		insecticide in the same season.
		Imidacloprid is registered for suppression of the early fall larval stages of leatherjackets. Only one application per year is registered. If a previous application to turf was made with imidacloprid for control of Japanese beetle, European chafer or black turfgrass ateanius then imidacloprid may not be used to control leatherjackets in the same year. Imidacloprid may not be used on turf previously treated in the same year with a group 4 insecticide.
		Chlorantraniliprole is applied between September and November
European chafer, Japanese	4: clothianidin, imidacloprid	Clothianidin, imidacloprid and thiamethoxam are currently under re-evaluation.
beetle		Clothianidin is applied to turf as a preventative and curative treatment. Preventative treatments begin when first adults appear and continue through peak egg hatch. Curative treatments are applied after egg hatch or when damage is observed from the current generation.
	28:	Imidacloprid application is made prior to egg hatch (preventative treatment) and will provide suppression only.
	chlorantraniliprole	Thiamethoxam is timed from peak adult flight to peak egg hatch.
		Chlorantraniliprole is applied to turf as a preventative and early curative treatment from early April to late August.
Ants	1B: chlorpyrifos 3: deltamethrin, lambda cyhalothrin	Chlorpyrifos is registered for use on golf courses, industrial sites, highway medians and sod farms only.
Chinch bug	1B: chlorpyrifos 3: deltamethrin 4: clothianidin	Clothianidin, deltamethrin and lambda-cyhalothrin are currently under re-evaluation.
	Other: Metarhizium anisopliae	One application of chlorantraniliprole may be made per season.

Cutworms	1B: chlorpyrifos	Deltamethrin is registered for use in Eastern
	3: deltamethrin	Canada and British Columbia only.
	28:	
	chlorantraniliprole	Metarhizium anisopliae is registered for
Sod	1B: chlorpyrifos	suppression of hairy chinch bug only.
webworms	3: deltamethrin	
	5: spinosad	

Insecticide and Acaricide Resistance Management Group Numbers based on DIR 99-06, *Voluntary Pesticide Resistance Management Labelling based on Target Site/Mode of Action*, with updates from the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) Mode of Action Classification Scheme v7.4 May 2015. Available at http://www.irac-online.org/documents/moa-classification/. 1B = acetylcholinesterase inhibitors; 3 = sodium channel modulators; 4 = nicotinic acetylcholine receptor competitive modulators; 5 = nicotinic acetylcholine receptor allosteric modulators; 28 = ryanodine receptor modulators.

3.3 Use of Carbaryl in the Ornamental Landscape Industry and in Forestry for the Control of Mountain Pine Beetle

Comments:

Carbaryl is needed for the control of mountain pine beetle on high value ornamental trees and in forestry. In British Columbia, carbaryl has been critical to protecting pine trees in nurseries, golf courses, residential properties and other locations during the current outbreak of mountain pine beetle.

Carbaryl is critical to the ornamental industry for the control of Japanese beetle and gypsy moth which are identified by the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) as quarantine pests.

Carbaryl is also needed for the control of ash plant bug, blister beetles, boxelder bug, elm leaf beetle, elm spanworm, flea beetles, leafhoppers, leafrollers, mountain pine beetle, black vine weevil, June beetle, psyllids, rose chafer, scale crawlers and willow leaf beetle on ornamental plants.

PMRA Response:

The PMRA acknowledges that there are limited registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl for the control of mountain pine beetle in Western Canada including British Columbia. Currently, chlorpyrifos (limited to forestry use) and verbenone are the registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl. In addition, it is noted that for some ornamental pests there are few registered alternatives (for example, for blister beetle, cankerworm, Eriophyid mites, flea beetle or leafrollers), or there are no registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl (boxelder bug, June beetle and rose chafer).

This is a list of registered alternatives, current as of August 2015. The registration status of active ingredients under re-evaluation may change pending the final regulatory decision. For additional information, consult the Pesticides and Pest Management portion of Health Canada's website: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/index-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/index-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/index-fra.php (French) for Re-evaluation Decisions (RVD and RRD documents) and Re-evaluation Notes (REV documents) or http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/part/consultations/index-eng.php (English) and http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/part/consultations/index-fra.php (French) for current and past consultation documents including Proposed Re-evaluation Decisions (PRVD and PACR documents) and certain Re-evaluation Notes (REV documents).

Furthermore, the PMRA recognizes that several of the registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl are currently under re-evaluation and may be registered for use only on a few of the ornamental crops listed on the carbaryl product labels or may have other use limitations.

3.4 Carbaryl Use on Tobacco

Comment:

Carbaryl is used for control of hornworm and flea beetle on flue-cured tobacco in the field. There are few registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl.

PMRA Response:

Information received in response to PRVD2009-14 resulted in refinement of the risk assessment for tobacco. As a result, the restricted-entry intervals were reduced and deemed by the PMRA to be agronomically feasible. Therefore, tobacco will remain in the registered use pattern of carbaryl.

3.5 Carbaryl Use on Grape

Comments:

Carbaryl is critical for control of leafhoppers on grapes. The other registered alternative active ingredients are not as effective as carbaryl to control this pest and are more costly. Neonicotiniods are persistent and negatively impact predaceous thrips and mites leading to secondary pest outbreaks of phytophagous mites. Some European countries have temporarily suspended the use of neonicotinoids (due to sudden bee colony collapse disorder) and this may impact export of Canadian wines due to concerns about neonicotinoid residues.

PMRA Response:

The registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl for the control of leafhoppers on grapes are listed in Table 3.5. The PMRA acknowledges that the alternative active ingredients may be more costly and that repeated use of a single active ingredient or active ingredients within one resistance mode of action group may result in secondary pest outbreaks and promote the development of resistance.

Table 3.5 Alternative Active Ingredients to Carbaryl for the Control of Leafhoppers on Grapes Registered in Canada as of August 2015

Crop	Pest	Resistance Management Group MoA: ¹ Registered alternatives ²	Comments
Grape	Leafhoppers	1B:, malathion 3: cypermethrin, permethrin, pyrethrins	Clothianidin, cypermethrin, imidacloprid, permethrin and pyrethrins are currently under re-evaluation.

Crop	Pest	Resistance Management Group MoA: ¹ Registered alternatives ²	Comments
		4: acetamiprid, clothianidin, imidacloprid, sulfoxaflor Other: kaolin clay	

Insecticide and Acaricide Resistance Management Group Numbers based on DIR 99-06, *Voluntary Pesticide Resistance Management Labelling based on Target Site/Mode of Action*, with updates from the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) Mode of Action Classification Scheme v7.4 May 2015. Available at http://www.irac-online.org/documents/moa-classification/. 1B = acetylcholinesterase inhibitors; 3 = sodium channel modulators; 4 = nicotinic acetylcholine receptor competitive modulator.

3.6 Carbaryl use for the control of (striped) cucumber beetle and climbing cutworms on cucumber, melon, pumpkin and squash

Comment:

Use of carbaryl for the control of cucumber beetle and climbing cutworm is critical until a suitable alternative active ingredient is available at a comparable price.

PMRA Response:

Information received in response to PRVD2009-14, resulted in refinement of the risk assessment for cucumber, melon, pumpkin and squash. As a result, the restricted-entry intervals were reduced and deemed to be agronomically feasible by the PMRA. Therefore, these uses will remain in the registered use pattern of carbaryl.

3.7 Carbaryl use on cole crops (broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage, cauliflower, and kohlrabi)

Comment:

Carbaryl is required for resistance management of crucifer pests.

PMRA Response:

Information received in response to PRVD2009-14, resulted in refinement of the risk assessment for kohlrabi. As a result, the restricted-entry intervals were reduced and deemed to be

This is a list of registered alternatives, current as of August 2015. The registration status of active ingredients under re-evaluation may change pending the final regulatory decision. For additional information, consult the Pesticides and Pest Management portion of Health Canada's website: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/index-eng.php (English) and http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/index-fra.php (French) for Re-evaluation decisions (RVD and RRD documents) and Re-evaluation notes (REV documents) or http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/part/consultations/index-eng.php (English) and http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/part/consultations/index-fra.php (French) for current and past consultation documents including Proposed Re-evaluation Decisions (PRVD and PACR documents) and certain Re-evaluation notes (REV documents).

agronomically feasible by the PMRA. Therefore, kohlrabi will remain in the registered use pattern of carbaryl. Registered alternative active ingredients are available from several resistance mode of action groups for the control of diamondback moth, imported cabbageworm and cabbage looper on broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage, cauliflower and kale. However, there are few viable alternative active ingredients for the control of leafhoppers. Chlorantraniliprole is the only alternative active ingredient to carbaryl for control of armyworm and corn earworm. Management of the development of resistance to this active ingredient is a concern for growers. There are no registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl for the control of meadow spittle bug, *Lygus* bug and stink bugs on cole crops. The PMRA also recognises that some of the registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl have limitations, and that several of these active ingredients are currently under re-evaluation.

