iCanGarden.com Page 1 of 2



iCanGarden.com Page 2 of 2

MORE

MORE

Chocolate Bliss
Tales As Tall As A Sunflower
Green Gardener's Guide
Healthy Soils for Sustainable
Gardens

BUILDING WITH STONE

by Charles McRaven STONESCAPING: A Guide to

Using Stone in Your Garden by Jan Kowalczewski Whitne STEP-BY-STEP OUTDOOR STONEWORK: Over 20 Easy-to-Build Projects for Your Patio & Garden by Mile Journage

by Mike Lawrence
Peterson Field Guide to
EASTERN BUTTERFLIES by
Paul A. Opler; Vichai Malikul

books

products

In its own press release this past Thursday, the U.S. EPA said, 'EPA advises consumers that short-term use of these products according to label instructions does not pose an imminent risk.' As regards the possible risk of eating food treated with Chlorpyrifos, the EPA, on the same day, had the following to say: 'Food treated prior to these restrictions still provides a considerable margin of safety to consumers. This action simply makes it stronger. The health benefits of a varied diet high in fresh fruits and vegetables outweigh the risk of pesticide residues anticipated on treated fruit. Parents should continue to feed their children a balanced and nutritious diet rich in fruits and vegetables.'

On the topic of drinking water, the EPA, also this past Thursday, said: 'Monitoring data confirm that Chlorpyrifos does not impact groundwater.' And further, 'There are no acute concerns for residues in drinking water.'

While this topic received a great deal of press coverage at the end of this week, I was appalled by the ignorance shown by those in the national media of both the U.S. and Canada. We had national anchors and reporters putting at least three incorrect pronunciations of Chlorpyrifos, even though Ms Carol Browner, the U.S. EPA administrator did pronounce it correctly in her remarks at the press conference on Thursday. Could they not at least have listened to what she said? And by the way, there's another interesting point here, especially if you like me are wondering, 'why now?'. Why an announcement now when this chemical has been used successfully for 35 years? I remember well when it was introduced in 1965 as a panacea to replace the far more enduring and long-lasting Chlordane, used up until that

I think I may have an answer to that. I note in the EPA's Carol Browner's brief statement at the press conference, her mention no less than four times of the 'Clinton-Gore Administration'. And, in the press release the same day, there are four more such mentions of the 'Clinton-Gore Administration'! This seems a bit heavy to me! You don't suppose the Clinton-Gore Administration (which up until recently, a I recall was always called the 'Clinton Administration') is calculating that this is a good election issue? Could be!

