

A woman with long dark hair is the central figure, wearing a strapless, sequined bodice and a matching sequined waistband. She is surrounded by large, colorful feathers in shades of pink, green, and gold. The background is dark with soft, out-of-focus lights.

# Victory Against Terrorists

**FORCE**  
OF  
**NATURE**

THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE from  
National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG)



# Victory



## Against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists

British Columbians DO NOT want their society to continue living in the 9|11 Era of Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Profit-Hungry Terrorism !

On May 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012, British Columbia's Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides announced that it WILL NOT RECOMMEND A BAN AGAINST PESTICIDES.

This VICTORY signals the END OF THE CURRENT SIEGE OF ANTI-PESTICIDE TERRORISM Against the Professional Lawn Care Industry in British Columbia.

In jurisdictions outside of British Columbia, Anti-Pesticide Terrorists have already INFLICTED HORRENDOUS and CATASTROPHIC CARNAGE for businesses operating in the Professional Lawn Care Industry, in the form of IMMENSE LOSSES OF REVENUES, BUSINESS FAILURES, BANKRUPTCY, and UNEMPLOYMENT, and even HORRIFIC FINES.

Because of Anti-Pesticide Terrorists, the owners, employees, and families dependent on Professional Lawn Care businesses have faced TERROR, DESPAIR, and DESTITUTION.

Pest control products CAUSE NO HARM and are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE.

Not surprisingly, the BC Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides AGREED — and deserves CONGRATULATION.

# **Prohibition REFUSED by British Columbia**



It is COMMON KNOWLEDGE that there are NO pest control products that are known or probable carcinogens.

Pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE, and NO HARM WILL OCCUR when they are used according to label directions.

So-called Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations, MASQUERADING as health groups, are expressing MOCK-DISAPPOINTMENT.

Anti-Pesticide Organizations like Canadian Cancer Society have already SQUANDERED between 2,500,000 AND 5,000,000 DOLLARS on SUBVERSIVE Anti-Pesticide CONSPIRACIES that are NEEDLESS, SENSELESS, MALICIOUS, and UNNECESSARY.

This VICTORY against the TERRORISTS does NOT signal that their ACTS OF SUBVERSION will end.

Terror NEVER Ends !

These lunatics, financed with our tax-dollars and public donations, will continue their ACTS OF TERRORISM — this time, back with the municipalities.

# Victory



## Against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists

Furthermore, several of these organizations are presently UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CHARITY FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY.

Moreover, in the next election, New Democratic Party may garner a majority of the votes and form the government that will IMPOSE PROHIBITION.

New Democratic Party is the POLITICAL SHIELD for Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations.

The Professional Lawn Care Industry must take advantage of this VICTORY, and not fall into complacency.

It is now time for the Professional Lawn Care Industry to select a municipality that has IMPOSED an Anti-Pesticide By-Law, and SUE ITS BRAINS OUT — this will serve as an example to ALL Anti-Pesticide Terrorists.

The lunatics must be HOUNDED, no matter where they are — specifically David Suzuki, whose two-year contract with public television must not be renewed beyond 2014 — Suzuki's reign MUST BE ENDED.

Suzuki, and ALL Anti-Pesticide Terrorists, must MAKE AMENDS FOR THEIR ACTS OF TERRORISM — Barbara Kaminsky. Gideon Forman. Kathryn Seely. Mae Burrows. Selina Robinson. And others.

# Victory

## Against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists

*« The scientific evidence,  
at this time, does not  
warrant an outright ban »*

# Victory



## **NO Anti-Pesticide Policy**

# NO

All-Out Ban  
on Pesticide Use  
for Cosmetic Reasons

May 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012

Opinion 250 News

Selected and adapted excerpts



# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

The Committee that has been examining the use of pesticides has tabled it's report, but fails to call for an all-out ban on sale and use of pesticides for cosmetic reasons in residential settings.

The final decision was NOT UNANIMOUS.

According to Bill Bennett, Chair of the Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides —

*The majority of the committee does not think the scientific evidence, at this time, warrants an outright ban.*

*We are not prepared to say to home-owners that purchasing 2,4-D is prohibited, under all circumstances, or that they cannot hire a qualified person to apply it to their lawns.*

Deputy Anti-Pesticide Chair of the Committee, Rob Fleming, says the minority of committee had a different view.

*The minority of the committee came to the conclusion that there is enough evidence to support the public and the Union of BC. [ ?!?!?! ]*

Instead of an all-out ban, the Committee has put forth 17 recommendations aimed at promoting the safe use of pesticides.

