JULY 17th, 2012
LETTER TO THE EDITOR — MEDIA RELEASE
RESPONSE TO NANCY FALCONER — LONG VERSION
BCLOCALNEWS.COM
BRITISH COLUMBIA

Nancy Falconer is a truth-challenged non-expert anti-pesticide lobbyist who is paid-for-profit to concoct imaginary dangers for Canadian Cancer Society.

Both Falconer and her organization would like to IMPOSE PROHIBITION against pest control products used in the Urban Landscape.

Not surprisingly, neither Falconer, nor any of her co-conspirators, has ANY recognized background, training, or experience in matters concerning pest control products.

Are we to believe that an assessment on pest control products from Falconer is somehow worth more than Health Canada ?!?!

Here are examples of Falconer's FLAWED, DISTORTED, VALUELESS and WORTHLESS OPINIONS —

Cancer ?!?!

According to Falconer —

« The Canadian Cancer Society is very concerned about the growing body of evidence suggesting pesticides may increase the risk of several types of CANCERS. » [?!?!]

Falconer is WRONG!

It is COMMON KNOWLEDGE that there are NO pest control products that are known or probable carcinogens.

It is a MYTH to believe that pest control products somehow cause cancer.

Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) and Health Canada's EXTENSIVE TOXICOLOGY DATABASES INDISPUTABLY PROVE that pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE and DO NOT CAUSE CANCER!

Both EPA and Health Canada require each new pest control product to go through about TWO-HUNDRED SEPARATE TESTS to examine health and environmental impacts as well as value, and costing about 250 MILLION DOLLARS.

There are THOUSANDS OF DEATHS per year from KNOWN CANCER-CAUSING SUBSTANCES such as cigarette smoke and alcohol.

There is NOT ONE KNOWN CANCER DEATH from the proper use of pest control products used in the Urban Landscape.

Canadian Cancer Society, through its promotional literature, continues to insist there is a link between pest control products and cancer, despite the fact that its U.S. counterpart, American Cancer Society, DOES NOT SHARE THE SAME VIEWPOINT.

Health Canada, and NOT Canadian Cancer Society, has THE ESSENTIAL EXPERTISE on the subject of pest control products.

Canadian Cancer Society is RIDICULOUSLY IMPLYING that its NON-EXPERT ASSESSMENT is somehow being withheld from Health Canada and EVERY other regulatory agency in the world.

Canadian Cancer Society is a FUND-RAISING, PROFIT-SEEKING, and LOBBYING organization, and NOT a science, research, or health organization.

CANADIAN CANCER SOCIETY (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-10W

There is NOT ONE KNOWN CANCER DEATH from the proper use of pest control products used in the Urban Landscape.

Pest control products are STRINGENTLY TESTED and REGULATED in order to ensure that they are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE and CAUSE NO HARM.

Even Canadian Cancer Society's own web-site states that scientific research does NOT provide a conclusive link between pesticides and human cancer.

CANADIAN CANCER SOCIETY - NO DIRECT PROOF PESTICIDES CAUSE CANCER (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-4qC

In 2007, the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the Pest Management Regulatory Agency of Health Canada issued a ruling that products like 2,4-D Herbicide ARE NOT CANCER-CAUSING IN HUMANS.

The World Health Organization only lists the common pest control product 2,4-D in the SAME CANCER-RISK CATEGORY AS PICKLED VEGETABLES AND CELL-PHONES.

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC is part of the United Nation's World Health Organization) lists VERY FEW PESTICIDES as carcinogenic, or as probable or possible carcinogens, and NONE of these can be found among « cosmetic pesticides » used in the Urban Landscape.

Pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE, and NO HARM WILL OCCUR when they are used according to label directions.

THE MYTH OF CANCER (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-2nl

THE MYTHS ABOUT ANTI-PESTICIDE PROHIBITION (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-3jm

Ontario College of Family Physicians ?!?!

According to Falconer —

« The ONTARIO COLLEGE OF FAMILY PHYSICIANS [alleges that] children are particularly vulnerable and shows associations between

pesticides and various neurological and respiratory diseases, and reproductive problems. » [?!?!]

Ontario College of Family Physicians is WRONG!

Ontario College of Family Physicians (OCFP) and its Literature Review has been DISCREDITED, DEBUNKED, and REFUTED by Health Canada and by The Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution.

