

FORCE OF NATURE

Environmental Terror NEVER Ends

Before the Federal Court of Canada, Josette Weir's own lawyer conceded that her so-called evidence DID NOT demonstrate a « risk » to health or animals

Lunatic-Environmental-Terrorists

Stop

LACK OF CREDIBLE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE from West Coast **Environmental Law** and Josette Weir

January 20th, 2012

The Lawyers Weekly

Selected and adapted excerpts



Josette Wier's EXAGGERATED CLAIMS concerning « significant new evidence » against GLYPHOSATE were QUICKLY **DISCREDITED** and ABANDONED by the Federal Court of Canada

Overview

On November 21st, 2011, the judgement from the Federal Court of Canada led to DISCREDITING and ABANDONING ALLEGATIONS concerning the safety of the active ingredient GLYPHOSATE for LACK OF CREDIBLE SCI-ENTIFIC EVIDENCE.



Overview (continued)

On behalf of Josette Weir, West Coast Environmental Law (WCEL) filed a NEEDLESS, SENSELESS, and MALICIOUS PETITION under the Pest Control Products Act DEMANDING A SPECIAL REVIEW OF GLYPHOSATE.

Wier made EXAGGERATED CLAIMS concerning « significant new evidence » that were QUICKLY DISCREDITED and ABANDONED by the Federal Court of Canada.

Even Weir's lawyer conceded that her evidence DID NOT demonstrate a « risk » to health or animals.

According to the Canadian Minister of Health, a RE-EVALUATION of glyphosate is already in the works, all evidence is being reviewed, and there is NO NEED FOR SPECIAL REVIEW.

Glyphosate is already under RE-EVALUATION by the respected Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA) of Health Canada, in cooperation with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, that is targeted for completion by 2014.

Rather than waste money from government grants and tax-payers, Weir and WCEL should have simply waited until 2014.



Overview (continued)

Ken Manning was the Legal Counsel with Justice Canada in Vancouver who represented the Federal Government.

According to Ken Manning —

<< It ended up being AN ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN IN A VERY NARROW ASPECT.

The court even says IT IS NOT SUGGESTING A [SPECIAL] RE-VIEW SHOULD HAPPEN. >>

Under the Pest Control Products Act, anyone can request a SPECIAL RE-VIEW of the health or environmental risks of a registered product, which according to the court, « the Minister SHALL perform unless there is reasonable certainty that no harm will result from exposure to the pesticide. »



What Is Glyphosate?

Glyphosate is a non-selective systemic, broad-spectrum herbicide that was first registered for use in 1976.

It is most commonly-known under the brand name of Roundup, which is manufactured by Monsanto, and is THE MOST used herbicide in North America, and Roundup is the NUMBER ONE SELLING HERBICIDE worldwide since at least 1980.

As of 2009, sales of Roundup herbicides represent only about 10 per cent of Monsanto's revenue, due to competition from Chinese producers of other glyphosate-based herbicides.

Nonetheless, the overall Roundup line of products (which includes Genetically Modified seeds) represents about half of Monsanto's annual revenue.

On February 2nd, 2010, glyphosate was placed under RE-EVALUATION by Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA).

The Pest Management Regulatory Agency's RE-EVALUATION PROGRAM considers potential risks as well as the value of pest control products to ensure they meet modern standards established to protect human health and the environment.

It is expected that glyphosate will be deemed ACCEPTABLE FOR CONTIN-UED USE.



Glyphosate Is SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE

Pest control products, like glyphosate, are ACCEPTABLE FOR CONTINUED USE because ...

- Pest control products are APPROVED for use by the Federal Government.
- Government-Approved pest control products MEET STRICT HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS.
- Pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE and CAUSE NO HARM.
- Government –Approved pest control products provide a reasonable certainty that NO HARM will occur from their use.
- Overall, when they are used properly, there are NO harmful irreversible effects to health and the environment.
- It is a MYTH to believe that ANY PROHIBITION of these products will protect health and the environment.



Weir is NOT A CREDIBLE EXPERT on the subject of glyphosate, or for that matter, **ANY** pest control product

Who Is Josette Weir?

