SEPTEMBER 8th, 2011

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

RESPONSE TO EVIE ESHPETER (RODWAY)

BEAUMONT NEWS

BEAUMONT, ALBERTA

Arbitrary Demand

Evie Eshpeter operates as a PAID ANTI-PESTICIDE LOBBYIST with Canadian-Cancer-Society.

Eshpeter ALLEGES that there are so-called DANGERS with the use of pest control products that she ARBITRARILY deems as « *non-essential* » and « *potentially lethal* ».

Incredibly, Eshpeter is ARBITRARILY DEMANDING PROHIBITION of pest control products that are, in fact, HEALTH-CANADA-APPROVED, FEDERALLY-LEGAL, SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE, and PRACTICALLY-NON-TOXIC.

Eshpeter also ALLEGES that leading health authorities are asking municipalities throughout the Province of Alberta to adopt policies PROHIBITING the use of pest control products in the Urban Landscape.

Which authorities ?!?!

Which EXPERTS are DEMANDING PROHIBITION ?!?!

No Experts

Eshpeter is NO CREDIBLE expert on pest control products, and neither are any of her so-called health authorities.

The problem with Eshpeter reflects the overall larger problem with ALL Anti–Pesticide Activists.

There are NO Anti-Pesticide Activists who are scientists or researchers with credentials in the field of pest control products.

NONE! — They are NOT COMPETENT to talk about pest control products.

Moreover, Canadian–Cancer–Society is a FUND–RAISING organization and NOT a scientific or research organization.

The TRUE Leading Authorities

The leading scientific and regulatory agencies have publicly stated, in one form or another, that pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE —

- American Chemical Society
- American Council for Science and Health
- American Cancer Society
- CropLife Canada
- Health Canada
- The Fraser Institute
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- World Health Organization

The Fraser Institute

The Fraser Institute is the HIGHLY-RATED independent non-profit research and educational organization (THINK-TANK) based in Canada that espouses free market principles.

According to a 2011 report from The Fraser Institute ...

- PROHIBITIONS on the use of pest control product are ILL-CONCEIVED and DO NOT accurately reflect the current state of scientific knowledge.
- Also according to The Fraser Institute, HASTY PROHIBITIONS of pest control products SHOULD NOT BE IMPLEMENTED until the underlying science is conclusive and until a comprehensive analysis of the potential side–effects resulting from the PROHIBITION has been undertaken.

Enviro-Poll

It is not surprising that Eshpeter reports that her organization's ENVIRO-POLL indicates that many residents support measures to PROHIBIT pest control products.

A poll BOUGHT and PAID FOR by Canadian–Cancer–Society CANNOT possibly be considered as legitimate since this organization has INCESSANTLY CONSPIRED to PROHIBIT pest control products.

A MORE LEGITIMATE POLL, conducted by Canadian Consumer Specialty Products Association in British Columbia, indicated that ...

- The VAST MAJORITY of residents FAVOUR THE CONTINUED USE OF PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS around their homes and in public green spaces
- Residents are CONFIDENT they can SAFELY USE pest control products, and SUPPORT THE CONTINUED USE OF PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS in the Urban Landscape

There Is NO Growing Body of Evidence

Eshpeter further ALLEGES that there is a so-called GROWING BODY OF EVIDENCE supporting a link between pest control products and certain types of cancers.

Eshpeter is TOTALLY WRONG!

Pest control products cause NO HARM, including chronic effects such as CANCER.

Pest control products DO NOT CAUSE CANCER.

Only pest control products that DO NOT POSE AN UNACCEPTABLE RISK OF CANCER in humans are registered for use in Canada.

IRONICALLY ... both Daffodil Production for Canadian–Cancer–Society and the Professional Lawn Care Industry use the SAME ingredients that are needed to control insect and weed pests.

IRONICALLY ... both the Agriculture Industry and the Modern Professional Lawn Care Industry use the SAME ingredients that are needed to control insect and weed pests.

In fact, NO cause—and—effect relationship between pest control products and cancer has been established scientifically.

Consequently, Eshpeter invokes the so-called PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE.

Precautionary Principle Is DISCREDITED

Eshpeter's version of the Precautionary Principle has been DISCREDITED by TRUE EXPERTS like Dr. Keith Solomon.

Solomon is Professor at the Centre for Toxicology and Department of Environmental Biology, University of Guelph, and Director, Canadian Network of Toxicology Centres.

According to Solomon -

« Landscape and garden use of pest control products DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR CONSIDERATION under the precautionary principle.

They are not serious, they are selective to pests, have low toxicity to non-target organisms, and are well understood.

The effects of these pest control products are NOT IRREVERSIBLE.

There is rapid recovery through reinvasion and weed seeds and most need to be used at least once per year. »

Prohibition NOT Justified

Non-Expert Anti-Pesticide Activists like Eshpeter are THE LEAST QUALIFIED TO PROVIDE ANY ADVICE concerning pest control products.

Any PROHIBITION of pest control products is NOT JUSTIFIED because STRICT REGULATIONS BASED ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ALREADY PROTECT OUR HEALTH and OUR ENVIRONMENT.

For more information, please go to the Pesticide Truths web-site ...

It is a LIBRARY OF REPORTS that contains History, Links, News, Audios, and Videos with ALL Force Of Nature and Pesticide Truths reports, and information right-off-the-press, in Black & White Documents

http://pesticidetruths.com/

&

http://uncleadolph.blogspot.com/

QUICK DOWNLOAD of ALL Force Of Nature reports in Full Colour Documents

http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/

William H. Gathercole and Norah G

National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG)

NORAHG is an INDEPENDENT NON-PROFIT organization.