3.8 Carbaryl Use in Residential Areas and the Impact on Agriculture from the Rural and Urban Interface

Comments:

Removal of the residential uses of carbaryl will negatively impact cherry growers where there is a rural and urban interface. Cherry growers adjacent to residential areas will be impacted by greater pest pressure from residential trees acting as a source of pests (particularly cherry fruit fly). There are no viable alternatives to carbaryl for the control of fruit tree pests on residential fruit trees. Residential yards acting as a source of pests may affect other crops as well.

PMRA Response:

The PMRA acknowledges that residential fruit trees may act as a source of insect pests that could infest orchards, particularly where residential yards are adjacent to production areas. Fruit tree hosts in residential areas which may harbour pests that could infest cherry orchards include:

- stone fruit: apricot, cherry, peach, plum; and
- pome fruit: apple and pear.

Use of pesticides to control fruit tree pests in residential areas may be subject to provincial or municipal regulations. These regulations may restrict or prohibit the use of pest control products in residential areas. Alternative pest control products to the Domestic Class carbaryl products include:

- Commercial Class products such as acephate, acetamiprid, malathion, permethrin, insecticidal soap and mineral oil that may be applied by a licensed applicator; and
- Domestic Class products that may be applied by the homeowner, including malathion, permethrin, pyrethrin, spinosad, Bacillus thuringiensis var kurstaki, insecticidal soap and mineral oil.

There are no other registered Domestic Class products for the control of cherry fruit fly or apple maggot on cherry, plum, and pears aside from the Domestic Class carbaryl products. However, Commercial Class products are available.

3.9 Carbaryl Use on Cherry

Comments:

Carbaryl is needed for control of cherry fruit flies on cherries. The options for cherry fruit fly control are limited based upon offshore market restrictions to the maximum residue limits, and the availability of alternative active ingredients from differing resistance mode of action (MoA) group for rotation to prevent development of resistance.

PMRA Response:

Alternative active ingredients to carbaryl are available from several insecticide resistance modes of action. The registered alternative active ingredients to carbaryl for the control of cherry fruit fly are listed in Table 3.9.

Table 3.9 Alternative Active Ingredients to Carbaryl for the Control of Cherry Fruit Fly on Cherries in Canada as of August 2015

Crop	Pest	Resistance Management Group MoA: Registered alternatives ²	Comments
Cherry	Cherry fruit fly	1B: diazinon, dimethoate, phosmet 3: lambda-cyhalothrin 4: acetamiprid, imidacloprid 5 spinosad 28: chlorantraniliprole (suppression), cyantraniliprole	As indicated in REV2013-01 <i>Diazinon Risk Management Plan</i> , foliar applications of diazinon on cherry crops will be phased out December 31, 2016. Dimethoate is limited to two applications per season. Phosmet is registered for use on sour cherries only. Dimethoate, lambda-cyhalothrin and imidacloprid are currently under reevaluation. Acetamiprid and chlorantraniliprole are registered for suppression only.

Insecticide and Acaricide Resistance Management Group Numbers based on DIR 99-06 *Voluntary Pesticide Resistance Management Labelling based on Target Site/Mode of Action*, with updates from the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) Mode of Action Classification Scheme v7.4 May 2015. Available at http://www.irac-online.org/documents/moa-classification/. 1B = acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (organophosphates); 3 = sodium channel modulators; 4 = nicotinic acetylcholine receptor competitive modulator; 5 = nicotinic acetylcholine receptor allosteric modulator; 28 = ryanodine receptor modulators.

This is a list of registered alternatives, current as of August 2015. The registration status of active ingredients under re-evaluation may change pending the final regulatory decision. For additional information, consult the PMRA publications website: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/index-eng.php (English) and http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/_decisions/index-fra.php (French) for Re-evaluation Decisions (RVD and RRD documents) and Re-evaluation Notes (REV documents) or http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/part/consultations/index-eng.php (English) and http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-

spc/pest/part/consultations/index-fra.php (French) for current and past consultation documents including Proposed Re-evaluation Decisions (PRVD and PACR documents) and certain Re-evaluation Notes (REV documents).

3.10 Carbaryl Use on Carrot

Comments:

Sevin is the only product registered for the control of six spotted leafhopper (aster leafhoppers) which is a vector of aster yellows disease. There are no rotational products currently available to control this pest. Three applications of carbaryl may not be sufficient to provide season long control of this pest.

PMRA Response:

Information received in response to PRVD2009-14, resulted in refinement of the risk assessment for carrot. As a result, the restricted-entry intervals were reduced and deemed to be agronomically feasible by the PMRA. Therefore, carrots will remain in the registered use pattern of carbaryl.

Appendix II Registered Carbaryl Products as of November 20151

Registrant Number	Registrant	Product Name	Guarantee (%) ²	Formulation Type ³	Marketing Class ⁴
16653	Bayer CropScience Inc.	Sevin T&O Insecticide	CAB-43	SU	C+R
19351	Bayer CropScience Inc.	Sevin Brand 97.5% Manufacturing Concentrate	CAB-97.5	SO	M
22339	Bayer CropScience Inc.	Chipco Sevin RP2 Carbaryl Insecticide Liquid Suspension	CAB-22.5	SU	С
25870	Bayer CropScience Inc.	Sevin RP2 Domestic Carbaryl Insecticide Liquid Suspension	CAB-22.5	SU	D
26873	Bayer CropScience Inc.	Chipco Sevin T&O Carbaryl Insecticide	CAB-42.8	SU	С
30614	Bayer CropScience Inc.	Sevin Brand Carbaryl Technical	CAB-99.5	SO	Т
9042	Dominion Veterinary Laboratories Limited	Sevin Dispersible Powder Insecticide (For Veterinary Use Only)	CAB-50	DU	С
9061	Dominion Veterinary Laboratories Limited	Dominion Dusting Powder For Veterinary Use Only	CAB-5	DU	С
9986	King Home & Garden Inc.	King Fruit Tree & Garden Spray	MAL-5; CAP- 10; CAB-10	DU	D
14851	King Home & Garden Inc.	Gardal Rose, Flower, & Evergreen Dust	TPM-3; MAL- 4; CAP-5; CAB-5	DU	D
29616	King Home & Garden Inc.	King Bug Killer Insecticide Dust	CAB-5.0	DU	D
29619	King Home & Garden Inc.	King PTV Potato, Tomato & Vegetable Dust For Bugs And Blights	CUB-7.0; CAB-5.0	DU	D
29623	King Home & Garden Inc.	King Ant & Earwig Killer Dust	CAB-5.0	DU	D

Registrant Number	Registrant	Product Name	Guarantee (%) ²	Formulation Type ³	Marketing Class ⁴
6839	Tessenderlo Kerley Inc.	Sevin Brand 50W Carbaryl Insecticide Wettable Powder	CAB-50	WP	C+R
18463	Tessenderlo Kerley Inc.	Sevin Brand Technical Carbaryl Insecticide	CAB-99.5	SO	T
19531	Tessenderlo Kerley Inc.	Sevin Brand XLR Plus Carbaryl Insecticide	CAB-42.8	SU	C+R
24973	Tessenderlo Kerley Inc.	Sevin Bran Bait Carbaryl Insecticide	CAB-5	PT	С
27876	Tessenderlo Kerley Inc.	Sevin Xlr Carbaryl Insecticide Liquid Suspension	CAB-42.8	SU	C+R
17534	Agrium Advanced Technologies RP Inc.	Farm & Ranch Brand Sevin 5-D Insecticide Dust	CAB-5	DU	С
25815	Peacock Industries	Eco Bran Grasshopper Insecticide Agricultural	CAB-2	GR	С
10565	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Rose Doctor Insecticide-Fungicide	MAL-4; FOL- 5; CAB-5	DU	D
12135	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Sevin Garden Dust Insecticide	CAB-5.0	DU	D
14852	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Bulb & Soil Dust	CAP-5; CAB-5	DU	D
17424	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Garden Doctor Insecticide-Fungicide	CUB-7; CAB-5	DU	D
17971	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Liquid Sevin Carbaryl Insecticide	CAB-22.5	SU	D
19228	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Earwig & Cutworm Destroyer	CAB-5	GR	D

Registrant Number	Registrant	Product Name	Guarantee (%) ²	Formulation Type ³	Marketing Class ⁴
26698	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Sevin Grubout Ant & Grub Killer Concentrate	CAB-22.5	EC	D
26699	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Sevin Grubout Ant & Grub Killer	CAB-22.5	EC	D
26702	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Antout Ant Killer Attach And Spray	CAB-22.5	EC	D
26923	Sure-Gro IP Inc.	Wilson Antout Ant Killer Dust	CAB-5.0	DU	D
27206	Scotts Canada Ltd.	Ortho Grub-B-Gon Max Grub Eliminator Ready- To-Spray	CAB-22.5	SU	D
27207	Scotts Canada Ltd.	Ortho Bug-B-Gon Max Ant & Chinch Bug Eliminator Ready-To- Spray	CAB-22.5	SN	D
27208	Scotts Canada Ltd.	Ortho Bug-B-Gon Max Ant And Chinch Bug Eliminator Concentrate	CAB-22.5	SN	D

Excluding discontinued products and products with a submission for discontinuation.

² Formulation types based on PMRA database: DU = Dust or Powder, EC = Emulsifiable Concentrate or Emulsion, GR = Granular, SN = Solution, SO = Solid, SU= Suspension, WP = Wettable Powder.