The recommendations are —

# Victory




## **NO Anti-Pesticide Policy**

1. BAN THE SALE OF commercial-class PESTICIDES as soon as possible, EXCEPT FOR USE BY CERTIFIED APPLICATORS. Begin immediate consultations with the AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY on how best to prohibit the sale of commercial-class pesticides to anyone but a certified applicator.
2. Amend Integrated Pest Management Act ( IPMA ) regulation(s) regarding how pesticides can be sold to require vendors to restrict consumer access to most domestic-class pesticides so customers must interact with a certified dispenser before purchasing a pesticide.
3. Require a certified dispenser to ensure that there is a strong justification for pesticide use before a pesticide is sold, based on Integrated Pest Management ( IPM ) principles, including the following —
  - a. The best way to control pests and weeds;
  - b. The right way to use a pesticide so that it does the job;
  - c. The legal uses of the pesticide; and
  - d. The importance of reading and following the directions on the label.



# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

- 
4. Require a vendor to keep a record of each sale of a domestic-class pesticide, in line with the requirement for a vendor of a commercial-class pesticide; and that government use the records of sales information to track domestic-class pesticide sales.
  5. Provide vendors with a reasonable phase-in implementation period.
  6. Increase inspections of retail outlets to ensure that pesticide products are accessible only by interaction with certified dispensers and that the consumer is receiving appropriate information and instruction.
  7. Increase penalties for those vendors not meeting the responsibility that accompanies their right to sell the product.
  8. Improve public education on the use of domestic-class products, with a focus on the right product at the right time, in accordance with IPM principles and to the extent possible, in ways that are consistent with BC organic standards and organic management practices.
  9. Employ IPM specialist(s) to work with retailers and other stakeholders to educate the public on ways to eliminate pesticide use and increase alternative pest management methods. The specialist could be responsible for developing and/or coordinating and approving educational information developed through partnerships.

# Victory



## **NO Anti-Pesticide Policy**

10. Make public education a combined responsibility of manufacturers, retailers and government with manufacturers, retailers and applicator businesses paying most of the cost; and provide resources to support non-commercial organizations dedicated to public education. Components of the outreach or promotion could be contracted out, similar in nature to the Recycling Council of BC, with an IPM specialist approving educational information and delivery methods.

11. Develop a separate list of preferred products that meet a set of criteria for sale/use on lawns, gardens and turf to assist certified dispensers and consumers when selecting pesticides.

12. Develop, in conjunction with retailers and manufacturers, a one-day course for consumers. Graduates would be issued a card allowing a straightforward purchase of registered domestic-class pesticides.

13. ESTABLISH A WEB-SITE for the householder using pesticides in and around private homes and gardens that explains the principles of IPM, alternative methods of treatment, and methods of lawn care that reduce the growth of weeds.

14. Amend the IPM Regulation regarding how pesticides can be used by landscapers for lawn and landscape pest management to require IPM accreditation for landscaping licensees. A third party accreditation body, like Plant Health BC, could be required to audit its members for compliance with record keeping requirements of IPM Regulation and for good IPM practices.

# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED



15. Enhance retailer training by directing the retail industry to set up, at its own cost, a more comprehensive training and certification regime so that sales people in the retail setting are qualified to ensure consumer choices are in line with IPM principles; and develop a training course for pesticide applicators as a pre-requisite to test writing, with the course content to include the application of IPM principles in residential settings.

16. Ask the GOLF INDUSTRY to develop a province-wide certification process, or to modify an existing one, that will ensure a high standard of pesticide use by all golf courses in BC, including the use of IPM principles.

17. Expand and enhance the provincial unwanted pesticide management program to facilitate the return of unwanted domestic-, commercial- or restricted-class pesticide products.

The all-party committee was appointed in June 2011 to conduct consultations regarding the unnecessary use of pesticides in the province.

The inquiry included briefings from federal and provincial regulators and stakeholder presentations.

The committee also conducted an e-consultation and received almost 8,700 submissions, setting a new record for public participation in a committee inquiry.



# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

BC Committee  
**REJECTS**  
Pesticide Ban

May 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012

BC Local News

Selected and adapted excerpts

The BC Liberal majority on a legislative committee has recommended AGAINST A PROVINCE-WIDE BAN on cosmetic pesticide use, after a year of hearing from farmers, foresters, environmental organizations, and interested citizens.

# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED



Kootenay East MLA Bill Bennett chaired the committee whose four BC Liberal members out-voted three NDP members calling for a province-wide ban on common household herbicides, insecticides and fungicides used for visual effect.

New Democratic Party ( NDP ) operates as the POLITICAL SHIELD for Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations.

