

NORAHG

OCTOBER 26th, 2011

LETTER TO THE EDITOR — MEDIA RELEASE

RESPONSE TO ACTIVISTS LIKE SELINA ROBINSON

COQUITLAM NOW, THE TRI-CITY NEWS, & VANCOUVER SUN

COQUITLAM, BRITISH COLUMBIA

On October 24th, 2011, the Council of the City of Coquitlam voted on the first draft of PROHIBITION against pest control products in the Urban Landscape.

The issues of exceptions and by-law fines proved to be sticking points that prevented the draft by-law from moving even faster towards implementation.

This is a CLEAR REVERSAL of the Council's decision in 2009.

NO PROHIBITION in 2009

In a tie vote held on May 31st, 2009, the PROHIBITION proposed by Councillor Selina Robinson was DEFEATED in the City of Coquitlam.

Mayor Richard Stewart told the media he believed the PROVINCIAL LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT must engage the issue.

Councillor Brent Asmundson added that, either way, THE CITY DOES NOT HAVE THE RESOURCES to properly investigate the issue.

Additionally, Asmundson commented —

« They are bans that cannot be enforced — the cities can't go out and write tickets for people that buy pesticides they can purchase legally. »

In the end, the Mayor and Council simply wanted to put an end of the INTERMINABLE SHRIEKS and RANTS from the Anti-Pesticide Robinson.

NORAHG

Anti-Pesticide Councillor

In 2009, Anti-Pesticide Councillor Selina Robinson expressed MOCK-DISMAY concerning the DEFEAT of her proposed PROHIBITION By-Law —

« It got defeated — I was completely shocked. »

Robinson operated with several Anti-Pesticide Organizations, including — Canadian-Cancer-Society, Toxic-Free-Canada, West-Coast-Environmental-Law, and a little club called Coquitlam Pesticide Awareness Coalition.

She was HANDSOMELY REMUNERATED by these organizations for her Anti-Pesticide Activities.

Robinson tried to INACCURATELY and INAPPROPRIATELY DRAW PARALLELS between the use of pest control products in the Urban Landscape, versus issues surrounding DDT Insecticide and Thalidomide.

Robinson also USED CHILDREN AS WEAPONS OF COERCION and ULTIMATE ENVIRONMENTAL-TERROR against pest control products —

« Why would we even consider putting our CHILDREN AT RISK when there are safer alternatives. ».

In fact, pest control products are applied at VERY LOW DOSES, and DO NOT PRESENT A CONCERN to people ... even to CHILDREN.

Moreover, Robinson DISTORTED THE TRUTH by claiming ...

« We're not going to let our gardens go to hell in a hand-basket. There are LOTS OF ALTERNATIVES. »

In fact, there are NO alternatives — Green Alternatives are NOT viable, NOT efficacious, NOT economical ... and most are NOT even legal.

Furthermore, Robinson is trained as a THERAPIST with NO recognized background or expertise in matters concerning pest control products.

Robinson's opinions are TOTALLY VALUELESS and UTTERLY WORTHLESS.

NORAHG

Prohibition NOT Necessary

Any PROHIBITION of pest control products is NOT JUSTIFIED in Coquitlam because STRICT REGULATIONS BASED ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ALREADY PROTECT OUR HEALTH and OUR ENVIRONMENT.

Clearly, PROHIBITION in Coquitlam is 100 per cent Politically-Based and 0 per cent Science-Based.

The MAJOR SOURCE of exposure to pest control products is from FOOD SUPPLY, and NOT the Urban Landscape.

Jurisdictions like the City of Coquitlam are ARBITRARILY planning to PROHIBIT pest control products.

In fact, pest control products are ...

- Health-Canada-Approved
 - Federally-Legal
 - Safe For Children *
 - Scientifically-Safe
 - Practically-Non-Toxic
 - Good for the Environment
- * Except when stored improperly in the home.

Furthermore, pest control products ...

- Cause No Harm
- Do Not Cause Cancer
- Do Not Run-Off Into Lakes and Streams
- Enhance the Urban Landscape

NORAHG

In other jurisdictions PROHIBITION has led to CARNAGE.

In jurisdictions like Ontario, PROHIBITION led to the DEATH of an elderly man who was forced to hand-pull TOXIC NOXIOUS WEEDS.

In jurisdictions where PROHIBITION has been IMLEMENTED, the Professional Lawn Care Industry has SUFFERED IMMENSES LOSSES, in the HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS.

Moreover, because of PROHIBITION, green spaces are OVER-RUN WITH WEEDS, INFESTED WITH INSECTS, and DISMALLY DESTROYED — they look like GARBAGE DUMPS.

PROHIBITION is NOT necessary because, overall, when pest control products are used properly, there are NO harmful irreversible effects to health and the environment !

