

JULY 14th, 2011

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

RESPONSE TO GIDEON FORMAN & BARBARA KAMINSKY

OAK BAY NEWS

VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA

Children Are NOT At Risk

Gideon Forman and Barbara Kaminsky are both GREATLY MISTAKEN by saying that, as a result of the PROHIBITION of pest control products, fewer children will be exposed to some IMAGINARY DANGER.

What DANGER !?!?

Pest control products DO NOT HARM CHILDREN !

Pest control products are applied at VERY LOW DOSES, and DO NOT PRESENT A CONCERN to people ... even to CHILDREN.

Anti-Pesticide Activists like Forman and Kaminsky SCAM and DECEIVE the public by blurting out HOLLOW and DESPICABLY-ALARMIST expressions like « *cancers* » and « *children at risk* » and « *neurological impairment* » and « *reproductive problems* ».

ALLEGATIONS about the IMAGINARY DANGER TO CHILDREN are TOTALLY FALSE, and are UNTRUE MIS-REPRESENTATIONS designed to ALARM AND ENRAGE the public for MONEY AND PROFIT given to Anti-Pesticide Organizations operated by Forman and Kaminsky.

The ASSESSMENT of pest control products by Health Canada takes into consideration sensitive groups, such as CHILDREN, as well as INFANTS and PREGNANT WOMEN.

CHILDREN's physiology, behaviours and habits WHILE PLAYING ON TREATED GRASS are CONSIDERED when determining the safety of pest control products.

EXTRA SAFETY FACTORS are applied by Health Canada to protect CHILDREN, as well as INFANTS and PREGNANT WOMEN.

Pest control products cause NO HARM TO CHILDREN.

Pest control products cause NO HARM, including chronic effects such as CANCER.

In fact, PROHIBITING pest control products under the PRETEXT of protecting children's health is actually HARMFUL TO CHILDREN.

Children AT RISK With PROHIBITION

Pesticide-Free Maintenance creates GARBAGE DUMPS and DANGEROUS PLAYING SURFACES — ESPECIALLY FOR CHILDREN !

PROHIBITING pest control products under the PRETEXT of somehow protecting children's health is actually HARMFUL TO CHILDREN.

There are NO valid reports of pest control product problems with children.

Furthermore, Anti-Pesticide Activists, like Forman and Kaminsky, are willing to COMPROMISE ON THE SAFETY OF CHILDREN who need a CUSHION OF HIGH-QUALITY TURFGRASS when playing and running and falling.

Without conventional pest control products, playing surfaces become thin, and yellow, and OVER-RUN WITH WEEDS, INFESTED WITH INSECTS, and DISMALLY DESTROYED.

Without pest control products, playing surfaces become GARBAGE DUMPS, and are a DANGER for children to play on.

Anti-Pesticide Activists COULD NOT CARE LESS ABOUT CHILDREN who use these DANGEROUS PLAYING SURFACES.

WELL-MAINTAINED, THICKER, GREENER, and PEST-FREE outdoor playing surfaces, like home lawns, public green spaces, and sports fields, have MANY PRACTICAL BENEFITS.

WELL-MAINTAINED turfgrass playing surfaces act as a NATURAL CUSHION.

WELL-MAINTAINED turfgrass is also an EFFECTIVE SPONGE for rain water.

A playing surface OVER-RUN WITH WEEDS and INFESTED WITH INSECTS provides NONE of these benefits.

They are OVER-RUN by Dandelions and other weed pests, and are DESTROYED by INFESTING insect pests like European Chafer.

Pesticide-Free Maintenance represents a HAZARD TO ANYONE USING PLAYING SURFACES, ESPECIALLY CHILDREN.

When it rains, pest-infested playing surfaces become SLIPPERY MUD BOGS.

When dry, pest-infested playing surfaces become AS HARD AS CONCRETE.

Pesticide-Free Maintenance actually HURTS kids.

Falling on SLIPPERY MUD or BAKED SOIL is the direct cause of BROKEN BONES, TWISTED ANKLES, and SKINNED KNEES.

Any trend favouring Pesticide-Free Maintenance will lead to GARBAGE DUMPS, DANGEROUS PLAYING SURFACES, and HURT CHILDREN.

PROHIBITION Is BAD For Business

Forman and Kaminsky are also GREATLY MISTAKEN by saying that the PROHIBITION of pest control products was somehow a benefit to the Professional Lawn Care Industry in jurisdictions outside of British Columbia.

Quite the contrary, PROHIBITION has INFLICTED HORRENDOUS CARNAGE.

PROHIBITION has led to LOSS OF REVENUES, BUSINESS FAILURES, BANKRUPTCY, and UNEMPLOYMENT.

Because of Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION in Halifax Regional Municipality, CUSTOMERS DISINTEGRATED BY OVER 50 PER CENT.

As a sad consequence of Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION in the Province of Quebec, OVER 60 PER CENT OF THE PROFESSIONAL LAWN CARE INDUSTRY WAS ANNIHILATED.

Because of PROHIBITION in Ontario, the Professional Lawn Care Industry LOST OVER 500,000,000 DOLLARS, with UP TO 12,500 UNEMPLOYED.

Because of PROHIBITION in Ontario, ONE-COMPANY-PER-WEEK DISAPPEARS INTO TOTAL OBLIVION.

The SAME fate awaits British Columbia.