Weir is an Anti-Pesticide Activist who has NO expertise, training, or education in matters concerning pest control products.

Although she claims to be a physician from France, Weir is NOT licensed to practice medicine in Canada.

Weir also claims to be an « environmental researcher » a.k.a. an Anti-Pesticide Lunatic.



Who Is Josette Weir? (continued)

In fact, Weir is a MERE OWNER OF A BED-AND-BREAKFAST in small back-woods Town of Smithers, in British Columbia.

The VAST MAJORITY of the documents filed with Weir's ALLEGATIONS about the safety of glyphosate were DISCREDITED and ABANDONED for LACK OF CREDIBLE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE.

Even Weir's lawyer conceded before the Federal Court of Canada that her so-called evidence DID NOT demonstrate a « risk » to health or animals.

Weir is NOT A CREDIBLE EXPERT on the subject of glyphosate, or for that matter, ANY pest control product.

This PROBLEM WITH LACK-OF-ACTIVIST-CREDIBILITY reflects the overall larger problem with ALL Anti-Pesticide Activists.

There are NO Anti-Pesticide Activists who are scientists or researchers with credentials in the field of pest control products.

NONE!

They are NOT COMPETENT to talk about pest control products.



Weir's So-Called Concerns About Glyphosate

In 2009, Weir wrote a 29-page letter to the Pest Management Regulatory Agency of Health Canada (PMRA).

She expressed MOCK-CONCERN about the effects of glyphosate and its surfactant polyethoxylated tallow amines (POEA), and DEMANDED A SPE-CIAL REVIEW.

Originally, Wier made EXAGGERATED CLAIMS concerning « significant new evidence » indicating POEA somehow posed « risks » to health or to the environment.

Understandably, PMRA DECLINED Wier's request for a SPECIAL REVIEW.

The PMRA concluded that Weir FAILED to bring enough new evidence of unacceptable risk to amphibians to support a special review.

Once rejected by PMRA, Weir decided to throw an Enviro-Lunatic TANTRUM, and go to the Federal Court of Canada where her EXAGGERATED CLAIMS were QUICKLY DISCREDITED and ABANDONED.

Even before the Federal Court of Canada, Weir's own lawyer conceded that her so-called evidence DID NOT demonstrate a « risk » to health or animals.



CAPE and WCEL

Weir's ACTS OF SUBVERSION against glyphosate have been FINANCIALLY and LEGALLY SUPPORTED by three Anti-Pesticide Organizations —

- Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment (CAPE)
- Driftwood Foundation
- West Coast Environmental Law (WCEL)

Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment (CAPE) and West Coast Environmental Law (WCEL) are BOTH Anti-Pesticide Organizations.

CAPE and WCEL have CONSPIRED to PROHIBIT pest control products in the Urban Landscape.

They operate as FUND-RAISING ORGANIZATIONS.

They are NOT science or research organizations.

Some Anti-Pesticide Activists from these organization feel compelled to make public appearances in laboratory coats to present themselves as medical doctors, or as laboratory experts, when in fact they have NO expertise whatsoever.



CAPE and WCEL (continued)

Neither CAPE nor WCEL have ANY qualified laboratories or experts for testing pest control products.

Both CAPE and WCEL have SQUANDERED AND MISAPPROPRIATED DO-NATIONS and GOVERNMENT GRANTS to finance QUESTIONABLE CAM-PAIGNS such as the CONSPIRACY AGAINST pest control products.

Pest control products, such as glyphosate, are HEALTH-CANADA-APPROVED, FEDERALLY-LEGAL, SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE, and PRACTI-CALLY-NON-TOXIC.

As REGISTERED-CHARITIES, CAPE and WCEL CANNOT BE INVOLVED in PARTISAN POLITICAL ACTIVITIES, such as the PROHIBITION of pest control products.

The rules at the CANADA REVENUE AGENCY are clear ... CAPE and WCEL CANNOT BE INVOLVED IN PARTISAN POLITICAL ACTIVITIES LIKE PRO-HIBITION.