³CAB = Carbaryl, CAP = Captan, CUB = Copper, present as basic copper sulfate, FOL = Folpet, MAL = Malathion, TPM = Thiophanate-Methyl.

⁴ C = Commercial Class Products, D = Domestic Class Products, T = Technical Class, M = Manufacturing Concentrate, R = Restricted Class.

Appendix

Appendix III - Revised Mixer/Loader/Applicator Exposure Estimates

Revised M/L/A Exposure Estimates and ARI Using Updated Application Rates for Uses to Be Cancelled Table III.1

Aggregate	Aggregate Risk Indices ⁱ		
Aargins of Exposure	Inhalation ^h		
Margins	Dermal ^g		
Daily Exposure (μg/kg/day)	Inhalation ^f		
Daily I (μg/l	Dermal ^e		
Area treated per	day ^d (ha)		
Application Rates ^c (kg a.i./ha)			
Application Equipment ^b			
Form ^a			
C	Crop		

Mixing and Loading: Closed system for suspensions, water soluble packaging for wettable powder. PPE regardless of formulation: chemical resistant coveralls over a single layer, chemical resistant gloves.

Aerial Applications: Single layer, no gloves.

Airblast applications: Open cab, chemical resistant coverall	en cab, cher	nical resistant covera	lls over a single	s over a single layer, chemical resistant gloves, chemical resistant headwear	istant gloves, chem	ical resistant hea	dwear.		
pears	SU	aerial - M/L	1.728	200	38.21	0.54	929	2081	2.70
		aerial - Apply			47.69	0.35	744	3270	2.31
		airblast	2.910	16	45.72	3.93	776	287	1.36
	WP	airblast			44.02	3.98	808	284	1.38
apricot, peach	$_{ m SO}$	airblast	2.910	16	45.72	3.93	776	287	1.36
	WP	airblast			44.02	3.98	908	284	1.38
corn (field, sweet)	$^{ m SC}$	aerial – M/L	1.92	490	104.03	1.48	341	764	0.99
		aerial - Apply			129.83	0.94	273	1201	0.85
		groundboom		150	50.03	0.70	710	1616	2.06
	WP	groundboom			39.50	1.00	668	1135	2.37
cherries, plums	SU	aerial - M/L	2.910	200	64.35	0.91	552	1236	1.60
		aerial - Apply			80.32	0.58	442	1942	1.37
		airblast		16	45.72	3.93	776	287	1.36
cherries, plums, prunes	WP	airblast	2.910	16	44.02	3.98	908	284	1.38

^{ab} WP = Wettable Powder (For the purpose of exposure mitigation, assumed to be in Water Soluble Packaging); SU = Suspension. M/L = Mix and Load.

Rate in kilograms of active ingredient per hectare (kg a.i./ha).

^d Based on default assumptions, see PRVD 2009-14 for details.

 $^{^{\}text{f}}$ Where inhalation exposure $\mu g/kg/day = (unit exposure \times area treated \times rate)/70 kg bw.$ $^{\circ}$ Where dermal exposure $\mu g/kg/day = unit$ exposure \times area treated \times rate)/70 kg bw.

⁸ Based on a dermal BMDL₁₀ of 35.5 mg/g bw/day and a target dermal MOE of 300. Dermal Margin of Exposure (MOE₀) = BMDL₁₀ (mg/kg/day) / Dermal Exposure (mg/kg/day).

⁸ Based on an oral BMDL₁₀ of 1.13 mg/kg bw/day and a target MOE of 100. Inhalation Margin of Exposure (MOE₁) = BMDL₁₀ (mg/kg/day) / Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg/day).

¹ Aggregate Risk Index = 1 / ((1/Dermal Risk Index)+(1/Inhalation Risk Index)). Shaded cells indicate an aggregate risk index (ARL) that failed to meet the target of 1.0. An ARL ≥ 1.0 does not represent

a risk of concern

Revised M/L/A Exposure Estimates and ARIs using Updated Application Rates for Uses to be Retained Table III.2

Aggregate	Kisk Indices ⁱ	
Margins of Exposure	ıl ^g Inhalation ^b	
Margins	Derma	
Daily Exposure (μg/kg/day)	Inhalation ^f	
Daily E (µg/k	Dermal ^e	
Area treated	per day ⁻ (ha)	
Application	Kates (kg a.i./ha)	
Application Equipment ^b		
co L	Form	
Ç	Crop	

Mixing and Loading: Closed system for suspensions, water soluble packaging for wettable powder. PPE regardless of formulation: chemical resistant coveralls over a single layer, chemical resistant gloves.

Aerial Applications: Single layer, no gloves.

Airblast Applications: Open cab, chemical resistant coveralls over a single layer, chemical resistant headgear, chemical resistant gloves.

CIOUIIUUOOI	II Application	Groundboom Applications. Closed cap, cotton coveraits over a single layer, no groves.	Overains over a sing	10 14 Joi, 110 Broton:					
apple thinning (high density orchards)	SU	airblast	1.5	16	23.57	2.03	1506	558	2.64
700000	ΩS	aerial - M/L	0.233	490	12.62	0.18	2812	8679	8.16
(canola)		aerial - Apply			15.76	0.11	2253	8686	86.9
		groundboom		300	12.14	0.17	2924	6657	8.50
tobacco	Ω S	aerial - M/L	1.2815	490	69.43	0.99	511	1145	1.48
		aerial - Apply			86.66	0.63	410	1800	1.27
		groundboom		300	82.99	0.93	532	1210	1.55
	WP	groundboom	1.125	300	46.29	1.17	767	896	2.02

ab WP = Wettable Powder (For the purpose of exposure mitigation, assumed to be in Water Soluble Packaging); SU = Suspension. M/I. = Mix and I oad.

^c Rate in kilograms of active ingredient per hectare (kg a.i./ha).
^d Based on default assumptions, see PRVD 2009-14 for details.

 $^{^{\}circ}$ Where dermal exposure $\mu g/kg/day = unit$ exposure \times area treated \times rate)/70 kg bw.

 $^{^{\}rm f}$ Where inhalation exposure $^{\rm g}$ graded $^{\rm g}$ (unit exposure $^{\rm g}$ area treated $^{\rm g}$ rate)/70 kg bw. $^{\rm g}$ Based on a dermal BMDL₁₀ of 35.5 mg/kg bw/day and a target dermal MOE of 300. Dermal Margin of Exposure (MOE₁) = BMDL₁₀ (mg/kg/day) / Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg/day). $^{\rm h}$ Based on an oral BMDL₁₀ of 1.13 mg/kg bw/day and a target MOE of 100. Inhalation Margin of Exposure (MOE₁) = BMDL₁₀ (mg/kg/day) / Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg/day).

Aggregate Risk Index = 1 / ((1/Dermal Risk Index)+(1/Inhalation Risk Index)). An ARI > 1.0 does not represent a risk of concern.

Appendix IV Revised Postapplication Exposure Estimates

Revised Postapplication Exposure Estimates, MOEs and REIs based on Updated Use Information for Uses to be Cancelled Table IV.1

Crop	Applications per Year	ıs per Year	Rates c	Activity	Transfer Coefficient	DFR	Dermal Exposure f	FO HOW	REI h
	Number ^a	Interval ^b (days)	a.i./ha)		(cm ² /hr) ^d	(µg/ cm²)	(µg/kg bw/day)		(days)
alfalfa, clover	2	7	2.52	scouting	1100	0.93	116.84	304	8
apples	2	11	2.91	thinning	3000	0.34	116.07	306	30
(insecticide				hand harvesting	1500	0.70	120.21	295	23
apricot, peach,				hand line irrigation	1100	96.0	120.45	295	20
cherries, plums				hand pruning, scouting, pinching, tying, training	200	1.98	113.40	313	13
				mechanical harvesting (cherries)	200	5.06	115.69	307	4
barley, oats, rye, wheat	2	7	2:52	scouting	1100	6.03	116.84	304	8
broccoli,	2	7	2.52	hand harvest	5150	0.19	109.63	324	14
Brussel sprouts, cabbage				hand weeding, thinning, topping	4400	0.23	113.29	313	13
				scouting, tying	4000	0.23	102.99	345	13
				irrigation	1100	0.85	107.24	331	9
		5	2.50	hand harvest	5150	0.18	108.76	326	14
				hand weeding, thinning, topping	4400	0.22	112.39	316	13
				scouting, tying	4000	0.22	102.17	347	13
				irrigation	1100	0.85	106.39	334	6
cauliflower	2	7	2.52	hand weeding, thinning, topping	4400	0.23	113.29	313	13
				hand harvest, scouting	1300	0.71	104.78	339	7
				irrigation	1100	0.85	107.24	331	9
		5	2.50	hand pruning, hand harvest	4400	0.22	112.39	316	13
				scouting	1300	0.70	103.95	342	7
				weeding, thinning, irrigation	1100	0.85	106.39	334	9

Revised Postapplication Exposure Estimates, MOEs and REIs for Uses to be Cancelled (cont'd) Table IV.1