NOT a Good Example

According to Forman and Kaminsky, a company enjoyed a 400-per-cent increase in sales and manufacturing when PROHIBITION began in Ontario.

Forman and Kaminsky are both WRONG !

They are referring to Environmental Factor, a supplier of Green Alternatives to conventional pest control products, such as the herbicide Corn Gluten Meal.

It also operates a handful of lawn care company franchises.

The story of Environmental Factor is NOT a good example of BUSINESS GROWTH under the PROHIBITION of pest control products.

This company did NOT have a SUSTAINED 400 per cent increase in sales.

Its so-called growth was ARTIFICIAL because of an infusion of GOVERNMENT GRANTS.

Unfortunately, Environmental Factor's BUSINESS GROWTH SEVERELY DETERIORATED because demand PLUMMETED for Corn Gluten Meal since it does NOT control ANY weeds under ANY circumstances.

Furthermore, several Environmental Factor lawn care franchises fell into BANKRUPTCY or BUSINESS FAILURE.

Ontario Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION was NOT positive for ANYONE, except when they received FREE MONEY from the government.

MYTH About Water

Forman and Kaminsky ALLEGE that the PROHIBITION of pest control products in the Urban Landscape somehow leads to lower concentrations of herbicide in stream water.

This is WRONG !

This allegation is FALSE, MISLEADING, and CONTRADICTS OVER TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF RESEARCH.

Pest control products used in the Urban Landscape DO NOT enter our lakes and rivers through surface run-off and storm sewers.

Moreover, it is a MYTH to believe that ANY PROHIBITION protects the environment.

On the issue of the Province of Ontario, the 2009 PROHIBITION of pest control products DEFINITELY DID NOT improve water quality in streams and rivers.

For more than ten years, well BEFORE the 2009 PROHIBITION, there were CONSISTENTLY LOW LEVELS of pest control products in Ontario streams.

ALMOST UNDETECTABLE LEVELS of pest control products were found in Ontario streams EVEN BEFORE the 2009 PROHIBITION.

Both before and after the 2009 PROHIBITION, the presence of pest control products were measured in the PARTS PER TRILLION RANGE and WELL BELOW water quality guidelines.

In fact, previous studies have shown that, for more than ten years, there have been CONSISTENTLY LOW LEVELS of pest control products in Ontario streams.

The 2009 Ontario PROHIBITION did NOT protect the environment.

Enviro-Polls DEBUNKED

According to Enviro-Polls ORCHESTRATED by Anti-Pesticide Activists like Forman and Kaminsky, 7 of every 10 British Columbians support a PROHIBITION of pest control products.

This is WRONG !

Enviro-Polls showing resident-support for the PROHIBITION of pest control products have been DEBUNKED.

Such Enviro-Polls lead to MIS-PERCEPTION about these issues because they are BOUGHT AND PAID FOR by Anti-Pesticide Organizations operated by Forman and Kaminsky.

Moreover, Anti-Pesticide Activists did NOT like the 2011 poll results that basically and effectively CONTRADICTED their own BOGUS-Enviro-Polls.

According to the MORE LEGITIMATE POLL conducted by Canadian Consumer Specialty Products Association (CCSPA) in British Columbia ...

- The VAST MAJORITY of residents are OPPOSED to the PROHIBITION of conventional pest control products
- The VAST MAJORITY of residents FAVOUR the continued use of pest control products around their homes and in public green spaces
- The VAST MAJORITY of residents said « *yes* » to conventional pest control products
- Residents are CONFIDENT they can SAFELY USE pest control products
- Only an INFINITELY SMALL number of residents say « *no* » to the use of pest control products

Prohibition NOT Necessary

Any PROHIBITION of pest control products is NOT JUSTIFIED because STRICT REGULATIONS based on scientific research already PROTECT our health and our environment.

Incredibly, some jurisdictions ARBITRARILY PROHIBIT pest control products that are, in fact, ...

- Health–Canada–Approved
- Federally–Legal
- Safe For Children *
- Scientifically–Safe
- Practically–Non–Toxic
- Cause No Harm
- Do Not Cause Cancer
- Do Not Run–Off Into Lakes and Streams
- Enhance the Urban Landscape
- Good for the Environment

* Except when stored improperly in the home.

Overall, when pest control products are used properly, there are NO harmful irreversible effects to health and the environment !

WILLIAM H. GATHERCOLE AND NORAH G

National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green Space Industry (NORAHG).

NORAHG is a NATIONAL NON–PROFIT NON–PARTISAN organization dedicated to representing the work of RESPECTED and HIGHLY–RATED EXPERTS who promote PESTICIDE–REALISM and PESTICIDE–TRUTHS — scientific research PROVES that pest control products CAUSE NO HARM and can be USED SAFELY.

HUJE are enviro–lunatics, anti–pesticide activists, and lawn–haters, who particularly DESPISE the golf industry. They are Hateful Underhanded Jokes as Environmentalists who have been WRONG FOR OVER 50 YEARS.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE GO TO THE PESTICIDE TRUTHS WEB–SITE ...

Pesticide Truths is a web–site managed by Uncle Adolph that contains History, Links, News, Audios, Videos, ALL Force Of Nature reports, and information right–off–the–press, in Black & White Documents.

<http://pesticidetruths.com/>

&

<http://uncleadolph.blogspot.com/>

QUICK DOWNLOAD of ALL Force Of Nature reports in Full Colour Documents

<http://pesticidetruths.com/toc/>