green Alternatives, Summer Stress, Turforass Pests, and Turforass Species.

Finally, NORAHG frequently responds to anti pesticide activists in LETTERS TO THE EDITOR in newspapers across Canada and around the world.

All information, excerpts, and pictures contained in FORCE OF NATURE, A LOOK AT, and LET-TERS TO THE EDITOR were retrieved from the Internet, and may be considered in the public domain.

FORCE OF NATURE, A LOOK AT, and their various incarnations, was the brainchild of William H. Gathercole and his colleagues in 1991. Mr. Gathercole is now retired, although his name continues to appear as founder.

Here is a brief summary of Mr. Gathercole's career —

Fields of study — Horticulture/Agriculture, Mathematics, Physics

Alma mater — McGill University • University of Guelph • the first person ever to obtain university degrees and contribute to both the professional lawn care and golf maintenance industries

Expertise in — environmental issues and anti pesticide terrorism • turf and ornamental maintenance and troubleshooting • history of the industry • sales and distribution of seeds, chemicals, fertilizers, and equipment • fertilizer manufacturing and distribution

Notable activities — worked in virtually all aspects of the green space industry, including golf, professional lawn care, distribution, environmental compliance, government negotiations, public affairs and workplace safety • supervisor, consultant, and, programmer for the successful execution of hundreds of thousands of management operations in the golf and urban landscape, as well as millions of pest control applications • advisor, instructor, and trainer for thousands of turf and ornamental managers and technicians • pesticide certification instructor for thousands of industry workers • founder of the modern professional lawn care industry • prolific writer for industry publications and e-newsletters • first to confirm the invasion of European Chafer insect in both the Montreal region and the Vancouver / Fraser Valley region • with Dr. Peter Dernoeden, confirmed the presence of Take All Patch as a disease of turf in Eastern Canada • with Dr. David Shetlar, confirmed the presence of Kentucky Bluegrass Scale as an insect pest in South Western Ontario, and later. in the Montreal and Vancouver regions

Special contributions — creator of the exception status that has allowed the golf industry to avoid being subjected to anti pesticide prohibition • creator of the signs that are now used for posting after application • co-founder of annual winter convention for Quebec golf course superintendents • the major influence in the decision by Canadian Cancer Society to stop selling for profit pesticide treated daffodils • the only true reliable witness of the events of anti pesticide prohibition in the town of Hudson, Quebec • retired founder of FORCE OF NATURE and A LOOK AT reports

Notable award — the very first man of the year for contributions leading to the successful founding of Quebec professional lawn care industry, which served as a beach-head against anti pesticide activists in the 1980s and 1990s

Legacy — Mr. Gathercole and his colleagues ... designed and implemented strategies that reined anti pesticide activists to provide peace and prosperity for the entire modern green space industry across Canada • orchestrated legal action against anti pesticide activists in the town of Hudson, Quebec • launched the largest founding professional lawn care business in Canada • quadrupled the business revenues of one of the largest suppliers in Canada

Mr. Gathercole is now retired, although his name continues to appear as founder of FORCE OF NATURE and A LOOK AT reports.