

advertisement | your ad here

Will you be helping your child go to college?
(check the corresponding box)



Of course, it's my duty.
 No, let her figure it out.

CITY INSIDER

The people, politics & places of San Francisco

« Ed Lee seeks to preserve affordable housing without redevelopment | Main | Supervisors reject roadblock to SFMOMA expansion »

Mayor Ed Lee's Sharp Park veto stands

+1 0 Tweet 8 Share 2

The Board of Supervisors today could not muster enough votes to override Mayor Ed Lee's veto of legislation that would have compelled the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to transfer management of Sharp Park to the federal government, if an agreement could be brokered.



The override vote was 6-5 – the same vote it passed by last month – and fell two short of the minimum needed to buck the mayor. Supervisor **Christina Olague**, whom Lee appointed to a vacant seat on the board Monday, voted in favor of the override. Her position in supporting the transfer mirror's that of Ross Mirkarimi, the supervisor she replaced.

Joining her were Supervisors John Avalos, David Campos, David Chiu, Jane Kim and Eric Mar. Opposed were Supervisors Carmen Chu, Malia Cohen, Sean Elsbernd, Mark Farrell and Scott

Wiener.

The parkland property – owned by San Francisco – is located on the Pacifica coast and used for a public golf course. The goal of the legislation is to restore the land to its natural habitat for inclusion in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area that's under National Park Service jurisdiction.

Environmentalists have been pushing for the change. The land is home to the endangered San Francisco garter snake and the threatened California red-legged frog and also have taken their fight to court.

"The real thrust of this ordinance is to really protect endangered species in the Pacifica area," Avalos said before the vote. He also voiced concern that operation of the golf course is draining rec and park funding that could be better used within the city.

Meanwhile, San Francisco park officials are trying to work out a deal to turn management of the land over to San Mateo County, which would retain the golf course. Details are being negotiated and are expected to be shored up by month's end. One of the sticking points: Who would pay for implementation of a plan to reconfigure the greens to protect the most vulnerable habitat and restore some wetlands?

Like Be the first of your friends to like this.

Posted By: Rachel Gordon (Email , Twitter) | Jan 10 at 5:33 pm

Listed Under: Uncategorized | Permalink | Comments & Replies (13) : Post Comment

SHARE | Email

« Ed Lee seeks to preserve affordable housing without redevelopment | Main | Supervisors reject roadblock to SFMOMA expansion »

City Insider

Contact

Follow on Twitter, Facebook



Welcome to the blog from the reporters and editors who tirelessly cover the issues of San Francisco. By Audrey Cooper, John Coté, Kristen Go, Rachel Gordon, Heather Knight, Marisa Lagos, Meredith May, C.W. Nevius, Jill Tucker, Read Bios

advertisement | your ad here

RECENT ENTRIES

- SF dog-walker regulations get initial OK
- Supervisors reject roadblock to SFMOMA expansion
- Mayor Ed Lee's Sharp Park veto stands
- Ed Lee seeks to preserve affordable housing without redevelopment
- Mayor Ed Lee, LGBT caucus move to restore \$1.8 million for AIDS treatment
- Arts Commission tries for fresh start in 2012
- Business, labor groups determined to keep 49ers in S.F.
- Christina Olague: Ed Lee's pick for District 5 supervisor
- Future of Mid-Market police station in limbo
- Local hiring will play role in America's Cup

Today's Deal in South Bay

\$20 for \$40 at Jest Jewels Bay Area Stores

SALE ENDS IN 4 days SAVE **50%** buy now!

Get South Bay Daily Deal alerts.

Please enter your email here. **email me**

sfgatedailydeals.com

Comments (13)

Add Your Comment

Sort comments by: **Newest to Oldest**



WHGANDNORAHG 2:11 AM on January 11, 2012

NORAHG Responds to the SIEGE OF ENVIRONMENTAL TERROR Against Sharp Park Golf Course, San Francisco, California.