Quebec Could NOT Validate PROHIBITION

In 2004, Quebec became the first province to ARBITRARILY IMPOSE the PROHIBITION of pest control products like 2,4-D.

Pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY SAFE and prohibitions are now IRREFUTABLY INVALIDATED.

On May 25th, 2011, Dow AgroSciences, the manufacturer of the pest control product 2,4-D, SETTLED THE NAFTA CHALLENGE CASE with the Canadian government.

The North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA, is an agreement signed by the governments of Canada, Mexico, and the United States, creating a tri-lateral trade block in North America.

2,4-D has been used for the control of broad-leaved weeds in the Urban Landscape SINCE 1946.

2,4-D has a 65-YEAR UNBLEMISHED SAFETY RECORD regarding long-term risk to health.

NORAHG

As part of the agreement, a VICTORY for the company, the GOVERNMENT OF QUEBEC was HUMILIATED into making a STATEMENT saying that ...

« 2,4-D DOES NOT POSE AN UNACCEPTABLE RISK to human health or the environment. »

Quebec was the first province to declare weed control products containing 2,4-D as POSSIBLY carcinogenic, which has now been DEEMED AS FALSE.

On that basis, municipalities in Quebec instituted NEEDLESS, SENSELESS, and MALICIOUS PROHIBITIONS of 2,4-D use as a lawn pest control product.

Other jurisdictions outside of Quebec also CONSPIRED to IMPOSE the Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITIONS of 2,4-D under the PRETEXT that it was a so-called carcinogen.

In fact, ABSOLUTELY NO regulatory body in the world classifies 2,4-D as a human carcinogen — 2,4-D is probably the MOST STUDIED and BEST UNDERSTOOD of ANY chemical — not just pesticide — in existence.

2,4-D DOES NOT POSE AN UNACCEPTABLE RISK to human health or the environment.

Civil Action in Ontario

If the City of Coquitlam proceeds with PROHIBITION, it may eventually have to face the CONSEQUENCES of its actions.

Anti-Pesticide Government Officials and Activists are now facing these CONSEQUENCES in jurisdictions like the Province of Ontario.

In January 2010, the Professional Lawn Care Industry outlined the LIST OF CHARGES against the Government of Ontario and the Ministry of the Environment.

Additionally, individual Anti-Pesticide Activists also faced FRAUD CHARGES under the Canadian Criminal Code.

NORAHG

The LEGAL CHALLENGE was organized by Jeffrey P. Lowes and M-REP Communications.

Summary of alleged offences —

- 133 charges and 29 culprits
- Conspiring to prevent the supply of approved pest control products
- Defrauding access to products
- Impeding access to approved pest control products
- Misleading with peer-reviewed assessments, reports, and studies
- Presenting false and misleading information
- Undermining the people and companies in Professional Lawn Care Industry.

By August 2010, M-REP Communications commenced CIVIL ACTION to recover the HORRENDOUS LOSSES caused by the PROHIBITION CONSPIRACY.

Now that the Ontario General Election concluded in October 2011, M-REP Communications resumed the CIVIL ACTION.

Jeffrey Lowes — August 21st, 2011

On August 21st, 2011, Jeffrey P. Lowes, of M-REP Communications, in the Toronto Sun newspaper, said politics, not science, is driving the Ontario PROHIBITION of pest control products in the Urban Landscape.

The Government of Ontario was more interested in appeasing lobbyists and environmental groups than developing policy based on facts, he said.

Lowes said he was preparing LEGAL CHALLENGES TO THE ONTARIO PROHIBITION on behalf of Professional Lawn Care companies struggling to do their jobs with Green Alternatives that are largely INEFFECTIVE.

NORAHG

According to Lowes, when customers can't get the results they want from Professional Lawn Care companies, they cancel their contracts and buy the banned products themselves.

Lowes estimated that PROHIBITION cost Professional Lawn Care companies 350 MILLION DOLLARS PER YEAR.

One firm was TERRORIZED WITH FINES WORTH TENS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS for using up a banned product left in its inventory, he said.

According to Lowes, referring to the CONTROVERSIAL Golf Industry EXCEPTION to PROHIBITION —

« That's why the lawn care companies are scared because they've not only made a law based on FALSE MEDICAL REPORTS, the ministry of the environment has an enforcement branch that is basically walking around in JACK-BOOTS, you know, prosecuting anyone that has a nice lawn. Unless, of course, you own a GOLF COURSE. »

The Fraser Institute

The City of Coquitlam should listen to The Fraser Institute, an independent non-profit research and educational organization (THINK-TANK) based in Canada that espouses free market principles.

In 2010, The Fraser Institute was ranked No. 1 among 97 THINK-TANKS in Canada, for the third year in a row, in the University of Pennsylvania's Global Go-To THINK-TANK Index, a global survey of close to 1,500 scholars, policy makers, and journalists.

The Fraser Institute was also rated as the only Canadian organization in the Top 25 leading THINK-TANKS in the world in 2010, out of a global group of 6,480 THINK-TANKS.