The majority recommended that retail sales should be treated similar to tobacco, KEPT OUT OF SIGHT AND AVAILABLE ONLY BY REQUEST from someone with training in how to use the chemicals.

Bennett said THE MOST COMPELLING TESTIMONY CAME FROM A REPRESENTATIVE OF HEALTH CANADA, which approves such products for use across the country.

The committee was told that BC was the FIRST province to ask Health Canada before considering such a ban, and that imposing one would be a POLITICAL rather than a public health choice, Bennett said.

Bennett noted that only five per cent of pesticide application is by household users, using products DILUTED 100 TIMES OR MORE BELOW THE LEVEL THAT SHOWS EFFECTS IN THE MOST SENSITIVE ANIMAL SPECIES.

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

The widespread belief that common lawn and garden products cause harm when used as directed is based on « *chemophobia* » and a LACK OF SCIENTIFIC LITERACY, Bennett said.

According to Bill Bennett, Chair of the Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides —

*The majority of the committee concluded that we could not justify second-guessing the 350 scientists who work at Health Canada.*

NDP Anti-Pesticide Environment Critic Rob Fleming noted that Premier Christy Clark formed the committee after publicly agreeing with NDP leader Adrian Dix that the cosmetic pesticide ban should be enacted.

A province-wide ban would equalize a patchwork of municipal restrictions around the province and stop retail sales the public, he said.  
[ Big Deal ! ]

The committee's recommendations go against up to 80 per cent of public opinion [ ?!?!?! ], and would leave BC « *dead last* » in provincial regulations, Fleming said. [ ?!?!?! ]

Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Rob Fleming is WRONG !



# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED



A recent POLL conducted by Canadian Consumer Specialty Products Association ( CCSPA ) showed that the VAST MAJORITY of residents in British Columbia are OPPOSED to the PROHIBITION of conventional pest control products, and they actually FAVOUR the continued use of these products around their homes and in public green spaces.

Additionally, according to another recent Ontario poll, where PROHIBITION has been IMPOSED since 2009, MORE THAN HALF of Ontario residents believe that the provincial government DID NOT DO THE RIGHT THING by implementing Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION.

Ontario residents WOULD RATHER HAVE THE BAN SCRAPPED OR MODIFIED.

Bennett said he discussed the recommendations with Clark before she left on a trade mission to Asia, and she offered no objections.

Cabinet could still overrule the committee and impose a ban, which he acknowledged would be much more politically popular.

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

BC Legislative Committee  
**REJECTS OUTRIGHT**  
Cosmetic Pesticide Ban

May 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012

Vancouver Sun

Selected and adapted excerpts

A legislative committee has **REJECTED AN OUTRIGHT BAN** on cosmetic pesticides in BC, saying the **SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE DOES NOT SUFFICIENTLY SUPPORT SUCH A MOVE.**

# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

In a report released Thursday morning, the committee made 17 recommendations, including restricting the sale and use of commercial pesticides and enhancing enforcement of existing regulations.

But it STOPPED SHORT OF AN OUTRIGHT BAN.

According to Bill Bennett, Kootenay East Liberal MLA and Chair of the Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides —

*We made our decision BASED ON OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE SCIENCE.*

*We are not prepared to tell home-owners that they cannot purchase federally-approved domestic-class pesticides or hire a qualified contractor to apply these federally-approved weed and bug control products on their lawn.*

New Democrat Anti-Pesticide Environment Critic Rob Fleming MOCK-CRITICIZED the decision.

New Democratic Party ( NDP ) operates as the POLITICAL SHIELD for Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations.

According to Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Rob Fleming —

*New Democrats are profoundly disappointed in the outcome of this process. [ Big Deal ! ]*



# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

### DON'T BAN

Cosmetic Pesticides,  
BC MLAs Recommend

May 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012

CBC News

Selected and adapted excerpts

An all-party committee is recommending NO PROVINCE-WIDE BAN on cosmetic pesticide use in British Columbia.

# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

There is not enough evidence to justify a province-wide ban on the cosmetic use of pesticides but the regulations restricting their use should be tightened, according to a special committee of BC Members of the Legislative Assembly ( MLAs ).

According to Bill Bennett, Chair of the Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides —

*The majority of the committee does not think the scientific evidence, at this time, warrants an outright ban.*

*We are not prepared to say to home-owners that purchasing 2,4-D is prohibited, under all circumstances, or that they cannot hire a qualified person to apply it to their lawns.*

The Special committee on Cosmetic Pesticides was made up of five Liberal Members of the Legislative Assembly ( MLAs ) and three NDP MLAs.

