

## Cosmetic Pesticide Use

# An idea whose time has gone

Presented by:

Canadian Cancer Society (BCY)

Kathryn Seely

**Public Issues Manager** 

Jerilynn Maki

**Health Promotion Coordinator, Interior Region** 

Panel: Judy Wigmore, Debbie Lazar

Wednesday May 12, 2010

**BCRPA Symposium, Penticton BC** 



#### Outline

- **About the Canadian Cancer Society**
- Pesticides and Cancer
- Scope of Pesticide Legislation
- Support for Pesticide Legislation
- Call to Action



#### First Message



Thank you!



### Canadian Cancer Society

- of people living with cancer enhancement of the quality of life The eradication of cancer and the
- Against <u>all</u> cancers
- Prevention, Support, Research
- Community and volunteer-based



# **Cosmetic Chemical Pesticides**

#### Pesticides:

- All 'cides'
- Lawns and gardens

### Cosmetic pesticide use:

- Non-agricultural
- Non-forestry





### Pesticides and Cancer

- Studies have linked pesticide exposure to both adult and childhood cancers
- Children are at greater risk from the effects of pesticides









### **Precautionary Principle**

When an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, precautionary measures should be taken even if some cause-and-effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.

Better safe than sorry!





#### **Our Position**

The Canadian Cancer
Society is calling on the
BC government to
prohibit the sale and
use of cosmetic
chemical pesticides on:

- lawns and gardens and non-agricultural landscaping
- with exemptions to protect public health





#### **Not the Answer** Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is

- of a complete ban to <u>eliminate</u> cosmetic IPM cannot be considered an appropriate part pesticide use — IPM aims to <u>reduce</u> use
- they are vague and open to interpretation The principles of IPM have limited use because
- IPM results in continued reliance on pesticides

Under IPM, the use of pesticides can actually increase rather than decrease.



# Why do we need provincial legislation?

- Adverse health and environmental impacts
- Only the BC government has jurisdiction to ban the 'sale' of cosmetic pesticides
- pesticides on provincial or commercial lands BC municipalities can not ban the 'use' of
- 31 municipalities have cosmetic pesticide and does not protect all British Columbians bylaws in BC – this leaves a patchwork of laws



### **Growing Support**

- 31 municipalities in BC
- The Union of BC
   Municipalities resolutions
- Coalition of 19 provincial health and environmental organizations
- + Another 20 regional coalitions
- + 76% of British Columbians
- + Province of Ontario and Quebec





### **Further Public Support**

- 4,000+ fans of Pesticide Free BC
- 3,000+ emails
- 3,500 petition signatures
- 300+ letters to the Minister of Environment





# Legislation is Good for Parks and Recreation

- Viable alternatives to pesticides exist
- Municipalities and golf courses are making the shift
- Businesses are shifting to and selling more non-toxic alternatives
- Home Depot, Rona and Loblaws have phased out the sale of cosmetic pesticides





### **Pesticide Bans Work**

- Quebec vs. BC use
- Toronto and Halifax reductions
- If complemented by a strong education campaign
- "The grass is still growing in Hudson"







## What will the Industry say?

#### Just what the tobacco industry said in the 1960's and beyond

- "junk science"
- "will ruin business"
- "tested for safety by Health Canada"
- "nanny state"



#### Call to Action

- Become a fan of Pesticide Free BC on Facebook
- Sign the petition
- Send a letter or email
- Tell 5 friends
- Play: http://www

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dTcvO-o8NTA