Moreover, OCFP is also a FUND-RAISING, PROFIT-SEEKING, and LOBBYING organization, and it is NOT a science, research, or health organization.

OCFP is presently UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CHARITY FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY.

OCFP is RIDICULOUSLY IMPLYING that its NON-EXPERT ASSESSMENT is somehow being withheld from Health Canada and EVERY other regulatory agency in the world.

INDISPUTABLE and CONCLUSIVE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH shows that, as reported through Health Canada's VAST TOXICOLOGY DATABASE, NO HARM WILL OCCUR when pest control products are used according to label directions.

The RISK ASSESSMENT of pest control products indicates that they are PRACTICALLY-NON-TOXIC.

There is NOT ONE KNOWN ILLNESS or DEATH from the proper use of pest control products used in the Urban Landscape.

Even Canadian Cancer Society has STATED REPEATEDLY that scientific research does NOT provide a conclusive link between pest control products and cancer.

CANADIAN CANCER SOCIETY - NO DIRECT PROOF PESTICIDES CAUSE CANCER (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-4qC

OCFP DID NOT conduct a serious scientific study ... it conducted a mere Literature Review, and could only use those references found in the OPEN PUBLIC LITERATURE.

What did OCFP use as its reference sources?

GOOGLE and WIKIPEDIA.

In other words, the OCFP Anti-Pesticide Literature Review was conducted with a standard that was NO BETTER THAN A GRADE SCHOOL PROJECT PREPARED BY A 10-YEAR-OLD STUDENT.

The authors and reviewers of the BOGUS-OCFP-Review were not only SCIENTIFICALLY IGNORANT, they were LAZY and OBTUSE.

Moreover, among the authors and reviewers of the OCFP-Review ...

- there are doctors who were found guilty of professional misconduct over sexual activities
- doctors whose license was suspended
- doctors who deny having reviewed the document

ONTARIO COLLEGE OF FAMILY PHYSICIANS (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-37C

Green Alternative Pesticides ?!?!

According to Falconer —

« Viable ALTERNATIVES exist to chemical pesticides. » [?!?!]

Falconer is again WRONG!

It is IMPOSSIBLE for home-owners or professionals to keep their properties beautiful by using so-called GREEN ALTERNATIVE PESTICIDES.

There are NO viable, efficacious, economical, or low-risk alternatives to replace conventional pest control products.

In many cases, Green Alternative Pesticides are questionably HIGHER IN TOXICITY, and pose HIGHER ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS.

A well-maintained conventional lawn and landscape can ONLY BE ACHIEVED WITH CONVENTIONAL pest control products and fertilizers.

Fortunately, CONVENTIONAL pest control products are SAFE, EFFECTIVE, ECONOMICAL, and LOW-RISK.

GREEN ALTERNATIVES ... BOGUS & DISMAL FAILURES (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-24g

FAILURE OF ORGANIC PESTICIDE-FREE MAINTENANCE (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-3yl

CARNAGE LEADING TO GARBAGE DUMP GREEN SPACES (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-44g

THE MYTHS ABOUT ANTI-PESTICIDE PROHIBITION (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-3jm

Public Support ?!?!

According to Falconer —

« There is strong PUBLIC SUPPORT for banning the cosmetic use of pesticides. » [?!?!]

Falconer continues to be WRONG!

The public DOES NOT WANT PESTICIDE BANS.

The ONLY people who want Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION in provinces like British Columbia are a SMALL GROUP of activists with VESTED INTERESTS defended by the IMAGINARY DANGER of pest control products.

In BRITISH COLUMBIA, the public DOES NOT WANT PESTICIDE BANS.

A recent POLL conducted by Canadian Consumer Specialty Products Association (CCSPA) showed that the VAST MAJORITY of residents in British Columbia are OPPOSED to the PROHIBITION of conventional pest control products, and they actually FAVOUR the continued use of these products around their homes and in public green spaces.