New Democratic Party ( NDP ) operates as the POLITICAL SHIELD for Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations.

Bennett said CANCER has hit his family hard, and if he thought a pesticide ban would save lives, he would have recommended one.

And even though a majority of public responses to the committee supported a ban, « *the evidence just doesn't support the banning of cosmetic pesticide in BC* ».

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

In fact, Anti-Pesticide Activists, such as those in Canadian Cancer Society, DELUGED the government with THOUSANDS, YES THOUSANDS, of submissions with COMMENTS that can only be described as LIES and MIS-INFORMATION.

The issue here was CREDIBILITY of the source of information, since the VAST MAJORITY of the COMMENTS were ORCHESTRATED, through a coast-to-coast CAMPAIGN, using NON-EXPERT VOLUNTEERS with Canadian Cancer Society.

The report by the Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides made 17 recommendations including —

- Restricting access to and use of commercial-class pesticides by uncertified users.
- Tightening up the rules for the sale of pesticides.
- Improving public education by using integrated pest management ( IPM ) specialists to develop educational resources, and creating a website to inform home-owners about IPM.
- Expanding the provincial program for safe disposal of unwanted pesticides.

But the Anti-Pesticide Deputy Chair of the committee, NDP Environment Critic Rob Fleming, said his party was not happy with the recommendation not to ban the use of cosmetic pesticides in BC —



# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

*New Democrats are profoundly disappointed in the outcome of this process. [ Boo Hoo ! ]*

*Instead of making good on the premier's repeated promise to ban cosmetic pesticides, the Liberals have brought in minimal regulatory changes. [ Blah ! Blah ! Blah ! ]*

The Premier and opposition leader supported a ban.

Fleming was referring to a statement by Premier Christy Clark last year that she supported a province-wide ban.

« I support banning the cosmetic use of pesticides », said Clark after NDP Opposition Leader Adrian Dix introduced a private member's bill last May to ban the sale, transfer or use of pesticides for cosmetic purposes that never became law.

The special committee was set up a month later to consult residents about a possible ban.

Several Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations, like Canadian Cancer Society, have also been COERCING, INTIMIDATING and TERRORIZING Government Officials for a province-wide ban.

According to Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Rob Fleming —

*We had an unprecedented level of public interest and participation for a legislative committee, reflecting a widespread consensus among the public and scientific*

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

*community that the cosmetic use of pesticides pose an unnecessary health risk to children, pets and our water supply.*  
[ WRONG ! ]

*There are viable non-synthetic alternatives that are already available and the associated health risks of cosmetic pesticides warrants government action to reduce everyday exposure to toxins that are potentially harmful and easily misused.*  
[ ?!?!?! ]

It is IMPOSSIBLE for home-owners or professionals to keep their properties beautiful by using so-called Green Alternative Pesticides.

There are NO viable, efficacious, economical, or low-risk alternatives to replace conventional pest control products.

Green Alternative Pesticides ( a.k.a. Organic or Natural Pesticides ) are BOGUS and DISMAL FAILURES — they DO NOT WORK and they are NOT INNOVATIVE products.

Overall, Green Alternative Pesticides are INEFFECTIVE, INADEQUATE, HIGH-RISK, MORE TOXIC, and STUNNINGLY EXPENSIVE !

In many cases, Green Alternative Pesticides are questionably HIGHER IN TOXICITY, and pose HIGHER ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS.

A well-maintained conventional lawn and landscape can ONLY BE ACHIEVED WITH CONVENTIONAL pest control products and fertilizers.

# Victory



## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

Top Enviro-Terrorist  
Groups Express  
MOCK-DISAPPOINTMENT

May 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012

CNW Media release

Selected and adapted excerpts

BC's top Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations, MASQUERADING AS HEALTH GROUPS, are expressing MOCK-DISAPPOINTMENT after a BC legislative committee on cosmetic pesticides released a report today calling for WEAK RESTRICTIONS on lawn and garden pesticides.

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

According to Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Barbara Kaminsky, CEO, Canadian Cancer Society, BC and Yukon —

*If these recommendations become law, they will not protect all British Columbian children from being exposed to unnecessary chemicals and possible carcinogens. [ WRONG ! ]*

*We waited years for the BC government to follow the lead of other provinces and BC municipalities, and this is the result ? [ So What ? ]*

*The report was slow in coming and is weak in content. [ ?!?!?! ]*

*It is disappointing overall. [ Boo Hoo ! ]*

The Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations — which include Canadian Cancer Society, Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment ( CAPE ), Lung Association, Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation and Public Health Association of BC — had requested strong legislation banning the sale and use of all pesticides for lawns, gardens and non-agricultural landscaping.

