

SEPTEMBER 19th, 2011

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

RESPONSE TO K. JEAN COTTAM

THE DAILY GLEANER

FREDERICTON, NEW BRUNSWICK

Organic Food is BOGUS

Once upon a time ...

... Anti-Pesticide Activists, like the Ghost-Writers at K. Jean Cottam, CONCOCTED THE THEORY that eventually it should be possible to PROHIBIT THE USE OF PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS in the Agriculture Industry.

... these Non-Expert Activists have also ALLEGED that organic pesticide-free food was somehow a safer alternative to conventional food.

On July 29th, 2009, a review from Food Standards Agency confirmed that this ALLEGATION about Organic Food is BOGUS.

The focus of the review was the NUTRITIONAL CONTENT OF FOOD-STUFFS.

This review was PEER-REVIEWED and PUBLISHED by the American Journal of Clinical Nutrition.

The review CONFIRMED that there were NO IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES IN THE NUTRITION CONTENT, or ANY ADDITIONAL HEALTH BENEFITS, OF ORGANIC FOOD WHEN COMPARED WITH CONVENTIONALLY-PRODUCED FOOD.

The review, which took the form of a « *systematic review of literature* », was carried out by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM), and was commissioned by the Food Standards Agency.

LSHTM's team of researchers, led by Dr. Alan Dangour, reviewed ALL PAPERS PUBLISHED OVER THE PAST FIFTY YEARS that related to the NUTRIENT CONTENT and HEALTH DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ORGANIC AND CONVENTIONAL FOOD.

This systematic review was THE MOST COMPREHENSIVE STUDY in this area that has been carried out to date.

Food Standards Agency commissioned this research as part of its commitment to giving consumers accurate information about their food, based on the most up-to-date science.

This research was split into two separate parts, one of which looked at differences in nutrient levels and their significance, while the other looked at the health benefits of eating organic food.

According to Dr. Alan Dangour, of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine's Nutrition and Public Health Intervention Research Unit, and the principal author of the review —

« A small number of differences in nutrient content were found to exist between organically and conventionally produced crops and livestock, but these are UNLIKELY TO BE OF ANY PUBLIC HEALTH RELEVANCE.

Our review indicates that there is currently NO EVIDENCE to support the selection of organically over conventionally produced foods on the basis of nutritional superiority. »

According to Gill Fine, Director of Consumer Choice and Dietary Health at Food Standards Agency —

« Ensuring people have accurate information is absolutely essential in allowing us all to make INFORMED CHOICES about the food we eat.

This study does not mean that people should not eat organic food.

What it shows is that THERE IS LITTLE, IF ANY, NUTRITIONAL DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ORGANIC AND CONVENTIONALLY PRODUCED FOOD, and THAT THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF ADDITIONAL HEALTH BENEFITS FROM EATING ORGANIC FOOD.

The Agency supports consumer choice and is neither pro nor anti organic food.

We recognise that there are many reasons why people choose to eat organic, such as animal welfare or environmental concerns.

The Agency will continue to give consumers accurate information about their food based on the best available scientific evidence. »

ALLEGATIONS by Anti-Pesticide Activists that organic food will someday replace conventional food are LUDICROUS.

Even MORE LUDICROUS is the expectation that pest control products will someday and somehow no longer be necessary for agriculture.

Unfortunately, once Anti-Pesticide Activists have COMPLETED their DESTRUCTION of the Professional Lawn Care Industry, they will TERRORIZE and DESTROY the Agriculture Industry NEXT !

The DESTRUCTION of these industries is, or will be, the result of the CARNAGE caused by the PROHIBITION of conventional pest control products.

Prohibition NOT Justified

Any PROHIBITION of pest control products is NOT JUSTIFIED because STRICT REGULATIONS BASED ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ALREADY PROTECT OUR HEALTH and OUR ENVIRONMENT.

Incredibly, some jurisdictions ARBITRARILY PROHIBIT pest control products that are, in fact, ...

- Health–Canada–Approved,
- Federally–Legal,
- Scientifically–Safe, and
- Practically–Non–Toxic.

Scientifically Safe According to Leading Authorities

There are LEADING AUTHORITIES that SUPPORT or VALIDATE the concept that pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY–SAFE.

These authorities are educational, regulatory, research, scientific, and trade agencies that are considered as many or all or the following ...

- Experts
- Highly–Rated
- Independent
- Leaders
- Non–Profit
- Respected
- World–Renowned

They have publicly stated that, or have validated the concept that, in one form or another, pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY–SAFE.

These agencies are NOT unduly influenced by any stake–holder in those industries manufacturing and using pest control products.

The following educational, regulatory, research, scientific, and trade agencies have SUPPORTED or VALIDATED the concept that pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY–SAFE —

- American Chemical Society
- American Council for Science and Health
- American Cancer Society
- CropLife Canada
- Health Canada
- International Agency for Research on Cancer
- The Fraser Institute
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- World Health Organization

For more information about pest control products, please go to THE LIBRARY OF REPORTS ...

THE LIBRARY OF REPORTS contains Library, History, Links, News, Audios, and Videos with ALL Force Of Nature and Pesticide Truths reports, and information right-off-the-press, in Black & White Documents

<http://pesticidetruths.com/>

&

<http://uncleadolph.blogspot.com/>

QUICK DOWNLOAD of ALL Force Of Nature reports in Full Colour Documents

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/>

William H. Gathercole and Norah G

National Organization Responding Against Huje that TERRORIZE, HARM, and THREATEN the Green Space Industry (NORAHG)

National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG) is a NATIONAL NON-PROFIT NON-PARTISAN organization representing RESPECTED EXPERTS who promote PESTICIDE-REALISM — scientific research PROVES that pest control products cause NO HARM and can be USED SAFELY.

P.S.

COTTAM is actually a designation for a group of Anti-Pesticide Ghost-Writers.

The Cottam name is also used in order to give an unsuspecting public the FALSE and FRAUDULENT impression that the Anti-Pesticide Movement has considerable size and power, when in fact, it does not. Cottam Ghost-Writers remain ANONYMOUS, fearing IMMINENT LEGAL REPRISALS FOR FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY against the Professional Lawn Care Industry.