



# TERROR Talk

FORCE OF NATURE — THE WHOLE TRUTH FROM AN INDEPENDENT PERSPECTIVE from  
National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG)



# Anti-Pesticide TERROR Talk in Ontario

May 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012

Letter to Government Officials

Government of Ontario

Selected and Adapted Excerpts



Anti-Pesticide

# TERROR Talk

Ontario Conspiracy to Prohibit Pest Control Products

May 30th, 2012, Anti-Pesticide Organizations FRANTICALLY sent a letter to ALL Ontario Members of Provincial Parliament ( MPPs ) urging them to OPPOSE the Chudleigh Bill.

If these organizations want to be involved with SUBVERSIVE PARTISAN POLITICS and ANTI-PESTICIDE TERRORISM, then their CHARITY TAX-EXEMPT STATUSES MUST BE REVOKED.

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

May 30, 2012

Dear Member of Provincial Parliament,

Oppose Bill 88, Pesticides Amendment Act ( Licence for Cosmetic Purposes ), 2012

We ask you to vote against Bill 88, which would amend the Pesticides Act to reintroduce banned lawn and garden chemicals. Ontario's world-class [ ?!?! ] cosmetic pesticide ban, as it now stands, protects both human health and the environment [ ?!?! ].

We fully support maintaining a comprehensive ban on the sale and cosmetic use of pesticides.

Since the legislation came into effect in 2009 ...

- 1) Concentrations in urban streams of lawn chemicals such as 2,4-D, Dicamba and MCPP, were reduced by up to 97%. [ WRONG ! ]

[ On the issue of the Province of Ontario, the 2009 PROHIBITION of pest control products DEFINITELY DID NOT improve water quality in streams and rivers. For more than ten years, well BEFORE the 2009 PROHIBITION, there were CONSISTENTLY LOW LEVELS of pest control products in Ontario streams. ALMOST UNDETECTABLE LEVELS of pest control products were found in Ontario streams EVEN BEFORE the 2009 PROHIBITION. Both before and after the 2009 PROHIBITION, the presence of pest control products were measured in the PARTS PER TRILLION RANGE and WELL BELOW water quality guidelines. In fact, previous studies have shown that, for more than ten years, there have been CONSISTENTLY LOW LEVELS of pest control products in Ontario streams. The 2009 Ontario PROHIBITION did NOT protect the environment. ]

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

2) The third review of the health effects of pesticides, released in May of this year by Ontario College of Family Physicians, reconfirms that pesticides are harmful to reproductive and respiratory systems and neurodevelopmental behaviour. [ WRONG ! ]

[ Ontario College of Family Physicians is a DISCREDITED, RADICAL, and SCIENTIFICALLY IGNORANT Anti-Pesticide Organization. OCFP is a MERE TRADE ASSOCIATION, and it is NOT a science, research, or health organization. It is INDIRECTLY OPERATED as a PUBLIC INFORMATION SHIELD by Canadian Cancer Society and Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment. These organizations are presently UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CHARITY FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY. The OCFP Anti-Pesticide Literature Review was BOGUS, BIASED, DISGRACEFUL, FALSE, INARGUABLY DISCREDITED, MISLEADING, and SUBVERSIVE, and has ABSOLUTELY NO CREDIBILITY in the legitimate scientific community. It has been DISCREDITED, DEBUNKED, and REFUTED by Health Canada and by The Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution. OCFP DID NOT conduct a serious scientific study ... it conducted a mere Literature Review, and could only use those references found in the OPEN PUBLIC LITERATURE. What did OCFP use as its reference sources ? GOOGLE and WIKIPEDIA. ]

The landmark Supreme Court of Canada ruling on *Spraytech vs Hudson, Quebec* in 2001 upheld the concept of governments being able to apply the precautionary principle as « *trustees of the environment* ».