Demands have been made for CAPE and WCEL's registered charity taxexempt status TOTALLY REVOKED.



Judgment In Response To Weir Petition

According to the judgement from the Federal Court of Canada ...

<< While this application for judicial review is allowed, the Court notes that MOST OF THE GROUNDS for the applicant's request for the special review were ABANDONED before this Court at the hearing of the application. >>

Despite what Anti-Pesticide Activists are reporting about this issue, there is NO SCIENTIFIC PROOF that glyphosate is a problem for health and the environment.

However, in response to ONE PART ONLY of Weir's petition, the Federal Court of Canada ordered Health Canada to STUDY so-called risks to amphibians.

According to Anti-Pesticide Activists, the judgement somehow proves that citizens can have an impact on government — although that impact is likely to be minimal, legal observers say.

In fact, the judgement proves that ENVIRONMENTAL-TERRORISM NEVER ENDS!



INFAMOUS Literature Review

These so-called risks to amphibians were based on a 2008 literature review by BRITISH COLUMBIA MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT, a government agency INFESTED with Anti-Pesticide Activists.

In the British Columbia 2008 literature review, it was reported that an inert ingredient in glyphosate known as POEA — or polyoxyethylene tallow amines — MAY have a toxic effect on amphibians.

According to the Canadian Minister of Health, a government RE-EVALUATION of glyphosate is already in the works, all evidence is being reviewed, and there is NO NEED FOR SPECIAL REVIEW.

The British Columbia 2008 literature review is merely a deceptive Anti-Pesticide JUNK SCIENCE document that consisted of another INFAMOUS SIMPLE CHERRY-PICKING of the most recklessly negative report regarding glyphosate.

The British Columbia 2008 literature review consists mostly of research that DOES NOT EVEN COMPLY with the same research standards as the Pest Control Product Industry.

This industry must comply with VERY PRECISE and DEMANDING STAND-ARDS when it comes to undertaking the scientific research required by government regulatory agencies like Health Canada.

Scientific data submitted to Health Canada and other federal regulators must be done by GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE (GLP) qualified laboratories.



Worst Case Scenario

IN THE WORST CASE SCENARIO, glyphosate will not be permitted near sensitive wetlands.

Nonetheless, this restriction will represent a MAJOR AND NEEDLESS COST TO TAX-PAYERS.

According to the judgement from the Federal Court of Canada ...

<< The special review can co-exist with the section 16 reevaluation of the pesticide.

The special review will be narrower than the comprehensive re-evaluation being conducted in the conjunction with the United States.

For this reason, the special review will be targeted and possibly quicker.

THE APPLICANT IS ENTITLED TO A PROPER ANALYSIS AS TO WHETHER THE PESTICIDE IN ISSUE PRESENTS AN ENVIRONMEN-TAL RISK TO AMPHIBIANS INHABITING EPHEMERAL WETLANDS WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO THE AERIAL SPRAYING OF THE PESTI-CIDE IN SILVICULTURE.



The evidence alluded to is that the two year field studies have just been completed and that the studies may present new evidence upon which the Minister can make a transparent and intelligible decision under section 17 of the Act. >>

In other words, Health Canada's re-evaluation of glyphosate WILL CONTIN-UE AS PLANNED as of February 2nd, 2010.

According to Anti-Pesticide Lawyer Diane Saxe ...

<< The decision DOESN'T CHANGE MUCH.

It started off grandly, but ALL OF THE ORIGINAL GROUNDS EX-CEPT ONE WERE ABANDONED [by Weir].

All the health issues WERE ABANDONED [by Weir].

They weren't even argued [by Weir].

All that was left was the effect of these pesticides on amphibians in ephemeral wetlands, which hadn't been studied.

The government admitted there was a data gap there.



But the issue is significant. [?!?!]

Small ephemeral wetlands are surprisingly important biological engines.

Wier was right to be concerned about the pesticides. [?!?!] >>

Who Is Diane Saxe?

Dianne Saxe claims to be a leading environmental lawyer, with thirty years practical experience.