According to Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic and CAPE Fund-Raiser Gideon Forman —



# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

*Doctors are displeased that, given all we know about pesticides and illness, the committee would offer something so weak.  
[ ?!?!?! ]*

*We will continue to urge the BC government to implement strong province-wide cosmetic pesticide legislation, similar to Ontario's. [ Big Deal ! ]*

CAPE is a FUND-RAISING and LOBBYING organization, and NOT a science, research, or physicians' organization.

The VAST MAJORITY of CAPE members ARE NOT EVEN PHYSICIANS.

In fact, CAPE represents an INFINITESIMALLY SMALL number of doctors.

CAPE merely represents LESS THAN 4 PER CENT of all doctors in Canada.

In fact, CAPE functions as a PUBLIC POLICY SHIELD for Green Party of Canada and Canadian Cancer Society.

There is NO broad public support for cosmetic pesticide legislation in BC.

According to BOGUS polling commissioned by Canadian Cancer Society in 2010, over 70 per cent of British Columbians somehow support provincial legislation to restrict pesticide use. [ WRONG ! ]

In fact, a recent POLL conducted by Canadian Consumer Specialty Products Association ( CCSPA ) showed that the VAST MAJORITY of residents in British Columbia are OPPOSED to the PROHIBITION of

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

conventional pest control products, and they actually FAVOUR the continued use of these products around their homes and in public green spaces.

Additionally, according to another recent Ontario poll, where PROHIBITION has been IMPOSED since 2009, MORE THAN HALF of Ontario residents believe that the provincial government DID NOT DO THE RIGHT THING by implementing Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION.

Ontario residents WOULD RATHER HAVE THE BAN SCRAPPED OR MODIFIED.

More than 35 BC municipalities have already adopted by-laws restricting the cosmetic use of pesticides, and delegates at the 2008 and 2009 Union of BC Municipalities Conventions voted in favour of resolutions calling on the BC government to ban the use and sale of cosmetic pesticides province-wide. [ Big Deal ! ]

During the two public consultations that the BC government has conducted ( in 2010 and 2011 ), some 8,000 British Columbians responded to each consultation and the vast majority of respondents were in support of province-wide legislation.

Most recently, Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations succeeded in making pesticides an issue in the Port Moody-Coquitlam by-election.

According to Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic and CAPE Fund-Raiser Gideon Forman —

# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

*To say that a lot of people are afraid of chemicals because they don't understand the science is insulting to the majority of British Columbians in support of legislation and those who responded to the committee's consultation. [ ?!?!?! ]*

Anti-Pesticide Lunatics like Forman ALLEGE that there is some kind of evidence supporting a link between pesticides and cancer incidence, reproductive problems, and neurological diseases. [ WRONG ! ]

A definitive cause and effect relationship between cosmetic pesticide use and cancer is unlikely to be firmly established, according to Anti-Pesticide Lunatics. [ WRONG ! ]

In fact, it is COMMON KNOWLEDGE that there are NO pest control products that are known or probable carcinogens.

It is a MYTH to believe that pest control products somehow cause cancer.

Environmental Protection Agency's ( EPA ) and Health Canada's EXTENSIVE TOXICOLOGY DATABASE CLEARLY PROVE that pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE !

Both EPA and Health Canada require each new pest control product to go through about TWO-HUNDRED SEPARATE TESTS to examine health and environmental impacts as well as value, and costing about 250 MILLION DOLLARS.

There is NOT ONE KNOWN CANCER DEATH from the proper use of pest control products used in the Urban Landscape.

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

Canadian Cancer Society, through its promotional literature, continues to insist there is a link between pest control products and cancer, despite the fact that its U.S. counterpart, American Cancer Society, DOES NOT SHARE THE SAME VIEWPOINT.

Even Canadian Cancer Society's own web-site states that scientific research does NOT provide a conclusive link between pesticides and human cancer.

Pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE, and NO HARM WILL OCCUR when they are used according to label directions.

Nonetheless, Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Gideon Forman THINKS HE KNOWS BEST —

*We've conducted polling and an awareness campaign and in response thousands of citizens contacted the BC government in support of a ban. [ Big Deal ! ]*

Predictably, Anti-Pesticide Activists, such as those in Canadian Cancer Society, DELUGED the government with THOUSANDS, YES THOUSANDS, of submissions with COMMENTS that can only be described as LIES and MIS-INFORMATION.

The issue here is CREDIBILITY of the source of information, since the VAST MAJORITY of the COMMENTS were ORCHESTRATED, through a coast-to-coast CAMPAIGN, using NON-EXPERT VOLUNTEERS with Canadian Cancer Society.