Across the country, Canadians living in communities with pesticide bans have healthy, chemical-free lawns and gardens that are as beautiful as ever. [ WRONG ! WRONG ! WRONG ! ]



## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Please feel free to contact any of the undersigned health and environmental organizations —

Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment [www.cape.ca](http://www.cape.ca)  
Farrah Khan [farrah@cape.ca](mailto:farrah@cape.ca) 416-306-2273

Canadian Cancer Society - Ontario Division [www.cancer.ca](http://www.cancer.ca)  
Joanne Di Nardo - [jdinardo@ontario.cancer.ca](mailto:jdinardo@ontario.cancer.ca) 416-323-7067

Canadian Environmental Law Association [www.cela.ca](http://www.cela.ca)  
Kathleen Cooper [kcooper@cela.ca](mailto:kcooper@cela.ca) 416-960-2284 ext. 221

David Suzuki Foundation [www.davidsuzuki.org](http://www.davidsuzuki.org)  
Lisa Gue [lgue@davidsuzuki.org](mailto:lgue@davidsuzuki.org) 613-594-5428

EcoJustice [www.ecojustice.ca](http://www.ecojustice.ca)  
Justin Duncan [jduncan@ecojustice.ca](mailto:jduncan@ecojustice.ca) 416-368-7533 ext. 522

Environmental Defence [www.environmentaldefence.ca](http://www.environmentaldefence.ca)  
Rick Smith [rsmith@environmentaldefence.ca](mailto:rsmith@environmentaldefence.ca) 416-323-9521 ext.225

Learning Disabilities Association of Canada [www.ldac-taac.ca/](http://www.ldac-taac.ca/)  
Barbara McElgunn [mcelgunnb@rogers.com](mailto:mcelgunnb@rogers.com) 416-281-9676

Ontario College of Family Physicians  
[www.ocfp.on.ca/English/OCFP/Communications/CurrentIssues/Pesticides/](http://www.ocfp.on.ca/English/OCFP/Communications/CurrentIssues/Pesticides/)  
Jan Kasperski [jk\\_ocfp@CFPC.CA](mailto:jk_ocfp@CFPC.CA) 416-867-9646 ext. 27

Lynn Marshall [lynn.marshall@utoronto.ca](mailto:lynn.marshall@utoronto.ca)  
Ontario Medical Association - Pediatrics Section

Dr. Hiro Yamashiro [pedsontario@gmail.com](mailto:pedsontario@gmail.com)

Pesticide Free Ontario [www.pesticidefree.ca](http://www.pesticidefree.ca) & [www.pesticidereform.ca](http://www.pesticidereform.ca)  
Susan Koswan [info@pesticidefree.ca](mailto:info@pesticidefree.ca) 519-578-8508

Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario [www.rnao.org](http://www.rnao.org)  
Kim Jarvi [kjarvi@rnao.org](mailto:kjarvi@rnao.org) 416-599-1925

Toronto Environmental Alliance [torontoenvironment.org](http://torontoenvironment.org)  
Heather Marshall, Toxics Campaigner [heather@torontoenvironment.org](mailto:heather@torontoenvironment.org) 416-596-0660

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Please feel free to quote any of the following —

Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment —

*Our doctors are [ mock- ] appalled at Bill 88's proposal to weaken the most health-protective pesticide legislation in North America.*

*Ontario's Cosmetic Pesticide Ban Act ( 2008 ) was based on a solid body of scientific data [ WRONG ! ] detailing the health impacts of pesticides, but today we know even more.*

*The latest science from the Ontario College of Family Physicians links pesticide exposure to low birth weight, behavioural problems, lowered IQ, and lung disease. [ WRONG ! ]*

*If any amount of spraying is permitted, it will risk the health of all Ontarians. [ WRONG ! ]*

[ Ontario College of Family Physicians ( OCFP ) and its Literature Review has been DIS-CREDITED, DEBUNKED, and REFUTED by Health Canada and by The Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution. OCFP DID NOT conduct a serious scientific study ... it conducted a mere Literature Review, and could only use those references found in the OPEN PUBLIC LITERATURE. What did OCFP use as its reference sources ? GOOGLE and WIK-IPEDIA. In other words, the OCFP Anti-Pesticide Literature Review was conducted with a standard that was NO BETTER THAN A GRADE SCHOOL PROJECT PREPARED BY A 10-YEAR-OLD STUDENT. ]



## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Canadian Cancer Society - Ontario Division —