Saxe was once senior prosecutor for the Ontario Ministry of the Environment.

She boasts having expertise in administrative penalties, approvals, climate change, contaminated land, due diligence, emissions trading, enforcement, government relations, groundwater protection, ISO 14000, new substances notification, and pest control products.



Who Is Diane Saxe? (continued)

She is listed as a financial supporter of Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society, as well as Ontario Liberal Party and Canadian Institute for Environmental Law and Policy (CIELAP), two organizations that CONSPIRE to PROHIB-IT pest control products.

She is the proud wife of Stewart Saxe, a labour lawyer, and mother of Rebecca, David, and Shoshanna Saxe, a civil engineer.

Quotation by Dianne Saxe —

<< Modern chemicals have given us untold convenience and prosperity, and perhaps contributed to our record-breaking lifespans.

But I expect there will be consequences, sooner or later, to loading our bodies (and environment) with powerful artificial chemicals, and that government won't have done a good job of protecting us.

So I read « Slow Death by Rubber Duck » [The How-To-Become-An-Enviro-Lunatic Manual], and threw out our nonstick frying pans. Good thing we don't have a rubber duck. >>

CATASTROPHIC CARNAGE Caused by Anti-Pesticide Activities

Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION led to HORRENDOUS and CATA-STROPHIC CARNAGE -

LOSS OF REVENUES, BUSINESS FAILURES, BANKRUPTCY, and UNEMPLOYMENT.

Because of Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION, Professional Lawn Care businesses were forced to TERMINATE many or most of their employees.

PROHIBITION inflicted TERROR, DESPAIR, and DESTITUTION on THOUSANDS of hapless victims throughout the Professional Lawn Care Industry.

The DEVASTATION of the Professional Lawn Care Industry was EXTENSIVE because there were NO valid economical alternatives to replace the prohibited products.

Consequently, Professional Lawn Care Companies were UNA-BLE to provide adequate weed and insect control, and were UNABLE to keep their customers satisfied.

In the Province of Quebec, OVER 60 PER CENT of the Professional Lawn Care Industry was ANNIHILATED.

PROHIBITION also spread to the Province of Ontario, where PROFESSIONAL LAWN CARE INDUSTRY LOST OVER 500,000,000 DOLLARS, with over 12,500 UNEMPLOYED.

Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION DESTROYED the Modern Professional Lawn Care Industry.

Pest control products are HEALTH-CANADA-APPROVED, FEDERALLY-LEGAL, SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE, and TOTALLY-IRREPLACEABLE.









Enviro-Terrorists deserve NO LESS than ARRESTS, FINES, and IMPRISONMENT

Thanks to the AGREEMENT reached between Dow AgroSciences and the Canadian federal government of May 25th, 2011, it was concluded that ...

- Pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE
- PROHIBITIONS are IRREFUTABLY INVALIDATED

Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITIONS have INFLICTED IMMENSE LOSSES to the Professional Lawn Care Industry —

... in the HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS.

The Professional Lawn Care Industry and other injured parties are MOVING TO SEEK DAMAGES AND RESTITUTION in several jurisdictions across Canada.

In the event that criminal charges for FRAUD and CONSPIRACY are laid, legal experts say that that there is sufficient information to lead to a SUCCESSFUL PROSE-CUTION!

All Anti-Pesticide Terrorists as well as Government-Conspirators have been identified on the basis of their statements, activities, affiliations, and whereabouts.

These Anti-Pesticide Lunatics and their Tax-Free Charity Environmental-Terrorist-Organizations CONSPIRED to IMPOSE NEEDLESS, SENSELESS, and MALICIOUS PROHIBITION of pest control products.

They deserve NO LESS than ...

- ARRESTS
- FINES
- IMPRISONMENT
- RESCINDING OF TAX-EXEMPT CHARITY STATUS

ARCHIVED DOCUMENTS, AUDIO CLIPS, and VIDEOS on ALL Anti-Pesticide Activities are AVAILABLE.

Anti-Pesticide Organizations that DO NOT DESERVE ANY Tax-Exempt Status.