# Victory



## Pesticide Ban STOPPED

### Disappointed Terrorists

May 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012

Straight.com

Selected and adapted excerpts

Canadian Cancer Society is expressing MOCK-DISAPPOINTMENT after a special legislative committee of BC MLAs FAILED TO RECOMMEND A PROVINCIAL BAN on the use of cosmetic pesticides for lawns and gardens.

According to Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Spokesman Kathryn Seely —

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

*The Canadian Cancer Society is very concerned about the use of cosmetic or unnecessary pesticides on lawns and gardens.*

*We base this statement on the growing body of evidence that suggests there are links between substances used in pesticides and cancer. [ WRONG ! ]*

*We know that children are particularly vulnerable to pesticides because their organs and bodies are growing and developing and they play close to the ground. [ WRONG ! ]*

*The Canadian Cancer Society believes we don't have to wait for scientific certainty before we prohibit the use of these unnecessary chemicals on lawns and gardens and places our children play. [ ?!?!?! ]*

In other words, Seely and her terrorist organization have SQUAT !

It is COMMON KNOWLEDGE that there are NO pest control products that are known or probable carcinogens.

It is a MYTH to believe that pest control products somehow cause cancer.

Environmental Protection Agency's ( EPA ) and Health Canada's EXTENSIVE TOXICOLOGY DATABASE CLEARLY PROVE that pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE !

# Victory

## Pesticide Ban STOPPED



Both EPA and Health Canada require each new pest control product to go through about TWO-HUNDRED SEPARATE TESTS to examine health and environmental impacts as well as value, and costing about 250 MILLION DOLLARS.

There are THOUSANDS OF DEATHS per year from KNOWN CANCER-CAUSING SUBSTANCES such as cigarette smoke and alcohol.

There is NOT ONE KNOWN CANCER DEATH from the proper use of pest control products used in the Urban Landscape.

Canadian Cancer Society, through its promotional literature, continues to insist there is a link between pest control products and cancer, despite the fact that its U.S. counterpart, American Cancer Society, DOES NOT SHARE THE SAME VIEWPOINT.

Even Canadian Cancer Society's own web-site states that scientific research does NOT provide a conclusive link between pesticides and human cancer.

After a ten-month-long review, the legislative committee determined there is NOT ENOUGH SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE TO JUSTIFY A BAN on cosmetic pesticide use in BC

According to Bill Bennett, Chair of the Special Committee on Cosmetic Pesticides —

*The majority of the committee does not think the scientific evidence, at this time, warrants an outright ban.*

# Victory



## NO Anti-Pesticide Policy

*We are not prepared to say to home-owners that purchasing 2,4-D is prohibited, under all circumstances, or that they cannot hire a qualified person to apply it to their lawns.*

The all-party legislative committee — with a majority of Liberal Members of the Legislative Assembly ( MLAs ) — was formed in June 2011 to look into the use of pesticides and the possibility of a ban.

In a report tabled today in the legislature, the committee made a series of recommendations on the sale and use of pesticides.

These included calls for a **BAN ON COMMERCIAL-CLASS PESTICIDES FOR UNCERTIFIED USERS**, tougher rules around the sale of pesticides, and efforts to educate the public and encourage use of alternatives to pesticides.

Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Kathryn Seely **COERCED, INTIMIDATED, and TERRORIZED** British Columbians with **LIES** and **MIS-INFORMATION** concerning pest control products.

Opposition New Democrat Anti-Pesticide Environment Critic Rob Fleming, the committee's deputy chair, also said the outcome of pesticide review is disappointing.

New Democratic Party is among those **CONSPIRING TO IMPOSE PROHIBITION**, and operates as the **POLITICAL SHIELD** for Anti-Pesticide and Environmental-Terrorist Organizations.



# In the 9|11 Era of Anti-Pesticide Terrorism ...

Anti-Pesticide  
Lunatic Terrorists  
Want to DESTROY  
the Professional Lawn  
Care Industry



# NORAHG

We are living in the 9|11 Era of Anti Pesticide and Environmental Terrorism where at least O SUBVERSIVE ACT OF TERROR is Perpetrated EVERY SINGLE DAY by enviro lunatics.

We are living in the DARK AGE OF ANTI PESTICIDE TERRORISM where sound science is trumped by FA SCIENTISTS, JUNK SCIENCE and UNVERIFIABLE SECRET EVIDENCE through FABRICATION, INNUEND and INTERNET RUMOUR — scientific research PROVES that pest control products CAUSE NO HARM a can be USED SAFELY.