*The Ontario Cosmetic Pesticide Ban Act is a significant way of engaging in the fight against cancer and we support it in its current form. [ WRONG ! ]*

*Because of the Act, parents no longer worry about their children being exposed to cosmetic pesticides while playing in backyards, parks and schoolyards. [ ?!?! ]*

*We need to remember that the body of evidence suggests a positive association between exposure to certain pesticides and cancer. [ WRONG ! ]*

*The Act has been successful. [ WRONG ! ]*

*Let us leave it as is.*

[ Canadian Cancer Society has STATED REPEATEDLY that scientific research does NOT provide a conclusive link between pest control products and cancer. Why is Canadian Cancer Society WASTING EVERYBODY'S TIME on the issue of pest control products ? Canadian Cancer Society is a FUND-RAISING, PROFIT-SEEKING, and LOBBYING organization, and NOT a science, research, or health organization. Health Canada, and NOT Canadian Cancer Society, has THE ESSENTIAL EXPERTISE on the subject of pest control products. Canadian Cancer Society is RIDICULOUSLY IMPLYING that its NON-EXPERT ASSESSMENT is somehow being withheld from Health Canada and EVERY other regulatory agency in the world. ]

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Canadian Environmental Law Association —

*Ontario's approach to banning the cosmetic use of pesticides is the culmination of over twenty years of effort that began with local pesticide by-laws that withstood multiple legal challenges up to and including the Supreme Court of Canada.*

*That Court noted approval of the concepts of governments as « trustees of the environment » and applying the PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE. [ WRONG ! ]*

*Given the multiple challenges we face of ongoing pollution emissions, and a wide range of toxic substances in our air, food, water and in multiple consumer products, we should take every opportunity to minimize or eliminate exposures to toxic substances that are unnecessary and easily eliminated.*

*The cosmetic use of pesticides falls squarely into this category and banning the use and sale of needless pesticides is an exemplary way to apply a PRECAUTIONARY APPROACH. [ WRONG ! ]*

[ The Precautionary Principle was intended for use where science DOES NOT EXIST. The Precautionary Principle is MIS-USED TO SERVE THE AMBITIONS of Environmental and Anti-Pesticide Activists. With the Precautionary Principle, activists DO NOT HAVE TO PRESENT ANY EVIDENCE ... they just have to ALLEGE SOMETHING IS SOMEHOW HARMFUL TO THE ENVIRONMENT. The ABSOLUTIST INTERPRETATION of the Precautionary Principle is used by activists TO JUSTIFY ANY KIND OF REGULATORY INTERVENTION OR BAN. ]

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

EcoJustice —

*Ontario is currently the vanguard in the battle against needless [ ?!?! ] pesticide use in North America.*

*Bill 88 would set Ontario back years in the protection of family health in the province. [ WRONG ! ]*

*Let's keep moving forward rather than backwards.*

*Bill 88 should be rejected.*

[ Ecojustice is an example of an Environmental-Terrorist-Organization that BENEFITED from the Ontario PESTICIDE BAN. It was a PRINCIPAL ARCHITECT with the McGuinty Government in CONSPIRING TO PROHIBIT pest control products used in the Urban Landscape. It would not be unexpected that a portion of the massive amount of funding given to Ecojustice would have helped build infra-structures that were used to change public policy and to impose life-style changes, such as the Ontario Cosmetic Pesticides Ban Act. Coincidentally, sizable amounts of money were provided to Ecojustice Canada during the period of time covering the public debates on the Toronto municipal PROHIBITION and the Ontario provincial PROHIBITION ( 2001-2008 ). Ecojustice is a FUND-RAISING, PROFIT-SEEKING, and LOBBYING organization, and NOT a science, research, or health organization. Health Canada, and NOT Ecojustice, has THE ESSENTIAL EXPERTISE on the subject of pest control products. Ecojustice is RIDICULOUSLY IMPLYING that its NON-EXPERT ASSESSMENT is somehow being withheld from Health Canada and EVERY other regulatory agency in the world. Pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE and CAUSE NO HARM. There are NO pest control products used in the Urban Landscape that are known or probable carcinogens. Pest control products are GOVERNMENT-APPROVED, FEDERALLY-LEGAL, SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE, PRACTICALLY-NON-TOXIC, and CAUSE NO HARM. ]



## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Learning Disabilities Association of Canada —

*The Learning Disabilities Association of Canada ( LDAC ) supports a phase out, or ban, of non-essential uses of pesticides, and for use of alternative methods. [ ?!?! ]*

*This policy is based on the fact that children are more vulnerable to pesticides for a number of reasons [ WRONG ! ], and that there are important toxicity data gaps regarding the safety of pesticides in developing systems, including neurological development [ WRONG ! ].*

[ Children Are NOT At Risk ! The safety assessments of pest control products by Pest Management Regulatory Agency of Health Canada ( PMRA ) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ( EPA ) DO take children into consideration. These assessments DO consider children's physiology, behaviours, and habits while playing on treated grass. Moreover, there are NO valid reports of pest control product problems with children. In fact, MEDICATION is the leading cause of poisoning in children. Anti-Pesticide Activists ALLEGE that children are somehow MORE vulnerable to pest control products. This is a MYTH ! Anti-Pesticide Activists are DESPICABLE in their use of CHILDREN as WEAPONS OF COERCION and ULTIMATE TERROR in order to promote Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION and Organic Food. PESTICIDE BANS that are imposed under the PRETEXT of protecting children's health is WRONG ! Pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE, CAUSE NO HARM, and are NO THREAT TO CHILDREN. In fact, WITH PESTICIDE BANS, children are actually AT HIGH RISK of TRIPPING and becoming SERIOUSLY HURT. Pest control products PREVENT slipping injuries on sports turf. PESTICIDE BANS create HAZARDOUS SLIPPING CONDITIONS in sports turf. ]

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Ontario College of Family Physicians —

*In 1999, 2004 and 2012, family physician researchers, associated with the Ontario College of Family Physicians and the six Ontario medical universities, have undertaken systematic reviews of the Pesticide literature on the impact of the environment on health.*

*Each review provided more and more evidence [ ?!?! ] to convince the family physicians of this province and across the country that a ban on the cosmetic use of pesticides was warranted. [ WRONG ! ]*

*The public trusts family doctors more than they trust those who lobby for the pesticide industry. [ ?!?! ]*

*MPPs should do the same. Strike down Bill 88.*

[ Ontario College of Family Physicians ( OCFP ) is a mere trade association, and NOT a science, research, or health organization. Among the authors and reviewers of the review, there were doctors who were found guilty of professional mis-conduct over sexual activities, doctors whose license was suspended, and doctors who deny having reviewed the document. There is a « *growing body of evidence* » that some the authors and reviewers of the Anti-Pesticide Literature Review were UNETHICAL and UNPROFESSIONAL. The Anti-Pesticide Literature Review is INARGUABLY DISCREDITED. OCFP was NOT even in « *The Information Loop* » on issues regarding pest control products, and did not avail itself to the EXTENSIVE TOXICOLOGY DATABASE that is available from Health Canada. ]

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Ontario Medical Association - Pediatrics Section —

*The Pediatricians of Ontario were an early supporter of the cosmetic pesticide ban when the battle was being waged city council by city council and I [ Hiro Yamashiro ] was present at the news conference on Earth Day when Premier McGuinty announced this historic ban. [ Big Deal ! ]*

*We would oppose any proposed changes to the current law, as it is important to maintain the safety of our most vulnerable citizens as the most important priority above all. [ !?! ]*

[ Children across North America, even in those jurisdictions with NO pesticide bans, are all protected since these products are applied at VERY LOW DOSES and represent NO THREAT TO CHILDREN. The SAFETY ASSESSMENT of pest control products takes into consideration CHILDREN's physiology, behaviours, and habits WHILE PLAYING ON TREATED GRASS. Moreover, there are NO valid reports of problems with children, and there is NOT ONE KNOWN ILLNESS or DEATH from the proper use of pest control products used in the Urban Landscape. In fact, MEDICATION is the leading cause of poisoning in children. Not surprisingly, Anti-Pesticide Activists like HIRO YAMASHIRO HAVE NEVER conducted ANY serious scientific study on pest control products ... evidently because they is NOT COMPETENT OR MOTIVATED to do so. Anti-Pesticide Activists COULD NOT EVEN BE BOTHERED to have used the EXTENSIVE TOXICOLOGY DATABASE that is available from both the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Health Canada. Anti-Pesticide Activists prefer to attempt PROFITING from Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION, at the expense of the Professional Lawn Care Industry. And they are not only SCIENTIFICALLY IGNORANT, but also LAZY and OBTUSE. ]



## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Pesticide Free Ontario —

*Pesticides are a threat to human health and the environment. [ WRONG ! ]*

*Bill 88 is both regressive and harmful. [ WRONG ! ]*

*People throughout Ontario worked tirelessly for decades to ban the sale and use of cosmetic pesticides.*

*At the time the Cosmetic Pesticides Ban Act was passed, the POLLS showed that more than 70 per cent of Ontarians supported such a measure. [ WRONG ! ]*

*The success of Hudson, Quebec's twenty-year old bylaw and the City of Waterloo's decades old Integrated Plant Health Care Programme is concrete evidence that pesticides are not needed to maintain beautiful and healthy lawns and gardens. [ WRONG ! ]*

[ Pesticide Free Ontario operates as a PUBLIC POLICY SHIELD for Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment, Canadian Environmental Law Association, Sierra Club of Canada, Toronto Environmental Alliance, and World Wildlife Fund of Canada. In a recent Ontario poll, MORE THAN HALF of Ontario residents believe that the provincial government DID NOT DO THE RIGHT THING by implementing Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION. Ontario residents WOULD RATHER HAVE THE BAN SCRAPPED OR MODIFIED. Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION DESTROYED public and residential green spaces in Ontario by turning them into GARBAGE DUMPS. Pesticide-Free Green Spaces are OVER-RUN WITH WEEDS, INFESTED WITH INSECTS, and DISMALLY DESTROYED — they look like GARBAGE DUMPS. ]

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario —

*New legislation to ban the cosmetic use of pesticides is reducing exposure to pesticides and improving health.*  
[ WRONG ! ]

*It works.* [ WRONG ! ]

*That's why the Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario urges all parties to vote yes to health and no to Bill 88.*

[ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario ( RNAO ) was a PRINCIPAL ARCHITECT with the McGuinty Government in CONSPIRING TO PROHIBIT pest control products used in the Urban Landscape. These products are, in fact, HEALTH-CANADA-APPROVED, FEDERALLY-LEGAL, SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE, PRACTICALLY-NON-TOXIC, and CAUSE NO HARM. NOT ONE PERSON within RNAO had/has ANY recognized expertise, training or background in matters concerning pest control products. NOT ONE PERSON within RNAO was competent to give advice on this subject. And yet, Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario VERY AGGRESSIVELY SUPPORTED the McGuinty Government in this NEEDLESS, SENSELESS, and MALICIOUS PROHIBITION. Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION DESTROYED the Modern Professional Lawn Care Industry. The Ontario Professional Lawn Care Industry LOST OVER 500,000,000 DOLLARS, with UP TO 12,500 UNEMPLOYED. The Ontario PESTICIDE BAN DOES NOT WORK ... and is a FARCE. Residents are obtaining products either from other provinces, or mostly from across the border in the United States. It is NOT illegal to own or possess these products, only to apply them. The mis-use of products remains — thanks to PROHIBITION. No jurisdiction has EVER enforced PROHIBITION by going after residents. ]

## Anti-Pesticide

# TERRORtalk

## FRANTIC Letter Sent to Ontario Government Officials

Toronto Environmental Alliance —

*The Toronto Environmental Alliance opposes Bill 88,s proposed changes to Ontario's ban on the cosmetic use of pesticides.*

*TEA was actively involved in the Toronto by-law to restrict the use of pesticides, which was passed almost a decade ago.*

*We have even more proof now than we did back then to show the harmful impacts of toxic substances to human health and the environment. [ WRONG ! ]*

*Many companies are providing ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY NON-TOXIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICES to Ontarians and we applaud them for their green business leadership. [ ?!?! ]*