40%	Application	Applications per Year	Rates °	A official	Transfer	DFR °	Dermal Exposure ^f	9 A C V	REI h
doro	Number ^a	Interval ^b (days)	a.i./ha)	Activity	(cm ² /hr) ^d	(µg/ cm²)	(µg/kg bw/day)	MOE	(days)
corn (sweet & field)	2	2	1.92	hand harvesting (sweet corn only)	17000	90.0	117.68	302	20
				scouting	1100	0.87	109.27	325	7
				irrigation	1000	1.07	121.92	291	9
				hand weeding	100	3.65	41.69	852	0.5
	r.a.n.								
	(repeat			;	((,	,	,
	as			hand weeding, thinning	4400	0.23	114.18	311	14
	necessar	ı							
peppers	y)		3.07						
				hand harvest, scouting	1300	0.71	105.61	336	8
				irrigation	1100	98.0	108.08	328	7
strawberries				hand harvest, pinching,					
				pruning, training,	1000	0.90	103.03	345	6
	2	7	3.00	irrigation					
				scouting	200	4.64	106.14	334	1
				hand weeding, thinning	100	5.70	65.14	545	0.5
sweet white	2	7	1.86	scouting	1100	0.84	106.08	335	7
lupin				harvesting, irrigation	1000	1.04	118.37	300	9
				weeding, thinning	100	3.54	40.47	877	0.5

¹ The number of applications for fruit trees was reduced from r.a.n. to 2 per year based on comment received from Bayer CropScience (BCS) (01/14/11). The number of applications for all other crops was limited to 2 per year based on the available DFR data.

^b A minimum interval of seven days between applications was assumed in the risk assessment for those applications to trees where an interval was not specified. A seven or eight day interval was applied to all other crops based on the available DFR data.

^c Maximum listed label rates expressed in kilograms a.i./hectare. The application rates for canola, tobacco and fruit trees were reduced in accordance to comments received from BCS (06/10/09 & 14/01/11).

^d Transfer coefficients are from the Science Advisory Council for Exposure Agricultural Transfer Coefficient document (Revised – 7 August 2000) and any

amendments thereof (USEPA, 2000; PMRA document number 2115788). e DFR is based on DFR data (see PRVD 2009-14), at \times days after application, where \times is the day when an MOE \geq 300 is determined. f Dermal exposure = DFR \times TC \times 8 hr / 70 kg. g The resulting MOE on the recommended REI day. Based on the short and intermediate term dermal BMDL₁₀ of 35.5 mg/kg/day and a dermal target MOE of 300. h Day at which the dermal exposure results in an MOE \geq 300.

Table IV.2 Revised Postapplication Exposure Estimates, MOEs and REIs for Uses to be Retained

	Application	Applications per Year	Rates c	A	Transfer	DFR ^e	Dermal Exposure ^f	50 E	REI h
	Number ^a	Interval b (days)	a.i./ha)	Acuvity	(cm ² /hr) ^d	$(\mu g/ cm^2)$	(μg/kg bw/day)	MOE	(days)
				hand thinning	3000	0.34	115.74	307	21
apples				hand thinning	3000	0.70	239.73	148	14
(10r uniming in				hand harvest	1500	0.70	119.87	296	14
orchands that have transitioned to	1		1.50	hand line irrigation	1100	96.0	120.10	296	11
high density				hand pruning,					
trellis production)				scouting, pinching, tving, training	200	1.98	113.08	314	4
apples				hand thinning	3000	0.34	116.98	303	17
(for thinning in				hand harvest	1500	0.71	121.15	293	10
orchards that have	-	,	1 00	hand line irrigation	1100	0.87	109.39	325	8
not transitioned to	٦	٦	1.00	hand pruning,					
high density				scouting, pinching,	200	2.00	114.29	311	0.5
neills production)				tying, training					
asparagus and	2	3	3.07	irrigation	1000	1.04	118.84	299	9
asparagus ferns				hand weeding	100	2.69	30.76	1154	0.5
beans	2	7	3.07	scouting	1100	0.86	108.08	328	7
				hand harvest, irrigation	1000	1.04	118.84	299	9
				hand weeding	100	0.23	2.60	13680	0.5
beet (root), horseradish,	2	7	2.52	hand harvest, irrigation	1000	1.03	117.91	301	S
radish, rutabaga				scouting	200	2.67	61.04	582	0.5
(root), salsify				hand weeding,	100	2.21	25.23	1407	0.5
(root)				dimining .				,	
(1001)	2	5	2.25	hand harvest, irrigation	1000	0.92	105.28	337	5
				scouting	200	2.38	54.50	651	0.5
				hand weeding,	100	2.38	27.25	1303	0.5

				thinning					
berries	2	7	2.52	2.52 hand harvest,					
				pinching, pruning,	1500	0.62	105.76	336	10
			_	training					
				irrigate, weed,	002	1 40	112 02	217	9
				scout, thin	007	1.40	112.02	717	O

Table IV.2: Revised Postapplication Exposure Estimates, MOEs and REIs for Uses to be Retained (cont'd)

Cron	Applicatior	Applications per Year	Rates °	Activity	Transfer Coefficient	DFR °	Dermal Exposure ^f	S HOM	REI h
do	Number ^a	Interval ^b (days)	a.i./ha)	far mar	(cm ² /hr) ^d	(µg/ cm²)	(µg/kg bw/day)	TOTAL STATE OF THE	(days)
blueberries	2	10	1.99	hand harvest,	0051	090	102 51	346	0
				pmening, prumig, training	0001	00.0	10.51	0+0	
				irrigate, weed, scout, thin	002	1.36	108.57	327	5
carrots	2	7	2.52	hand harvest, irrigation	1000	1.03	117.91	301	5
				scouting	200	2.67	61.04	582	0.5
				weeding, thinning	100	2.21	25.23	1407	0.5
		5	2.25	hand harvest, irrigation	1000	0.92	105.28	337	5
				scouting	200	2.38	54.50	651	0.5
				weeding, thinning	100	1.97	22.53	1576	0.5
celery, lettuce, kohlrabi	2	L	2.52	hand harvest, hand pruning, irrigation	1000	1.03	117.91	301	5
				scouting	200	2.67	61.04	582	0.5
				hand weeding, thinning	100	2.67	30.52	1163	0.5
		5	2.50	hand harvest, hand pruning, irrigation	1000	1.02	116.97	303	S
				scouting	200	2.65	60.55	586	0.5
				hand weeding,	100	2.65	30.28	1173	0.5

				thinning					
cranberries	2	L	3.65	irrigation, pruning, harvesting	1000	68.0	102.07	348	10
				scouting	200	4.60	105.15	338	2
				weeding, thinning	100	6.93	79.21	448	0.5
cucumbers,	2	2	1.25	hand-line irrigation	1100	0.91	113.83	312	2
melons, squash,				hand harvest	800	1.32	121.11	293	0.5
pumpkins				turning, tying, staking	550	1.32	83.26	426	0.5
				scouting, weeding	100	1.32	15.14	2345	0.5
eggplants	r.a.n.	<i>L</i>	3.07	hand-line irrigation	1100	98.0	108.08	328	7
				hand harvest	800	1.26	114.99	309	5
				turning, tying,	550	1.84	115.65	307	3
				staking					
				scouting, weeding	100	3.26	37.20	954	0.5

Revised Postapplication Exposure Estimates, MOEs and REIs for Uses to be Retained (cont'd) Table IV.2:

Cron	Application	Applications per Year	Rates c	Activity	Transfer	DFR	Dermal Exposure ^f	MOR	REI h
do co	Number ^a	Interval ^b (days)	a.i./ha)		(cm²/hr) ^d	(µg/ cm²)	(µg/kg bw/day)		(days)
leafy vegetables	2	7	2.52	hand harvest, hand pruning, irrigation	1000	1.03	16.711	301	5
				scouting	200	2.67	61.04	582	0.5
				hand weeding, thinning	001	2.67	30.52	1163	0.5
		S	2.25	hand harvest, hand pruning, irrigation	1000	0.92	105.28	337	5
				scouting	200	2.38	54.50	651	0.5
				hand weeding, thinning	001	2.38	27.25	1303	0.5
parsnips	2	7	2.45	hand harvest, hand pruning, irrigation	0001	1.00	114.47	310	5
				scouting	200	2.59	59.26	665	0.5
				hand weeding, thinning	001	2.59	29.63	1198	0.5
beas	2	7	2.25	hand harvest, irrigation	1000	0.92	105.28	337	5

				scouting	200	2.38	54.50	651	0.5
				thinning, hand weeding	100	2.38	27.25	1303	0.5
potato	2	7	3.07	irrigation	1000	1.04	118.84	565	9
				scouting	200	3.26	74.41	477	0.5
				hand weeding	100	2.69	30.76	1154	0.5
rapeseed (canola)	2	7	0.23	scouting	1100	0.44	55.65	829	0.5
				irrigation	1000	0.44	50.59	702	0.5
snapbeans	2	7	2.45	scouting	1100	0.83	104.11	341	9
(*used beans TCs)				hand harvest, irrigation	1000	1.00	114.47	310	5
				hand weeding	100	2.59	29.63	1198	0.5

Revised Postapplication Exposure Estimates, MOEs and REIs for Uses to be Retained (cont'd) Table IV.2:

,	Application	Applications per Year	Rates °	A officially	Transfer	DFR °	Dermal Exposure ^f	8 HON	REI h
Crop	Number ^a	Interval ^b (days)	a.i./ha)	ACHVIII	(cm ² /hr) ^d	(µg/ cm²)	(μg/kg bw/day)	MOE	(days)
strawberries	2	7	3.00	hand harvest,					
				pinching, pruning,	1000	0.90	103.03	345	6
				training, irrigation					
				scouting	200	4.64	106.14	334	1
				hand weeding,	100	5 70	65 14	5/5	0.5
				thinning	100	07.70	+1.00	C + C	0.0
tobacco	2	7	1.28	harvesting,	008	1 32	120 38	206	r
				irrigation	000	1.32	120.30	27.7	C
				scouting, weeding,	100	2.43	27.82	1776	0.5
				pruning	100	CT:-7	70:17	12/0	0.0
			1.13	harvesting,	800	1 16	105 68	988	ď
				irrigation	000	1.10	102:00	000	C
				scouting, weeding,	100	1116	21/13	1753	0.5
				pruning	100	7.17	CT: +7	CCFI	0.0
	2	7	3.07	hand harvest, tying,	1000	1 04	118 84	906	9
				pruning, irrigation	0001	1.0.1	110.01	777	
tomato				scouting	200	3.26	74.41	477	0.5
				thinning, hand	100	308	37.20	057	0.5
				weeding, staking	100	02:0	07:10	+01	0.0

The number of applications for fruit trees was reduced from r.a.n. to 2 per year based on comment received from BCS (01/14/11). The number of applications for all other crops was limited to 2 per year based on the available DFR data.

^b A minimum interval of seven days between applications was assumed in the risk assessment for those applications to trees where an interval was not specified. A seven or eight day interval was applied to all other crops based on the available DFR data.

^c Maximum listed label rates expressed in kilograms a.i./hectare. The application rates for canola, tobacco and fruit trees were reduced in accordance to comments received from BCS (06/10/09 & 14/01/11).

^d Transfer coefficients are from the Science Advisory Council for Exposure Agricultural Transfer Coefficient document (Revised – 7 August 2000) and any amendments thereof (USEPA, 2000; PMRA document number 2115788)

^e DFR is based on DFR data (see PRVD 2009-14), at \times days after application, where \times is the day when an MOE \geq 300 is determined.

 f Dermal exposure = DFR × TC × 8 hr / 70 kg. g The resulting MOE on the recommended REI day. Based on the short and intermediate term dermal BMDL₁₀ of 35.5 mg/kg/day and a dermal target MOE of

300. Shaded cells indicate those MOEs that failed to reach the target MOE of 300. Apple thinning exposure based on the unmodified orchard thinning transfer coefficient (TC) of 3000 cm²/h. This TC over-estimates dermal postapplication exposure fororchards that have transitioned to high density trellis production. However, the degree of over-estimation cannot be quantified.

Worker Postapplication Exposure and MOEs on Sod Farm and Golf Course Turfs Table IV.3

	1-1-1		Transfer	TTR Data ^b	Dermal	
Activities ^a	Label REI	REI	Coefficient (cm ² /hr)	% TTR	Exposure Absorbed (µg/kg/d)	Dermai MOE ^d
Sod Farms (Short-term exposure):						
Harvesting treated furf	0		0007	1.65	1782.37	20
		26	0089	0.11	115.16	308
Mowing watering irrigation	0		0000	1.65	917.40	39
NOWING, WACHING, III EARLOII		20	3200	0.20	111.53	318
Aerating, fertilizing, hand pruning, mechanical weeding, scouting,	0		002	1.65	131.06	271
seeding		1	200	1.49	117.95	301
Golf Courses (Short-term exposure):						
Harvasting and transplanting treated turf	0		0000	1.65	1782.37	20
Transcening and transplanting treated that		26	0800	0.11	115.16	308
Mowing, watering, cup changing, irrigation repair, miscellaneous	0		0000	1.65	917.40	39
grooming		20	3200	0.20	111.53	318
Aerating, fertilizing, hand pruning, mechanical weeding, scouting,	0		000	1.65	131.06	271
seeding		1	200	1.49	117.95	301

Postapplication activities and subsequent Transfer Coefficients are based on the December 2008 PMRA memo: Interim Transfer Coefficients (TCs) for Golf Courses and Sod Farm Postapplication

^h Day at which the dermal exposure results in an MOE \geq 300.

^b Chemical specific data from Turf Transferable Residue study assuming a 10% dissipation rate.
^c Dermal exposure = % TTR × rate of 139 µg/cm² × TC × 8 hr duration / 70 kg BW.
^d Based on a dermal BMDL₁₀ of 35.5 µg/kg/day; target MOE for acute and short-term estimates is 300. Shaded cells indicate those MOEs that failed to meet the target of 300.

Appendix V Label Amendments for Commercial Class Products Containing Carbaryl

Note: The label amendments presented below do not include all label requirements for individual end-use products, such as first aid statements, disposal statements, precautionary statements and supplementary protective equipment. Additional information on labels of currently registered products should not be removed unless it contradicts the label statements below.

Based on the toxicological assessments, the label text of the carbaryl-containing products should be expanded and/or standardized, as follows:

TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Carbaryl is a carbamate which is a cholinesterase inhibitor. Typical symptoms of overexposure to cholinesterase inhibitors include malaise, muscle weakness, dizziness and sweating. Headache, salivation, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and diarrhea are often prominent. A life-threatening poisoning is signified by loss of consciousness, incontinence, convulsions and respiratory depression with a secondary cardiovascular component. Treat symptomatically. If exposed, plasma and red blood cell cholinesterase tests may indicate degree of exposure (baseline data are useful). However, if a blood sample is taken several hours after exposure, it is unlikely that blood cholinesterase activities will be depressed, due to rapid reactivation of cholinesterase. Atropine, only by injection, is the preferable antidote. Do not use pralidoxime. In cases of severe acute poisoning, use antidotes immediately after establishing an open airway and respiration. With oral exposure, the decision of whether to induce vomiting or not should be made by an attending physician.

Add to ENVIRONMENTAL PRECAUTIONS:

TOXIC to birds, mammals and aquatic organisms. Observe buffer zones specified under DIRECTIONS FOR USE.

To reduce runoff from treated areas into aquatic habitats, consider the characteristics and conditions of the site before treatment. Site characteristics and conditions that may lead to runoff include, but are not limited to: heavy rainfall, moderate to steep slope, bare soil, poorly draining soil (e.g. soils that are compacted or fine textured such as clay).

Contamination of aquatic areas as a result of runoff may be reduced by including a vegetative strip between the treated area and the edge of the water body.

Avoid application of this product when heavy rain is forecast.

Pollinators:

TOXIC to bees. Bees may be exposed through direct spray, spray drift, and residues on leaves, pollen and nectar in flowering crops and weeds. Minimize spray drift to reduce harmful effects on bees in habitats close to the application site. Avoid applications when bees are foraging in the treatment area in ground cover containing blooming weeds. To further minimize exposure to pollinators, refer to the complete guidance "Protecting Pollinators during Pesticide Spraying – Best Management Practices" on the Health Canada website (www.healthcanada.gc.ca/pollinators). Follow crop specific directions for application timing.

For applications on crops that are highly attractive to pollinators (asparagus, rapeseed, apple, blackberries, boysenberries, dewberries, loganberries, chokecherries, raspberries, blueberries, cranberries, melons, pumpkin, squash, cucumber, broad beans and outdoor ornamentals and trees (excluding coniferous evergreens), or when using managed bees for pollination services, add the following statements:

• DO NOT apply during the crop blooming period.

For beans (except broad beans as listed above), peas, potato, eggplant, tomato, tobacco, and the following crops when grown for seed: Chinese cabbage, collards, mustard greens, kohlrabi, dandelion, watercress, celery, endive, lettuce, parsley, spinach, Swiss chard, turnip, rutabaga, carrots, beets, parsnip, horseradish, radish, salsify, add the following statements:

 Avoid application during the crop blooming period. If applications must be made during the crop blooming period, restrict applications to evening when most bees are not foraging.

Add to USE PRECAUTIONS

The following statements must be added to all current commercial class products labels:

- Not for indoor use.
- This product is not to be used in and around homes or other residential areas such as parks, schools, public buildings, playing fields or any other areas where the general public including children could be exposed.
- Not for use in greenhouses (vegetable or ornamental).
- Not for use on turf, golf courses, sod farms, residential ornamentals or residential vegetable gardens.
- Keep the following personal protective equipment immediately available for use in case of emergency (i.e., a broken package, spill, or equipment breakdown): chemical-resistant coveralls, chemical-resistant gloves, chemical-resistant head gear and a respirator.

For apple thinning, add the following:

• For good hygiene practices, chemical-resistant gloves are recommended when hand thinning apples following an application of carbaryl.

To protect bystander exposure to carbaryl, the following statement must be added to all labels, with the exception of those for bran bait:

• Apply only when the potential for drift to areas of human habitation or areas of human activity (houses, cottages, schools and recreational areas) is minimal. Take into consideration wind speed, wind direction, temperature inversion, application equipment and sprayer settings.