NORAHG is the National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green spa industry.

NORAHG morally represents the VAST SILENT MAJORITY of people associated with turf and ornamen plant maintenance who are OPPOSED to Anti Pesticide PROHIBITION and the CLOSURE of green spac under the RIDICULOUS PRETEXT of somehow « saving » the environment.

NORAHG is a NATIONAL NON PROFIT NON PARTISAN organization that does not accept money fr corporations or governments or trade associations, and represents NO VESTED INTERES WHATSOEVER.

NORAHG is dedicated to reporting the work of RESPECTED and HIGHLY RATED EXPERTS who promt ENVIRONMENTAL REALISM and PESTICIDE TRUTHS.

Anti Pesticide HUJE are enviro lunatics and lawn haters who particularly DESPISE the golf industry they are Hateful Underhanded Jokes as Environmentalists who have been WRONG FOR OVER 50 YEARS

There is NO RECOURSE but LITIGATION against Anti Pesticide HUJE.

Another RECOURSE is to SEEK the CANCELLATION of GOVERNMENT GRANTS and REVOCATION of t TAX EXEMPT STATUS of Anti Pesticide Organizations.

HUJE should Get OFF Our grASS, and they should Roast In Hell.

NORAHG manages the Library of Force Of Nature Reports and References, which is a VAST ARCHIVE REPORTS, MEDIA REFERENCES, AUDIO CLIPS, and VIDEOS on ALL Anti Pesticide Terrorist Acts Subversion.

The purpose of this ARCHIVE is to provide information that will lead to a SUCCESSFUL LITIGATIO AGAINST Anti-Pesticide Organizations.

All names, statements, activities, and affiliations have been ARCHIVED for the intention of event CRIMINAL CHARGES.

When CRIMINAL CHARGES for FRAUD and CONSPIRACY are laid, legal experts say that the ARCHIVE sufficient to lead to a SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION !

NORAHG also produces FORCE OF NATURE, reports that present THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM , INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE about environmental issues, including anti pesticide terrorism.

FORCE OF NATURE is a series of reports destined for the green space industry, the environmen terrorist movement, governments, and the media, nationwide across Canada, the United States, a overseas.

FORCE OF NATURE is committed to SOUND SCIENCE, as well as ground breaking original reporting t informs, entertains, and creates real change.

The Force Of Nature Series of Reports — Agriculture • ALBERTA Conspiracy • Bee Colony Collap Disorder • Bee Colony Collapse Disorder • Benefits of the Turfgrass Industry • Beyond Pesticid • Books That Screwed Up the World • BRITISH COLUMBIA Conspiracy • Canadian Cancer Soci • Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment • CARNAGE and Consequences of Luna Terrorist Prohibition • Controversial Prohibitions • Culprits of the Prohibition Conspiracy Daffodils, Toxic Pesticide Treated Flowers Soaked Formaldehyde • Dating Services for Enviro Maniz • David Suzuki Foundation • DDT and Our World of Politicized Science • Death and Illness (Allege • Departure Letters • Ecojustice Canada • Energy Sector • Environmental Terrorists UNMASK • Environmental Terrorist Organizations • Enviro PROFIT • Environmental Defence • FAILURE IPM, Pesticide Manufacturers, Prohibition, Trade Associations • Famous Quotations About Env Lunatics • Fertilizer TERROR • Food and Farming • Get Off Our grASS • Global Warming, T Scam of Our Lifetime • Glyphosate Herbicide • Golf Industry • Green Alternatives • Green Pai • Halloween Terror • Happy Holidays • Health Canada • Health Concerns with Pest Cont Products • Heroes Speaking Out Against Environmental Terror • History of Environmental Terror Canada • History of the Turfgrass Industry • Letters to the Editor • LIARS and Lying Sacks (Enviro Maniac) Cwap • Mock Advertisements • Mock Speeches • Myth BUSTING • NATION Prohibition • NEW BRUNSWICK Conspiracy • NO Prohibition Exception for AGRICULTURE Industry NO Prohibition Exception for GOLF Industry • NOVA SCOTIA Conspiracy • North America Free Tra Agreement (NAFTA) • ONTARIO Conspiracy, Haven For Environmental Terrorists • Ontario Colle of Family Physidans • Organic Golf Courses • Organic Maintenance • Organizations of t Prohibition Conspiracy • Pesticide Q & A (Questions and Answers) • Positive Waves (Interesting a