*Not only are they protecting the natural environment and keeping their employees safe, they are protecting customers ( and their neighbours ) from harmful toxins. [ ?!?! ]*

*It makes no sense to weaken the pesticide ban after such meaningful progress has been made to protect our health and the environment.*

[ Green Alternative Pesticides ( a.k.a. Environmentally-Friendly ) are BOGUS and DISMAL FAILURES — they DO NOT WORK. In many cases, Green Alternative Pesticides are questionably HIGHER IN TOXICITY, and pose HIGHER ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS. Overall, Green Alternative Pesticides are INEFFECTIVE, INADEQUATE, HIGH-RISK, MORE TOXIC, and STUNNINGLY EXPENSIVE ! ]





# STRIKE BACK

## Against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists

### GET RID OF THE ONTARIO PESTICIDE BAN !

Everyone in the Green Space Industry — no matter where they live — must meet with their Member of Provincial Parliament ( MPP ), regardless of party affiliation.

In order to meet and inform the MPP, you must donate the maximum amount to the party, which is 1,240 dollars in Ontario.

The cost of this donation is insignificant when compared to the catastrophic business losses inflicted by anti-pesticide prohibition.

Any business owner in the Green Space Industry who does not bother to meet with the local MPP is a pitiful fool and an idiot, and does not deserve a career, or a business, or any success.

COMPLAIN, COMPLAIN, COMPLAIN.

Anti-Pesticide Terrorists are NOT CREDIBLE SOURCES OF INFORMATION upon which political decisions are made — they LIE and LIE and LIE.

There is NO RECOURSE but OFFICIAL COMPLAINTS as well as LITIGATION against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists.

They DO NOT DESERVE ANY DONATIONS OR SUPPORT.



# STRIKE BACK

## Against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists

**COMPLAIN, COMPLAIN, COMPLAIN**

They DO NOT DESERVE ANY GOVERNMENT GRANTS.

Nor do they deserve TAX-EXEMPT STATUSES.

They DO deserve to FACE THE SPOTLIGHT OF SCRUTINY and THE TERROR OF THE COURTS !

Anti-Pesticide Terrorists in organizations like Canadian Cancer Society are presently UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR CHARITY FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY.

The Government of Canada DOES NOT want its society to continue living in the 9|11 Era of Anti-Pesticide Enviro-Lunatic Profit-Hungry Terrorism !

And neither should anyone in the Green Space Industry.

All names, statements, activities, and affiliations have been ARCHIVED for anyone wishing to lodge an OFFICIAL COMPLAINT or lay CRIMINAL CHARGES against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists.

On The Pesticide Truths Web-Site, NORAHG manages a VAST ARCHIVE of REPORTS, MEDIA REFERENCES, AUDIO CLIPS, and VIDEOS on ALL Anti-Pesticide Terrorists.



# **STRIKE BACK**

## **Against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists**

**SUE THE BASTERDS' BRAINS OUT !**

We know who they are, and where they are hiding, and what they have said and done.

When **CRIMINAL CHARGES FOR FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY ARE LAID**, legal experts say that the **NORAHG ARCHIVE** is sufficient to lead to a **SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION** against Anti-Pesticide Terrorists !

Business owners in the Green Space Industry should talk to their lawyers, members of the legislative assembly, and local media outlets.

Thanks to The Pesticide Truths Web-Site, they have all the **DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE** that they need.

**LET THESE TERRORISTS FACE THE SPOTLIGHT OF SCRUTINY and THE TERROR OF THE COURTS !**

Anti-Pesticide Terrorists are **GOVERNMENT-FINANCED** with **TAX-FREE MONEY** — they must not be allowed this money to defend themselves in court.

Demand a **REVOCATION** of their organizations' **REGISTERED CHARITY TAX-EXEMPT STATUS !**

**SUE THE BASTERDS' BRAINS OUT !**





**Pest control products  
are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE  
and prohibitions are now  
IRREFUTABLY INVALIDATED**

**Lunatic Anti-Pesticide Basterds ...  
Get Off Our grASS**



