In the 9/11 Era of Environmental Terror

Environmental–Terror–Lunatics rely upon lies, fear–mongering, misconceptions, coercion, threats, deceptions, terror, and paranoid conspiracies.

They concoct fraudulent and non-existent alarmist issues such as the so–called problems with DDT as well as the imaginary threat of pest control products!

These Enviro–Basterds cannot be trusted!
The United Nations is merely a conduit for anti-DDT interests
Malaria can be controlled in many ways, depending on the type of disease and the type of mosquito spreading the disease.

Key points in this Outlook:

- UN agencies are misleading the public by claiming that malaria can be controlled without insecticides, notably DDT. The stated aim is to stop DDT use globally by 2020.
- UN agencies are committing scientific fraud by deliberately and incorrectly interpreting data on malaria control using non-insecticide methods.
- While DDT is no panacea, it is still a critical weapon in the battle against malaria and other insect-borne diseases.
The most common methods are the use of Insecticide–Treated Bed Nets (ITNs) and also Indoor Residual Insecticide Spraying (known as IRS).

It can also be controlled through the prophylactic use of anti-malarial drugs by at-risk populations and tourists.

All three methods (ITNs, IRS, and drugs) work with varying degrees of success and at varying costs.

For many environmentalists and environmental activist groups, the campaigns against DDT in the 1960s and 1970s and the ultimate banning of DDT for most uses in the United States by the Environmental Protection Agency in 1972 were DEFINING MOMENTS.

In some cases, ENTIRE ORGANIZATIONS WERE FOUND ON THE DDT ISSUE, and SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS OF MONEY were raised in the fight against this chemical.

Any revelation that DDT may not actually be as harmful as supposed would INFLECT GREAT REPUTATIONAL HARM, so it is little wonder that many groups and individuals continue to fight hard against DDT and those who defend it.

For over seventy years, DDT has been a vital insecticide in the battle against disease.

Yet it is vilified for largely illegitimate concerns about its impact on the environment and human health.

Through a mix of environmental fervor, self-interest, and disregard for evidence-based policy, United Nations (UN) agencies are misleading the public about DDT — mistakenly claiming it is not needed and can be eliminated globally by 2020.

Such claims must be withdrawn, and the aggressive timeline for the elimination of DDT must be shelved.
DDT is deployed only through Indoor Residual Insecticide Spraying, and it has been REMARKABLY EFFECTIVE IN CONTROLLING MALARIA FOR OVER SEVENTY YEARS.

Unfortunately, it is often vilified as a cause of environmental harm, and this claim persists even though only small amounts are sprayed on inner house walls for malaria control.

MOST OF THE ALLEGATIONS MADE ABOUT ITS EFFECTS ARE FALSE.

For instance, it is alleged that DDT brought the American Bald Eagle to the brink of extinction, yet the eagle was endangered many years before DDT was introduced, and populations recovered during years of heavy DDT use.

The Bald Eagle's decline was caused by shooting and poisoning as well as land use changes, and its recovery was due to improved and enforced legal protections and specific activities to reintroduce it.

Similar evidence exists for other bird species supposedly harmed by DDT, such as the Peregrine Falcon.

Furthermore, the World Health Assembly, the governing body of the World Health Organization, must prioritize the needs of malarial countries ahead of the political agenda of UN agencies and set a positive agenda for the use of DDT and the development of much-needed new public-health insecticides.

Independent donors should continue to fund DDT use where needed for malaria control.

The campaign against DDT means it is used far less than is efficient or desired by malaria-control programs.
Many believe that DDT is linked to a wide range of human ailments; however, after many decades of use and thousands of studies, there is still NO EVIDENCE to satisfy the most basic epidemiologic criteria to prove that DDT is harmful to human health.

Yet thanks to years of negative and biased publicity about DDT, these fears persist — such allegations of harm have limited DDT’s modern use.

Malaria scientists and officials with responsibility for malaria-control programs still see GREAT VALUE in DDT.

Richard Nchabi Kamwi, M.D., Minister of Health for Namibia, writes —

« Unfortunately because of the stigma associated with insecticides and DDT in particular, we are often left to defend these insecticides alone. »

« If we are to achieve our goals of malaria elimination though, we are going to need a more robust and global effort to defend the tools we need to get there. »
Leslie Ramsammy, M.D., Minister of Health for Guyana, explains that ... « DDT is the most effective and affordable chemical we have to control malaria. »

« The global response to the burgeoning malaria rates in the world should allow for DDT residual spraying. »

Given these statements and DDT's PROVEN EFFECTIVENESS IN MALARIA CONTROL, one might imagine that the United Nations would be working to secure the rights of sovereign nations to use the most appropriate public-health tools for their circumstances.

Regrettably, that is not the case.

DDT use is not governed by a rational debate about its merits amongst relatively balanced, if opposing, interests, but by vested interests opposed to its use.
Far from being an impartial arbiter and defender of sound scientific evaluation in the debate over DDT, the UN is merely a conduit for anti-DDT interests.
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Let’s Pause ...
And Look At Some Alarming Statistics

It has been predicted that so-called GLOBAL WARMING will affect the Arctic, as well as the African and Asian countries, North America, and Europe.

Consequently, it is expected that dozens of millions of refugees will be created, and that famine will affect 80 to 200 million people.

We should all be reminded that many experts view GLOBAL WARMING as purely SPECULATIVE.

We are also advised to get a grasp on factual existing problems that plague our entire planet.