MEDIA RELEASE

The Mayor of San Francisco, Hon. Ed Lee, must be CONGRATULATED for SAVING Sharp Park Golf Course on December 19th, 2011.

The Five San Francisco Supervisors (i.e. City Councillors) who supported the Mayor must also be CONGRATULATED.

And the Mayor and Supervisors of San Mateo County have also EARNED OUR THANKS.

This golf facility has been under a SIEGE OF ENVIRONMENTAL TERROR under the PRETEXT of somehow protecting obscure and insignificant species.

In fact, Sharp Park Golf Course is NOT harming ANY animal species.

Not surprisingly, Enviro-Lunatics DESPISE the Game of Golf.

Anti-Golf Organizations have TERRORIZED the City of San Francisco for years over the future of Sharp Park Golf Course.

For more information, please go to the following links ...

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/golf-sharp-park-golf-course-usa/>

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/u-s-environmental-terrorism/>

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/the-looming-golf-industry-shipwreck/>

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/golf-go-brown-anti-cosmetic-golf-maintenance/>

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/victories-against-terrorists/>

WILLIAM H. GATHERCOLE AND NORAH G

NORAHG is the National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green space industry.

<http://pesticidetruths.com/>

REPLY (0) POPULARITY: 0 [Report Abuse]

Delete



chris_thorne 11:24 PM on January 10, 2012

"Golf courses use an obscene amount of water, pesticides, herbicides and chemical fertilizers."

Absolutely correct.

And the water that golf courses use is FRESH water.

That's why the threatened red-legged frog and the threatened SF garter snake are on the golf course in question. Because they thrive in freshwater environments.

Return that golf course to its primeval state of being a brackish saltwater lagoon, and kiss the frogs and snakes goodbye forever. It's not that they won't live in a salt environment. It's that they can't live in such an environment. They'll die off. Marine species will move in to replace them.

This is one of those rare cases where playing God and playing golf actually line up neatly with one another.

REPLY (3) POPULARITY: 1 [Report Abuse]



ambiguous 10:48 PM on January 10, 2012

Golf courses use an obscene amount of water, pesticides, herbicides and chemical fertilizers. Add to that the maintenance it requires, golf courses do not generally co-exist with nature. It is a statement about our conquest over nature.

REPLY (1) POPULARITY: -3 [Report Abuse]



A_Good_Citizen 10:18 PM on January 10, 2012

CATEGORIES

- America's Cup (18)
- Art (48)
- Being green (108)
- Board of Supervisors (545)
- Budget crisis (215)
- Campaign finances (37)
- City Attorney Dennis Herrera (69)
- City icons (53)
- Crime (134)
- DA George Gascón (23)
- DA Kamala Harris (20)
- Development (106)
- education (11)
- Elections (107)
- Film (14)
- Health programs (107)
- Homeless issues (42)
- Housing (47)
- If you build it, they will come (140)
- Immigration (11)
- In the classroom (100)
- Landmarks (29)
- Libraries (46)
- Living here (450)
- Mayor Ed Lee (164)
- Mayor Gavin Newsom (411)
- Movers and shakers (141)
- November campaigns (231)
- Port of San Francisco (10)
- Presidio (9)
- Protest of the day (39)
- Race for Room 200 (105)
- Ranking SF (36)
- Rec and Parks (155)
- Sanctuary city (24)
- See you in court (96)
- SF Zoo (18)
- The Arts (5)
- The Road to Sacramento (25)
- Transit, traffic and just getting around (406)
- Treasure Island (3)
- Uncategorized (152)

ARCHIVE

Select Month

advertisement | your ad here

It's time.
Lend a helping hand.
Donate now.

Learn how you can help.

Click here

25 CHRONICLE SEASON OF SHARING FUND
 San Francisco Chronicle | SFGate.com

Company Info: [Contact Us](#) | [Hearst Corp.](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms and Conditions](#) | [Work for Us](#) | [Chronicle in Education](#) | [Events & Promotions](#) | [Submissions](#)

© 2011 Hearst Communications Inc.

HEARST *newspapers*