FORCE OF NATURE --THE WISDOM OF CANADIAN CONSUMER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS ASSOCIATION -- 2011 08 17 -- POLL INDICATES RESIDENTS WANT PESTICIDES (Report)

http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-cortent/upbacks/2011/12/Force-OF-Nature-The-Wisdom-of-Can-Consumer-Specialty-Products-Assn-2011-08-17-Pol-Indicates-Residents-WANT-Pesticides-pof-300-dpi.pdf

PESTICIDE TRUTHS -- 2012 05 06 - BRITISH COLUMBIA PESTICIDE BAN -- PUBLIC REJECTS PROHIBITION -- BANS CAUSE CATASTROPHIC CARNAGE (Report)

http://pesticidetruths.com/2012/05/09/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-camage-2012-05-06/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-camage-2012-05-06/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-camage-2012-05-06/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-camage-2012-05-06/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-camage-2012-05-06/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-camage-2012-05-06/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-camage-2012-05-06/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-camage-2012-05-06/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-b

FORCE OF NATURE -- BRITISH COLUMBIA CONSPIRACY -- 2012 05 06 -- LETTER TO THE EDITOR -- SPALDING -- PUBLIC REJECTS BANS -- RESPONSE

http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/Force-Of-Nature-Letter-to-the-Editor-2012-05-06-Spalding-Public-Rejects-Bans-Carnage-BC-RESPONSE-pdf.pdf

In ONTARIO, the public DOES NOT WANT PESTICIDE BANS.

According to another recent Ontario poll, MORE THAN HALF of Ontario residents believe that the provincial government DID NOT DO THE RIGHT THING by implementing Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION.

Ontario residents WOULD RATHER HAVE THE BAN SCRAPPED OR MODIFIED.

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO - 2011 POLL REGARDING PROHIBITION (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-3kB

The public DOES NOT WANT PESTICIDE BANS.

Other Jurisdictions Have PROHIBITION ?!?!

According to Falconer —

« [Other jurisdictions] have adopted local bylaws. »

So what?

In order to somehow validate their position, Anti-Pesticide Activists PROCLAIM that other jurisdictions, especially in Eastern Canada, have imposed some form of PROHIBITION against the use of pest control products in the Urban Landscape.

What they DO NOT TELL THE PUBLIC is ...

- The Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITIONS in Eastern Canada are CONTRIBUTING TO GREAT CONFUSION, and are leading to MAJOR PROBLEMS with compliance and enforcement.
- The BASIS FOR ACTION taken in Eastern Canada was CONFUSING and INCONSISTENT.
- PESTICIDE BANS ARE A FARCE. Residents are obtaining products either from other provinces, or mostly from across the border in the United States. It is NOT illegal to own or possess these products, only to apply them. The mis-use of products remains thanks to PROHIBITION. No jurisdiction in Eastern Canada has EVER enforced PROHIBITION by going after residents.

PESTICIDE TRUTHS -- 2012 05 25 -- PESTICIDE BANS ARE A FARCE -- KILLEX FOR SALE TO EVERYONE (Report)

http://wp.me/p1jq40-4mS

• Recently, the Government of Quebec was UNABLE TO VALIDATE its Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION, and was HUMILIATED into making a STATEMENT saying that pest control products like 2,4-D DO NOT POSE AN UNACCEPTABLE RISK to human health or the environment.

FORCE OF NATURE -- VICTORY AGAINST TERRORISTS -- QUEBEC -- 2011 05 26 -- DOW DEFEATS BAN -- NAFTA (Report)

http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/Force-Of-Nature-VICTORY-AGAINST-TERRORISTS-Quebec-2011-05-26-Dow-Defeats-Ban-NAFTA-pdf-300-dpi.pd

CONVENTIONAL PRODUCT - 2,4-D HERBICIDE - EVALUATIONS & ASSESSMENTS (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-1J8

• Recently, the Government of Alberta announced that it WILL NOT CREATE RESTRICTIONS OR LAWS THAT CONFLICT WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, that is relied upon for health and safety assessments.

PROVINCE OF ALBERTA - CONSPIRACY TO PROHIBIT PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS IN THE URBAN LANDSCAPE (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-2vo

• Recently, British Columbia's Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides announced that it WILL NOT RECOMMEND PROHIBITION against pest control products used in the Urban Landscape.

PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA - SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON COSMETIC PESTICIDES (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-2c2

Moreover, Anti-Pesticide jurisdictions have DOOMED their children to SUFFER INJURIES.

PESTICIDE BANS create HAZARDOUS SLIPPING CONDITIONS in sports turf.