As we speak, we have real problems, with poverty, AIDS, polluted drinking water, and Malaria, which are afflicting and killing many times more people than global warming ever will.

Hunger is the most extreme form of poverty.

Presently, 854 million people across the world are hungry.

Every day, almost 16,000 children DIE from hunger-related causes — almost 6 MILLION CHILDREN DIE ANNUALLY due to hunger.

The latest statistics on the world epidemic of AIDS and HIV indicate that 39½ million people are living with it.

AIDS deaths for 2006 were 2.9 million, despite recent improvements to access to anti-retroviral treatment.

Equally serious is the fact that a world-wide shortage of fresh drinking water affects forty per cent of the planet’s population.

Each year, 11 MILLION PEOPLE DIE of diseases related to water that is not clean enough for consumption.

Finally, some observers believe that Malaria acutely afflicts MORE THAN 515 MILLION PEOPLE PER YEAR.
515 MILLION PEOPLE is MORE PEOPLE than live in Canada, the United States, and Mexico, combined.

Two-thirds of all Malaria cases occur in Africa.

Additionally, Malaria causes more than 1 MILLION DEATHS PER YEAR, principally among children under the age of five years and pregnant women.

At least eighty-six percent of these deaths are in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Globally, an estimated 3,000 women, children, and infants DIE from Malaria every day.

Twelve billion dollars per year is lost to Malaria.

In some countries, more people die of Malaria than HIV/AIDS — and recently, a new study showed that people who contract Malaria become more likely to spread HIV.

For over one-half century, DDT has been used as part of the solution to one of the world’s great problems.

Through the policies of depraved indifference of Environmental Terror Organizations, DDT has been prohibited in a manner that can only be described as «Eco-Manslaughter».

**ERADICATING MALARIA WITH DDT**

Malaria has wrought an enormous economic toll, by incapacitating otherwise productive people.

The burden of Malaria costs Sub-Saharan Africa an estimated twelve billion dollars per year.

Thousands survive the disease with brain damage, thus keeping millions at home to care for them.

It is the principal reason why people in Africa are living in abject poverty.

Both North America and Europe have not harboured Malarial mosquitoes since the 1940s.

This was accomplished through the use of a range of public health measures, as well as generally increasing health and living standards.

In fact, the beginning of the decline of Malaria was due principally to the drainage of swampland and the removal of mill-ponds.
It had been known since 1914 that Malaria could be controlled by fluctuating water levels.

Nonetheless, DDT contributed to the final eradication of Malaria in the developed world.

In 1955, the World Health Organization (WHO) began a program to eradicate Malaria throughout the ENTIRE world.

The program RELIED GREATLY upon the application of DDT to control mosquitoes, with a practice called DDT Residual House Spraying.

As a result of this program, by 1967, Malaria was eradicated from all developed countries where Malaria was endemic.

Large areas of tropical Asia and Latin America were freed from the risk of infection.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, the campaign was only launched in three countries since it was not considered feasible in the others.

Swaziland and Mozambique are examples of countries that have very successfully REDUCED Malaria infestations with DDT.

Throughout the years, spectacular DECREASES in Malaria infections and deaths were seen after implementing an extensive anti-mosquito program with DDT.

Here are five examples.

- **India**  ■ 1945
  - Almost 1 million Malaria I deaths reported
  - **India**  ■ 1960
    - Only a few thousand cases were reported

- **Republic of China (Taiwan)**  ■ 1945
  - 1 million cases of MALARIA. DDT was soon adopted
  - **Republic of China**  ■ 1969
    - Only 9 cases were reported, and shortly thereafter, Malaria was eradicated from the island

- **Skri Lanka (Ceylon)**  ■ 1946
  - 28 million cases of Malaria
  - **Skri Lanka**  ■ 1961
    - Only 110 cases were reported
  - **Skri Lanka**  ■ 1969
    - The DDT program was halted, and Malaria rebounded with 2½ million cases
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- South Africa (KwaZulu Natal Province)
  - 1996
  - DDT was stopped and the number of MALARIAL deaths rose from 8,000 to 42,000

- Venezuela
  - 1943
  - 817,000 Malaria deaths reported

  - 1958
  - Only 800 cases were reported

In 1970, the U.S. National Academy of Sciences summed up the situation with the following excerpt from their book Life Sciences. —

"To only a few chemicals does man owe as great a debt as to DDT. [ ... ] In little more than two decades, DDT has prevented 500 million human deaths, due to MALARIA, that otherwise would be inevitable."

The situation concerning Malaria eradication can be summarized with the three following points —

- DDT contributed to the final eradication of Malaria in the developed world
- Spectacular decreases in Malaria infections and deaths were seen after implementing anti-mosquito program using DDT
- Our modern day world of politicized science refuses to admit that DDT has prevented hundreds of millions of deaths with its life-saving eradication of human diseases

For over one-half century, DDT has been used as part of the solution to one of the world’s great problems.

Through the policies of depraved indifference of Environmental-Terror-Organizations, DDT will be prohibited in a manner that can only be described as «Eco-Manslaughter».

Additionally, these Enviro-Terror-Organizations prefer seeing money wasted on investigating myths like so-called GLOBAL WARMING rather than solving some REAL world problems, such as with POVERTY, AIDS, POLLUTED DRINKING WATER, and MALARIA, which are afflicting and killing many times more people than GLOBAL WARMING ever will.
DDT was safe to use even on the farm
Only deprived lunatics refuse DDT