Engineering Controls and Personal Protective Equipment

Statements must be amended (or added) to include the following directions to the appropriate labels in order to mitigate the risk of exposure to carbaryl:

Mixing/Loading

A. Mixing and Loading Bran Bait:

Wear cotton coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks and chemical resistant gloves.

Do not mix and load by hand or with hand held equipment.

B. Mixing and Loading liquids:

- Use a closed mixing system.
- Wear chemical resistant coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks and chemical resistant gloves.

C. Mixing and Loading Wettable Powders in Water Soluble Packaging:

Wear chemical resistant coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks and chemical resistant gloves.

Applying

A. Applying Bran Bait:

- Do not apply by hand or handheld equipment. Use an open or closed cab broadcast spreader.
- Wear cotton coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks and chemical resistant gloves.

B. Applying by air:

Wear cotton coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks.

C. Applying by groundboom:

- During groundboom application use a closed cab that provides both a physical barrier and respiratory protection (i.e., dust/mist filtering and/or vapour/gas purification system). The closed cab must have a chemical resistant barrier that totally surrounds the occupant and prevents contact with pesticides outside the cab.
- Wear cotton coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks and chemical resistant gloves.
- Keep the following personal protective equipment immediately available for use in case of emergency or when exiting the cab with treated areas (i.e., a broken package, spill, or equipment breakdown): chemical-resistant coveralls, chemical-resistant gloves, chemical-resistant head gear and a respirator. Once PPE is worn in a treated area, it must be removed before re-entering the cab.

D. Applying by airblast:

- During airblast application use a closed cab that provides both a physical barrier and respiratory protection (i.e., dust/mist filtering and/or vapour/gas purification system). The closed cab must have a chemical resistant barrier that totally surrounds the occupant and prevents contact with pesticides outside the cab. Wear cotton coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks and chemical resistant gloves.
- If a closed cab is not feasible, wear chemical resistant coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks, chemical resistant gloves and chemical-resistant headgear. Chemical resistant headgear includes So'Westers, or large brimmed, water-proof hats, and hoods with sufficient neck protection. Avoid touching face or other unprotected parts of the body during application.
- Keep the following personal protective equipment immediately available for use in case of emergency or when exiting the cab with treated areas (i.e., a broken package, spill, or equipment breakdown): chemical-resistant coveralls, chemical-resistant gloves, chemical-resistant head gear and a respirator. Once PPE is worn in a treated area, it must be removed before re-entering the cab.

E. Applying by right-of-way sprayer:

Wear chemical resistant coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks and chemical resistant gloves.

F. Applying by handheld equipment:

Wear chemical resistant coveralls over long pants and a long-sleeved shirt, shoes plus socks, chemical resistant gloves and NIOSH approved respiratory protection.

DIRECTION FOR USE

The following uses should be removed from all current labels:

- Indoor pest control uses including greenhouses, residences, food and feed handling establishments and barns and livestock production areas;
- Aerosol products;
- Agricultural dust uses;
- Bran bait application to residential gardens;
- Livestock for food;
- Livestock for non-food;
- Companion animals (including pet collars);
- Granular bait products for ornamental gardens;
- Applications by hand, spoon and bellygrinder;
- All commercial applications to residential areas (turf, ornamentals, fruit trees, and vegetable gardens);
- alfalfa, apples (insect control only), apricot, balsam fir, barley, broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage, cauliflower, cherries, clover, corn (sweet & field), farm woodlots, grapes, kale, municipal parks, oats, peach, pears, peppers, plums, rights-of-way for control of spruce budworm, residential ornamentals and residential vegetable gardens, rye, snapbeans (hand harvest only), spruce, strawberries, sweet white lupin, turf (lawns, golf courses & sod farms) and wheat.

The following amendments must be made to the appropriate labels:

- For canola, the new maximum label rate is 0.233 kg a.i./ha.
- For tobacco, the new maximum label rate is 1.28 kg a.i./ha (solutions) and 1.13 kg a.i./ha for (wettable powders), two applications, 7 days apart.

Revised Restricted-Entry Intervals (REIs)

The restricted-entry intervals listed below must be added to the appropriate labels.

Стор	Activity	REI ¹ (days)
apples (orchards that have	Hand harvest	14
transitioned to high density trellis	Hand thinning, hand-line irrigation	14
production) Max. application rate: 1.5 kg a.i./ha (chemical thinning application)	Hand pruning, scouting, pinching, tying, training	4
apples (orchards that have not	Hand harvest	17
transitioned to high density trellis	Hand thinning, hand-line irrigation	10
production) Max. application rate: 1.0 kg a.i./ha (chemical thinning application)	Hand pruning, scouting, pinching, tying, training	0.5
acparague and acparague farme	High contact activities (irrigation)	6
asparagus and asparagus ferns	Low contact activities (hand weeding)	0.5
beans	High contact activities (scouting, hand harvest, irrigation)	7
	Low contact activities (hand weeding)	0.5
beet (root/top), horseradish, radish,	High contact activities (hand harvest, irrigation)	5
rutabaga (root), salsify (root/top), turnip (root/top)	Low contact activities (scouting, hand weeding, thinning)	0.5
blackberry, boysenberry,	High contact activities (hand harvest, pinching, pruning, training)	10
dewberry, loganberry, raspberry	Low contact activities (irrigation, weeding, scouting, thinning)	6
h la channia	High contact activities (hand harvest, pinching, pruning, training)	9
blueberries	Low contact activities (irrigation, weeding, scouting, thinning)	5
bran bait applications	All activities	0.5
carrots	Low contact activities (scouting, weeding, thinning)	0.5
arankamias	High contact activities (irrigation, pruning, harvesting)	10
cranberries	Low contact activities (scouting, weeding, thinning)	2
cucumbers, melons, squash,	High contact activities (hand-line irrigation)	2
pumpkins	Low contact activities (hand harvest, turning, tying, staking, scouting, weeding)	0.5
choke cherries	All activities	21
ditch banks, forage grasses, pastures	All activities	2
ogarlants	High contact activities (hand harvest, hand-line irrigation)	7
eggplants	Low contact activities (scouting turning, weeding, tying, staking)	3

forests	All activities	13
green ash	All activities	24
high value trees	All activities	7
Chinese cabbage, dandelion, endive, lettuce, collards, mustard	High contact activities (hand harvest, hand pruning, irrigation)	5
greens, parsley, spinach, Swiss chard, and watercress celery, kohlrabi	Low contact activities (scouting, hand weeding, thinning)	0.5
ornamental trees	All activities	28
ornamental shrubs and flowers	All activities	13
n annain a	High contact activities (hand harvest, hand pruning, irrigation)	5
parsnips	Low contact activities (scouting, hand weeding, thinning)	0.5
	High contact activities (hand harvest, irrigation)	5
peas	Low contact activities (scouting, thinning, hand weeding)	0.5
notatoes	High contact activities (irrigation)	6
potatoes	Low contact activities (scouting, hand weeding)	0.5
rapeseed (canola)	All activities (scouting, irrigation)	0.5
root crops	All activities	10
	High contact activities (scouting, irrigation)	6
snapbeans	Low contact activities (hand weeding, mechanical harvest)	0.5
tobacco	High contact activities (harvesting, irrigation)	3
tobacco	Low contact activities (scouting, weeding, pruning)	0.5
tomatoes	High contact activities (tying, hand harvest, pruning, irrigation)	6
tomatoes	Low contact activities (scouting thinning, hand weeding, staking)	0.5
trap trees	All activities	7

¹ Day at which the dermal exposure results in an MOE ≥300.

Number of Applications:

Consult the table below for the number of applications per year and application intervals per crop:

Applications per Year and Application Intervals

Crops		Applications per Year	
		Interval (days)	
apples (chemical thinning application)		N/A	
trap trees; choke cherries; high value trees	1	N/A	
ditch banks, forage grasses and pastures, rapeseed (canola); blackberries, boysenberries, dewberries, loganberries, raspberries, blueberries, cranberries;	2	8	

tobacco		
beet (root/top), Chinese cabbage, dandelion, collards, endive, mustard greens, parsley, salsify (root/top), spinach, Swiss chard, turnip (root/top), watercress, parsnips; asparagus; celery, lettuce, kohlrabi, beans, horseradish, radish, rutabaga (root), carrots, peas, potatoes, snapbeans (mechanical harvesting only), tomato, eggplants, cucumbers, melons, pumpkin, squash; azalea, carnation, chrysanthemums, gladiolus, holly, hydrangea, lilac, rose, zinnia; green ash	2	7
forests, arborvitae, birch, boxwood, dogwood, elm, juniper, maple, oak, pines, ornamental trees, bran bait application (non-residential)	3	7

Add to DIRECTIONS FOR USE (Pollinator statements):

Appropriate restrictions should be repeated under the specific crop use directions, as follows.

To protect pollinators, follow the instructions regarding bees in the Environmental Precautions section.

For asparagus, rapeseed (canola), apple, blackberries, boysenberries, dewberries, loganberries, chokecherries, raspberries, blueberries, cranberries, melons, pumpkin, squash, cucumber, broad beans and outdoor ornamentals and trees (excluding coniferous evergreens), add the following statements:

• TOXIC to bees. DO NOT apply during the crop blooming period.