According to a 2011 media release from The Fraser Institute ...

NORAHG

- British Columbia is considering adopting ILL-CONCEIVED laws restricting pest control product use that DO NOT accurately reflect the current state of scientific knowledge
- A HASTY BAN of pest control products SHOULD NOT BE IMPLEMENTED until the underlying science is conclusive and a comprehensive analysis of the potential side-effects resulting from the BAN has been undertaken
- By BANNING pest control products for cosmetic uses, British Columbia would be following the QUESTIONABLE PRECEDENT several other provinces have set
- NO cause-and-effect relationship between pest control products and cancer has been established scientifically
- 2,4-D, a herbicide used by Professional Lawn Care companies, is in the same cancer-risk category as pickled vegetables and cell-phones
- The Environmental Impact Quotient (EIQ) for SOAP is HIGHER THAN the EIQ for 2,4-D Herbicide.
- A blanket PROHIBITION lacks the careful contrasting of costs and benefits.
- Politicians should avoid enacting POORLY-DESIGNED regulations to control pest control product use that may ultimately prove MORE DAMAGING than the pest control products themselves.

VAST MAJORITY Are OPPOSED To PROHIBITION

The City of Coquitlam should also listen to Canadian Consumer Specialty Products Association (CCSPA).

In 2011, CCSPA conducted a POLL in British Columbia that provided the following conclusions —

- The VAST MAJORITY of residents are OPPOSED to the PROHIBITION of conventional pest control products

NORAHG

- The VAST MAJORITY of residents FAVOUR the continued use of pest control products around their homes and in public green spaces
- The VAST MAJORITY of residents said « *yes* » to conventional pest control products
- Residents are CONFIDENT they can SAFELY USE pest control products
- Residents see the BENEFITS of pest control products
- There is a MISPERCEPTION that most residents want the PROHIBITION of pest control products in the Urban Landscape
- 84 PER CENT of residents WANT INSECT CONTROL on their lawns if products are applied by a Professional Lawn Care company
- 70 PER CENT of residents WANT WEED CONTROL on their lawns if products are applied by a Professional Lawn Care company
- Only an INFINITELY SMALL number of residents say « *no* » to the use of pest control products
- 25 PER CENT of residents WANT PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS NO MATTER WHAT
- Residents want to continue to have the option to use pest control products
- Residents SUPPORT THE CONTINUED USE OF PEST CONTROL PRODUCTS in the Urban Landscape

WILLIAM H. GATHERCOLE AND NORAH G

National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG).

NORAHG represents the VAST SILENT MAJORITY of people in the Green Space Industry who are OPPOSED to PROHIBITION.

NORAHG

NORAHG is a NATIONAL NON-PROFIT NON PARTISAN organization dedicated to reporting the work of RESPECTED and HIGHLY-RATED EXPERTS who promote PESTICIDE REALISM and PESTICIDE TRUTHS.

We are living in the DARK AGE OF ANTI PESTICIDE TERRORISM where sound science is trumped by JUNK SCIENCE and UNVERIFIABLE SECRET EVIDENCE through FABRICATION, INNUENDO, and INTERNET RUMOUR — scientific research PROVES that pest control products CAUSE NO HARM and can be USED SAFELY.

HUJE are enviro-lunatics, anti-pesticide activists, and lawn-haters, who particularly DESPISE the golf industry. There is NO RECOURSE but LITIGATION against these HUJE, as well as CANCELLATION of GOVERNMENT GRANTS and REVOCATION of the TAX-EXEMPT STATUS of their organizations.

NORAHG manages the Library Computer Access and Retrieval System for all Anti Pesticide Terrorist Acts of Subversion.

The NORAHG Library Computer Access and Retrieval System is a VAST ARCHIVE of DOCUMENTS, AUDIO CLIPS, and VIDEOS on ALL Anti-Pesticide Activities has been made AVAILABLE through NORAHG to anyone interested in litigation.

These ARCHIVES contain names, statements, activities, and affiliations of ALL Anti-Pesticide Vermin, including Government Officials, as well as Charitable Prohibition-Terrorist-Organizations that DO NOT DESERVE ANY Tax Exempt Status.

Interested parties need only to send NORAHG their REQUESTS for ANY INFORMATION needed in the War Against Lunatic-Terrorist-PROHIBITION.

When criminal charges for FRAUD and CONSPIRACY are laid, legal experts say that there is sufficient information to lead to a SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION !

FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE GO TO THE PESTICIDE TRUTHS WEBSITES ...

Pesticide Truths is a web-site managed by Uncle Adolph that contains History, Links, News, Audios, Videos, and Media Reports right-off-the-press ...

<http://pesticidetruths.com/>

QUICK DOWNLOAD of The ENTIRE Library of Force Of Nature Reports ...

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/>