## The Whole Truth from an Independent Perspective

# NORAHG

Innovative Thinking) • PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND (PEI) Conspiracy • QUEBEC Prohibition Quotations About Enviro Lunatics & Maniacs • Rachel Carson, The Queen of Junk Science SASKATCHEWAN Conspiracy • Satire, Laughs & Insanity • Terror That NEVER Ends • TERRI Talk and Weasel Words • THE AVENGERS • The Environmental Factor • The FAILURES of Gre Alternatives, Organic Golf Courses, BROWN Golf Courses, Integrated Pest Management, Pesticide Fr Parks, and Pesticide Manufacturers • The Ontario Trillium Foundation • The Industry STRIKES B • The Wilhelm Scream • The Wisdom of REAL Experts • Tukey TERRORISM • TWIST Precautionary Principle • United States Enviro TERRORISM • UPDATES • VICTORIES Again Terrorists • Video, Audio, and Slide Shows • VIOLATING Federal Law • VIOLATING Prohibition WARNINGS • Weapons of Ultimate Environmental Terror • Wind Power (Bogus) • 2,4-D

The information presented in FORCE OF NATURE has been developed for the education a entertainment of the reader by providing a sequence of events WITH COMMENTARY, striving for accur in history, politics, and science.

FORCE OF NATURE is TOTALLY INDEPENDENT and provides NO guarantee regarding accuracy completeness. In no event shall FORCE OF NATURE be liable for any incidental or consequen damages, lost profits, or any indirect damages.

NORAHG also produces A LOOK AT, a series of reports providing TECHNICAL INFORMATION on issu such as Career Management, Golf Course Maintenance, Green Alternatives, Summer Stress, Turfgr Pests, and Turfgrass Species.

Finally, NORAHG frequently responds to anti pesticide activists in LETTERS TO THE EDITOR in newspap across Canada and around the world.

All information, excerpts, and pictures contained in FORCE OF NATURE, A LOOK AT, and LETTERS TO T EDITOR were retrieved from the Internet, and may be considered in the public domain.

FORCE OF NATURE, A LOOK AT, and their various incarnations, was the brainchild of William Gathercole and his colleagues in 1991. Mr. Gathercole is now retired, although his name continues appear as founder.

Here is a brief summary of Mr. Gathercole's career —

Fields of study — Horticulture/Agriculture, Mathematics, Physics

Alma mater — McGill University • University of Guelph • the first person ever to obtain univers degrees and contribute to both the professional lawn care and golf maintenance industries

Expertise in — environmental issues and anti pesticide terrorism • turf and ornamental maintenanc and troubleshooting • history of the industry • sales and distribution of seeds, chemicals, fertiliz and equipment • fertilizer manufacturing and distribution

Notable activities — worked in virtually all aspects of the green space industry, including g professional lawn care, distribution, environmental compliance, government negotiations, public affai and workplace safety • supervisor, consultant, and, programmer for the successful execution hundreds of thousands of management operations in the golf and urban landscape, as well as millions pest control applications • advisor, instructor, and trainer for thousands of turf and ornamen managers and technicians • pesticide certification instructor for thousands of industry workers founder of the modern professional lawn care industry • prolific writer for industry publications and newsletters • first to confirm the invasion of European Chafer insect in both the Montreal region a the Vancouver / Fraser Valley region • with Dr. Peter Dermoeden, confirmed the presence of Take Patch as a disease of turf in Eastern Canada • with Dr. David Shetlar, confirmed the presence Kentucky Bluegrass Scale as an insect pest in South Western Ontario, and later, in the Montreal a Vancouver regions

Special contributions — creator of the exception status that has allowed the golf industry to avoid bei subjected to anti pesticide prohibition • creator of the signs that are now used for posting af application • co-founder of annual winter convention for Quebec golf course superintendents • t major influence in the decision by Canadian Cancer Society to stop selling for profit pesticide treat daffodils • the only true reliable witness of the events of anti pesticide prohibition in the town Hudson, Quebec • retired founder of FORCE OF NATURE and A LOOK AT reports

Notable award — the very first man of the year for contributions leading to the successful founding Quebec professional lawn care industry, which served as a beach-head against anti pesticide activists the 1980s and 1990s

Legacy — Mr. Gathercole and his colleagues ... designed and implemented strategies that reined a pesticide activists to provide peace and prosperity for the entire modern green space industry acr Canada • orchestrated legal action against anti pesticide activists in the town of Hudson, Quebec launched the largest founding professional lawn care business in Canada • quadrupled the busine revenues of one of the largest suppliers in Canada

Mr. Gathercole is now retired, although his name continues to appear as founder of FORCE OF NATU and A LOOK AT reports.

## The Whole Truth from an Independent Perspective





*Thank you,  
British Columbia*