In fact, WITH PESTICIDE BANS, children are actually AT HIGH RISK of TRIPPING and becoming SERIOUSLY HURT.

Pest control products PREVENT slipping injuries on sports turf.

Children need WEED-FREE BASEBALL MOUNDS AND RUNS to avoid TRIPPING and HURTING THEMSELVES. Without conventional pest control products, playing surfaces become THIN AND YELLOW, and OVER-RUN WITH WEEDS and INFESTED WITH INSECTS, resulting in the DISMAL DESTRUCTION OF TURF PLAYING SURFACES.

Anti-Pesticide jurisdictions are NOW OFFICIALLY LIABLE FOR ALL INJURIES.

CHILDREN ARE NOT AT RISK (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-2ha

Additionally, Anti-Pesticide Activists also DO NOT TELL THE PUBLIC that there have been SIGNIFICANT VICTORIES AGAINST PROHIBITION, particularly in Western Canada.

VICTORIES AGAINST TERRORISTS! - TREND TOWARDS PESTICIDE BANS HAS STOPPED (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-1JO

There Is A Real Trend AGAINST Pesticide Bans

ASHLAND, City of (Oregon, USA)	CALGARY, City of (Alberta)	
Prohibition Rescinded	Prohibition Stopped	EVERETT, City of (Washington, USA)
2012 03 02	2009 - 2011	
	CAMPRELL DIVER City of / British	Pesticide-Free Project Ended and Spraying Weeds Resumed
ALBERTA, Province of	CAMPBELL RIVER, City of (British Columbia)	2012 06 06
Prohibition Stopped	Proposed Prohibition Extinguished	
2011 08 09	2012 07 05	GOLF - Sharp Park Golf Course (USA)
BEAUMONT, Town of (Alberta)	Hesitant To Enact Prohibition	Closure Stopped
Prohibition Stopped	2012 07 05	2011 12 00
2011 10 27	CHICAGO, City of (Illinois, USA)	KAMLOOPS, City of (British
BRITISH COLUMBIA, Province of	Prohibition Rescinded	Columbia)
Prohibition Not Recommended by	2011 08 19	Prohibition Stopped
Special Committee On Cosmetic Pesticides	EDMONTON, City of (Alberta)	Professional Lawn Care Granted Exception Status
2012 05 17	Prohibition Stopped	2010 - 2012

2012 02 06

KELOWNA, City of (British Columbia)

Professional Lawn Care Granted Exception Status

2010 02 08

MERRITT, Town of (British Columbia)

Prohibition Stopped

2010 05 14

PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE, Rural Municipality of (Manitoba)

Opposed the Proposed Provincial Prohibition

2012 06 13

QUEBEC, Province of

Permitted Active Ingredients

2010 02 00

Dow Defeats Ban

2011 05 26

REGINA, City of (Saskatchewan)

Prohibition Stopped

2002 & 2012

REVELSTOKE, City of (British Columbia)

Prohibition Delayed but Not Stopped

2010 06 22

ROSSLAND, City of (British Columbia)

Prohibition Delayed

2010 12 15

SALMON ARM, City of (British Columbia)

Prohibition Rescinded

Professional Lawn Care Granted Exception Status

2011 03 08

SCARBOROUGH, Town of (Maine, USA)

Prohibition Rescinded

2012 04 19

STEINBACH, City of (Manitoba)

Prohibition Opposed

2012 04 19

TUKEY, Paul (SafeLawns, USA)

Banned from Promoting Prohibition at Toronto Convention

2010 12 01

UNITED KINGDOM

Pre-Notification Stopped

2010 12 15

VERNON, City of (British Columbia)

Prohibition Stopped

2010 01 12 & 26

WILLIAM H. GATHERCOLE AND NORAH G

NORAHG is the National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green space industry.

NORAHG is a NATIONAL NON PROFIT NON PARTISAN organization that does not accept money from corporations or governments or trade associations, and represents NO VESTED INTERESTS WHATSOEVER.

THE PESTICIDE TRUTHS WEB-SITE

http://pesticidetruths.com/

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR / LETTERS OF COMPLAINT (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-1J6

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR - RESPONDING TO SCREECHING MONKEYS (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-4hi

THE COMPLETE LIBRARY OF REPORTS & REFERENCES (Web-Page)

http://wp.me/P1jq40-2rr