Environmental-terror-groups wish to have « cosmetic pesticides » BANNED. These groups base these demands on scientific data claimed to support their case that these pesticides are problematic to humans, animals, and the environment. They also demand these BANS be put in effect based on the PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE, that they may, or they might cause cancer, or some other disease in humans.

They CLAIM that there is scientific data which supports the idea that « cosmetic pesticides » exposure has been linked to adult and childhood cancers. What should be done with these studies? Any, and all data which conclusively shows a link to human harm, ought to be brought to Pest Management Regulatory Agency of Health Canada, the government body that regulates pest control products. P.M.R.A. has 350 qualified scientists to review such evidence. Has this been done? I am assuming it has.

Environmental-terror-groups create fear in the Canadian public by stating that « cosmetic pesticides » MAY cause cancer, or any number of other illnesses. You will not be able to find a statement from a QUALIFIED scientist that says that they do. But, repeat it often enough and it may begin to ring as true. Creating this anxiety, and then offering a solution such as BANNING « cosmetic pesticides » is INSIDIOUS, particularly since these products are scientifically safe.

Environmental-terror-groups have created a WATERED DOWN VERSION of the United Nations Precautionary Principle: « When the activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, precautionary measures should be taken ». The U.N. version of the principle ( the Rio Declaration of 1992 ) declares that the activity must create « serious and irreversible » harm to be considered under this principle. This more sensible definition is NOT the one generally used by those using SCARE TACTICS. Simply paraphrased, the Enviro-Maniac Principle states that if something threatens to do harm, ELIMINATE IT. Such an all-encompassing statement, if taken literally, would have us BANNING LADDERS, since you MIGHT fall off, and would NEVER have allowed airplanes to get off the ground, since you MIGHT crash.

It is the leading competent authority, the regulatory body of the P.M.R.A., and not UNQUALIFIED anti–pesticide groups, which should be in charge of deciding what products are safe enough when applied properly.

The method of misleading the public in a debate based on fear is SHAMEFUL, and BULLYING. Don't lose your right on unfounded science.
The Whole Truth from an Independent Perspective

We must defend the industry, whatever the cost may be!