For beans (except broad beans as listed above), peas, potato, eggplant, tomato, tobacco, and the following crops when grown for seed: Chinese cabbage, collards, mustard greens, kohlrabi, dandelion, watercress, celery, endive, lettuce, parsley, spinach, Swiss chard, turnip, rutabaga, carrots, beets, parsnip, horseradish, radish, salsify, add the following statements:

 TOXIC to bees. Avoid application during the crop blooming period. If applications must be made during the crop blooming period, restrict applications to evening when most bees are not foraging. When using managed bees for pollination services, DO NOT apply during the crop blooming period.

Add to DIRECTIONS FOR USE:

<u>Field sprayer application</u>: **DO NOT** apply during periods of dead calm. Avoid application of this product when winds are gusty. **DO NOT** apply with spray droplets smaller than the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE) fine classification. Boom height must be 60 cm or less above the crop or ground.

<u>Airblast application</u>: **DO NOT** apply during periods of dead calm. Avoid application of this product when winds are gusty. **DO NOT** direct spray above plants to be treated. Turn off outward pointing nozzles at row ends and outer rows. **DO NOT** apply when wind speed is greater than 16 km/h at the application site as measured outside of the treatment area on the upwind side.

<u>Aerial application</u>: **DO NOT** apply during periods of dead calm. Avoid application of this product when winds are gusty. **DO NOT** apply when wind speed is greater than 16 km/h at flying height at the site of application. **DO NOT** apply with spray droplets smaller than the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE) fine classification. To reduce drift caused by turbulent wingtip vortices, the nozzle distribution along the spray boom length **MUST NOT** exceed 65% of the wing- or rotorspan.

Buffer zones:

Use of the following spray methods or equipment **DO NOT** require a buffer zone: hand-held or backpack sprayer and spot treatment.

The buffer zones specified in the table below are required between the point of direct application and the closest downwind edge of sensitive freshwater habitats (such as lakes, rivers, sloughs, ponds, prairie potholes, creeks, marshes, streams, reservoirs and wetlands) and estuarine/marine habitats.

			Buffer Zones (metres) Required for the Protection of:			
Method of application		·op		r Habitat of oths:	Estuarine/Marine Habita of Depths:	
			Less than 1 metre	Greater than 1 metre	Less than 1 metre	Greater than 1 metre
Field sprayer	Asparagus (seedling), beans, beet, blackberry, blueberry, boysenberry, canola, carrot, Chinese cabbage, celery, chokecherry, collards, cucumber, dandelion, eggplant, endive, kohlrabi, lettuce, loganberry, melon, mustard greens, parsley, parsnip, peas, potato, pumpkin, radish, raspberry, rutabaga, salsify, snapbeans, spinach, squash, Swiss chard, turnip, tomato and watercress.		10	3	15	10
	Ditch banks, f wastelands, he forage grass, p rangeland, asp cranberry, orn	eadlands, easture, aragus (ferns),	15	4	25	10
Tobacco			25	5	35	15
Airblast	Apple (apple thinning only)	Early growth stage	25	10	30	20
Ornamentals	Early growth stage	45	30	50	40	
		Late growth stage	35	20	40	30
Aerial	Blueberries	Fixed wing	700	100	800	575
Forage, field crops, and vegetable crops Cranberries Forest, woodlands		Rotary wing	675	75	800	525
	Forage, field	Fixed wing	750	225	800	600
	vegetable	Rotary wing	675	125	800	550
	Cranberries	Fixed wing	775	350	800	600
		Rotary wing	650	300	800	550
		Fixed wing	800	350	800	725
	woodlands	Rotary wing	375	125	450	275

For tank mixes, consult the labels of the tank-mix partners and observe the largest (most restrictive) buffer zone of the products involved in the tank mixture and apply using the coarsest spray (ASAE) category indicated on the labels for those tank mix partners.

The buffer zones for this product can be modified based on weather conditions and spray equipment configuration by accessing the Buffer Zone Calculator on the Pest Management Regulatory Agency web site.

Apple Thinning:

The use directions for apple thinning on Sevin Brand 50W (Reg. No. 6839) label must be removed.

For apple thinning use on the current labels (Sevin Brand XLR Plus (Reg. No. 19531) and Sevin XLR (Reg. No. 27876)): Add the following to DIRECTIONS FOR USE:

"TO REDUCE FRUIT SET — APPLE TREES (FRUIT):

APPLICATION RATE AND TIMING

For orchards that have transitioned to high density trellis production architecture (e.g., spindle or super spindle trees) apply 0.5 to 3.22 L/ha as a full coverage spray timed between late petal fall and 25 days after full bloom. The use rate will depend on the apple variety, tree size, row spacing, and the weather conditions at the time of and following application. For easily thinned varieties apply 0.5-1 L of product/1000 L of spray (233–466 g a.i./1000 L). For hard to thin varieties apply 1-2 L of product/1000 L of spray (466–932 g a.i./1000 L). The maximum application rate per growing season is not to exceed 1.5 kg a.i./ha.

For orchards that have not transitioned to high density trellis production architecture (e.g., dwarf, semi-dwarf and full sized trees) apply 0.5 to 2.15 L/ha as a full coverage spray timed between late petal fall and 25 days after full bloom. The use rate will depend on the apple variety, tree size, row spacing, and the weather conditions at the time of and following application. For easily thinned varieties apply 0.5-1 L of product/1000 L of spray (233–466 g a.i./1000 L). For hard to thin varieties apply 1-2 L of product/1000 L of spray (466–932 g a.i./1000 L). The maximum application rate per growing season is not to exceed 1.0 kg a.i./ha.

Apple tree varieties differ in their sensitivity to chemical thinners. Varieties considered easy-to thin, including Ginger Gold, Cortland, and Granny Smith may be adequately thinned with one application. Varieties considered more difficult-to-thin such as Golden Delicious, Red Delicious, Gala, etc. may require an additional application or be combined with another compatible thinner containing benzyladenine or naphthaleneacetic acid.

Pre-harvest interval is 75 days for apple thinning applications.

SPRAY APPLICATION AND VOLUME

Sevin should be applied from the ground using a high volume handgun or airblast sprayer. The sprayer should be properly calibrated to ensure complete coverage of foliage and developing fruit without runoff. It is suggested that nozzles be adjusted to deliver 2/3 of the spray volume in the upper portion of a tree. Reduce spray coverage to the lower portion of the tree since over thinning may occur here. Adjust spray volume to accommodate tree size. The most effective spray volume will depend on the tree size, planting density (row and tree spacing) and amount of foliage. Use sufficient spray volume to ensure adequate coverage; typical spray volume is dependent on tree size (e.g., 1000 to 2000 L/ha). Avoid spray to the point of runoff.

COMPATABILITY WITH OTHER THINNERS

Sevin may be tank mixed with other fruit thinners, such as products containing 6-benzyladenine or naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) for use on some varieties. However, use caution to avoid over-thinning and other adverse effects. The combination with NAA may result in pygmy or small fruit production on some varieties such as Delicious and Fuji. Consult with local fruit thinning experts for recommendations before using either of these combinations. Refer to and follow the tank mix partner's label for specific use directions and precautions.

PRECAUTIONS FOR APPLE THINNING USE OF SEVIN XLR CARBARYL INSECTICIDE

LIQUID SUSPENSION

- Do not apply during bloom prior to 80% petal fall.
- Weather Influences Thinner Response:
 - O Climatic temperature, high humidity, frost, and other weather factors may influence fruit thinning results. When fruit reach a size range of 7 to 14 mm they are most sensitive to thinner application and weather conditions, particularly at 3 to 4 days after application, will influence thinner response. Application made when temperatures are expected to be below 17°C may result in reduced and insufficient thinning. When temperatures are expected to exceed 27°C or when moderate temperatures are accompanied by an extended period (3 to 4 days) of cloudy weather, heavy or excessive thinning may be observed. A good rule-of thumb is once fruit reach 6 to 7 mm in size the most satisfactory thinning is often achieved by making the application right before a period forecasted of at least 3 days of moderate (21°C to 25°C) temperatures.
- Other Factors that Influence Thinner Response:
 - Exercise caution to avoid possible over thinning and possible yield reduction. Tree age, variety, nutrition, previous crop pruning and bloom, and degree of set may influence fruit thinning results.
- Fruit Deformity Precaution:

O The use of Sevin may result in fruit deformity under certain environmental conditions. Before using on any variety of apples, the user must weigh the risk versus benefits when using this product, particularly when using it between 80% petal fall and 6 mm fruit size. Red Delicious is more sensitive to this phenomenon and in particular, the varieties Bisbee, Red Chief and Vallee Spur are very susceptible to conditions causing fruit deformity. Precipitation and temperatures below 18°C increases the possibility of fruit deformity. The use of this product with any spray additive not specifically recommended on the label may increase the risk of fruit deformity and injury.

Consult with fruit thinning experts in your area for advice on using this product on specific apple varieties under local growing conditions or other questions. Observe all restrictions on the use of this product for apple thinning.

DRIFT MANAGEMENT

For airblast application, observe the following drift management practices:

- Adjust deflectors and aiming devices so that spray is only directed into the canopy;
- Block off upward pointed nozzles when there is no overhanging canopy;
- Do not allow the spray to go beyond the edge of the cultivated area (i.e., turn off sprayer when turning at end rows);
- For applications to the outside rows, only spray inward, toward the orchard."

Appendix VI Additional Mitigation Measures for Certain Products Containing Carbaryl

Domestic Class Products

All domestic class products containing carbaryl are to be cancelled.

The cancellation schedule for all domestic class products is as follows: Last sale by registrants: 12 months following the publication date of this document Last sale by retail: 24 months following the publication date of this document Expiry date of registration: 36 months following the publication date of this document

Wettable Powder in Water Soluble Packaging (WSP)

Registrants of end-use product must take measures to package wettable powder products in water soluble packaging and discontinue the wettable powder formulation.

An application to register a new product in water soluble packaging is required within 24 months following the publication date of this document. Label directions for these products must be revised to provide use directions for products in water soluble packaging.

References

PMRA Number Reference

A. Information Considered in the Toxicology Assessment

Studies/Information Submitted By Applicant/Registrant (Unpublished)

1533160 2007, Report on Cholinesterase Comparative Sensitivity Study of

Carbaryl, DACO: 4.8

Published Information

1968927	Herr, David W. et al, 2010, Relationship Between Brain and Plasma Carbaryl Levels and Cholinesterase Inhibition - Toxicology, Volume 276, Pages 172 to 183, DACO: 4.8
2026922	United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2005, Transmittal of Meeting Minutes of the FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel Meeting Held December 2, 2004 on the Use of Pharmacokinetic Data to Refine Carbaryl Risk Estimates from Oral and Dermal Exposure, DACO: 12.5.4
2308483	Cambon, C, C. Declume and R. Derache, 1978, Inhibition of Acetylcholinesterase from Foetal and Maternal Tissues after Oral Intake of Carbaryl (1-naphthyl-N-methyl-carbamate) by Pregnant Rats - Biochemical Pharmacology, Volume 27, Pages 2647 to 2648, DACO: 4.5.14
2308485	Cambon, C., C. Declume, and R. Derache, 1980, Foetal and Maternal Rat Brain Acetylcholinesterase: Isoenzymes Changes Following Insecticidal Carbamate Derivatives Poisoning - Archives of Toxicology, Volume 45, Pages 257 to 262, DACO: 4.5.14
2308487	Declume, C. and P. Benard, 1977, Foetal Accumulation of [14C] Carbaryl in Rats and Mice Autoradiographic Study - Toxicology, Volume 8, Pages 95 to 105, DACO: 4.5.9
2308491	Declume, C., C. Cambon, and R. Derache, 1979, The Effects on New-Born Rats of Repeated Carbaryl Administration During Gestation - Toxicology Letters, Volume 3, Pages 191 to 196, DACO: 4.5.14

2308493	United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2002, Carbaryl - 6th Report of the Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee, DACO: 12.5.4	
2308498	Moser, Virginia C. et al, 2010, Time-Course, Dose-Response, and Age Comparative Sensitivity of N-Methyl Carbamates in Rats - Toxicological Sciences, Volume 114, Number 1, Pages 113 to 123, DACO: 4.5.12	
2309077	Mortensen, S.R., M.J. Hooper, and S. Padilla, 1998, Rat Brain Acetylcholinesterase Activity: Developmental Profile and Maturational Sensitivity to Carbamate and Oranophosphorus Inhibitors - Toxicology, Volume 125, Pages 13 to 19, DACO: 4.5.12	
2309078	Astroff, A.B. et al, 1999, The Conduct of a Two-Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study via Dermal Exposure in the Sprague-Dawley Rat A Case Study with KBR 3023 (A Prospective Insect Repellent) - Reproductive Toxicology, Volume 13, Number 3, Pages 223 to 232, DACO: 4.5.1	
B. Information Considered in the Health Exposure Assessment		
Studies/Information Submitted By Applicant/Registrant (Unpublished)		
1812352	Bayer CropScience Canada, 2009. Letter from BCS to J. Worgan, Director General, Re-evaluation Management Directorate, PMRA. "Comments on Carbaryl PRVD 2009-14" 6 October 2009	

1812352	Bayer CropScience Canada, 2009. Letter from BCS to J. Worgan, Director General, Re-evaluation Management Directorate, PMRA. "Comments on Carbaryl PRVD 2009-14." 6 October 2009.
2007013	Bayer CropScience Canada, 2011. Letter from BCS to Conti, M. A/Director General, Re-evaluation Management Directorate, PMRA. 'Comments on Carbaryl PRVD 2009-14." January 14, 2011.
1718679	Bayer CropScience Canada, 2007a. Memo (email) from Delaney, N. of Bayer CropScience to R. Vogrig of the PMRA 'Carbaryl Reevaluation Questions and Response,' Bayer CropScience, Ontario. Unpublished. 8 August 2007.
1812296	Bayer CropScience Canada, 2007b. Lunchick, C. 'Post Application Exposure Estimate Following Application of the Tebuconazole Formulation, Lynx 2, to Golf Courses.' OPPTS 875.2400, Report # G201756. Bayer CropScience, 15 August 2007.

1812211	Krolski, M.E. <i>et al</i> , Metabolism of [14C] Carbaryl in Rats, 2003. MRID 46277001. Report # 201025. DACO: 4.5.9. Bayer CropScience, 7 May 2003.
2194662	Akins, J. <i>et al</i> , 'Response to PMRA's Apple Thinning Re-entry Interval Assessment and Refinement Options for Carbaryl'. Tessenderlo Kerley Inc., 25 May 2012.
1526175	Dick, I. 2001. [14C]-Carbaryl Comparative <i>in vitro</i> Dermal Penetration Study Using Human and Rat Skin. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd., Woolley Rd, Alconbury, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire, U.K. Lab Resport # AES 033/012804. 21 September 2001. Unpublished.
2115788	Agricultural Reentry Task Force (ARTF). 2008. Data Submitted by the ARTF to Support Revision of Agricultural Transfer Coefficients. Submission #2006-0257.
1526127	Shah, P.V. 2007. Carbaryl: Review of <i>in vitro</i> Dermal Absorption Study: Dick, I. 2001. [14C]-Carbaryl Comparative <i>in vitro</i> Dermal Penetration Study Using Human and Rat Skin. Unpublished.

Additional Information

Published Information

1656343 Chang, S.K., Brooks, J.D., Monteiro-Riviere, N.A., J.E. Riviere.

1995. Enhancing or Blocking Effect of Fenvalerate on the

Subsequent Percutaneous Absorption of Pesticides In Vitro. Pest.

Biomchem. Phys. 51:214-219.

Unpublished Information

2418684 USEPA, 2000. Agricultural Transfer Coefficients. Science

Advisory Council for Exposure. Policy No. 003.1. Unpublished. 7

August 2000.

C. Information Considered in the Environmental Assessment

Studies/Information Submitted By Applicant/Registrant (Unpublished)

1995688 Schafers, C. (2002). Carbaryl Hazard Potential to Freshwater

invertebrates Proposed Ecologically Acceptable Concentration.

Unpublished study. M-210735 -01-1

Additional Information Considered

Published Information

2016893 Havens, K. E. (1995). Insecticide (carbaryl, 1-napthyl-n-

methylcarbamate) effects on a freshwater plankton community: zooplankton size, biomass, and algal abundance. Water, Air and

Soil Pollution 84: 1-10.

D. Information Considered in the Value Assessment

Additional Information Considered

Published Information

2297421	Caldwell, B. et al, 2010, Pesticidal Soap. Resource Guide for Organic Insect and Disease Management - ISBN 0-9676507-2-0 Cornell University Department of Plant Pathology, DACO: 10.6
2041819	Canadian Food Inspection Agency, 2010, Japanese Bettle - Popillia Japonica (Newman), DACO: 10.6
2041817	Canadian Food Inspection Agency, 2010, D-98-09: Comprehensive Policy to Control the Spread of North American Gypsy Moth, Lymantria Dispar in Canada and the United States, DACO: 10.6
2297424	Cranshaw, W. S. 2010, Insect Control: Soaps and Detergents. Insect Series Home and Garden No. 5.547 - Colorado State University Extension, 2008, DACO: 10.6
2041822	IRAC International MoA Working Group, 2010, IRAC MoA Classification Scheme, DACO: 10.6
2041816	Koppert Biological Systems, 2011, Beneficial Nematodes Against Leatherjackets, DACO: 10.6
2297427	Smith, R. et al, 2010, Guidelines for Insecticideal Soap - Washington State University Extension, DACO: 10.6
2297428	Stewart, J.B., 2010, White Grubs in Ontario Lawns.2004. University of Guelph Pest Diagnostic Clinic, Guelph, Ontario. University of Guelph Pest Diagnostic Clinic Factsheet Archive, Insects, DACO: 10.6
2297429	Ubl, J. and C. Munnerlyn, 2010, Insecticidal Soap for Garden Pest Control. 2009. HGIC 2771. Clemson University Extension,

DACO: 10.6