

NORAHG

SEPTEMBER 21ST, 2012

LETTER TO THE EDITOR — MEDIA RELEASE

RESPONSE TO PATTI MOORE (CANADIAN CANCER SOCIETY)

VERNONMORNINGSTAR.COM (VERNON, BRITISH COLUMBIA)

BCLOCALNEWS (BRITISH COLUMBIA)

Outrage Over Money That Could Help the Sick

Health Care Observers have expressed outrage over the MISUSE OF DONATION MONEY by Canadian Cancer Society.

Canadian Cancer Society must provide INFORMATION AND CLARITY on its SQUANDERING and MISAPPROPRIATION OF MILLIONS OF DONATION DOLLARS.

Money that COULD HELP THE SICK is being MIS-USED to DISCREDIT Health Canada and to PROHIBIT products that have already been SCIENTIFICALLY-APPROVED by the HIGHEST QUALITY EXPERTS.

For example, during summer 2012, the society's 8-week anti-pesticide advertising campaign in newspapers across British Columbia cost an estimated \$250,000.

For example, in the past decade, Canadian Cancer Society has spent over \$5,000,000 on needless anti-pesticide campaigns.

Canadian Cancer Society claims it fund-raises for only one reason — to raise critical funds for its mission of eradicating cancer and improving the quality of life for those living with it.

Health Care Observers are concerned that the society is NOT LIVING UP TO ITS MISSION, and the campaign money could have otherwise been used to save lives, prevent cancer, and help those who are living with the disease.

Health Care Observers are also concerned that Canadian Cancer Society is CONCOCTING IMAGINARY DANGER about pest control products by alleging that it consults with the HIGHEST QUALITY SCIENTIFIC EXPERTS.

NORAHG

Who Are The Experts In Favour Of Pesticide Bans ?!?!

According to Patti Moore, a non-expert lobbyist paid by Canadian Cancer Society —

The Canadian Cancer Society's positions are based on the HIGHEST QUALITY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND EXPERT CONSENSUS. [?!?!]

The society also consults external EXPERTS to assist in interpreting the research in their field of expertise. [?!?!]

Who are the experts consulted by Canadian Cancer Society ?!?!

Dr. Leonard Ritter

The HIGHEST QUALITY SCIENTIFIC EXPERT in the nation is Dr. Leonard Ritter — and he was NEVER CONSULTED by Canadian Cancer Society.

According to Dr. Ritter, the nation's LEADING EXPERT IN THE FIELD OF TOXICOLOGY AND PESTICIDE SAFETY —

PESTICIDES DO NOT CAUSE CANCER, and there is no increase in cancer deaths in Canada when you consider that the population is aging and increasing.

THE WISDOM OF RITTER, LEONARD - PESTICIDES DON'T CAUSE CANCER - MYTH-BUSTING - 2012 01 26 (Report)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/Force-Of-Nature-Cancer-2012-01-26-Myth-BUSTING-Pesticides-Dont-Cause-Cancer-Ritter-pdf-300-dpi.pdf>

Dr. Keith R. Solomon

Another HIGHEST QUALITY SCIENTIFIC EXPERT in the nation is Dr. Keith R. Solomon — and he was NEVER CONSULTED by Canadian Cancer Society.

According to Dr. Solomon, the nation's LEADING EXPERT IN THE FIELD OF ENVIRONMENTAL BIOLOGY, TOXICOLOGY, AND PESTICIDE SAFETY —

NORAHG

There is NO EVIDENCE of ANY causal link between pesticide use and cancer.

THE WISDOM OF SOLOMON, KEITH R. - BRITISH COLUMBIA SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON COSMETIC PESTICIDES - 2011 11 08 (Report)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/Force-Of-Nature-Cancer-2011-11-08-Wisdom-of-Solomons-BC-Special-Committee-on-Cosmetic-Pesticides-pdf-300-dpi.pdf>

THE WISDOM OF SOLOMONS, KEITH R. AND SANDRA (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-1Lk>

The Fraser Institute

The HIGHEST QUALITY THINK-TANK in the nation is The Fraser Institute — and it was NEVER CONSULTED by Canadian Cancer Society.

The Fraser Institute, one of the top 25 leading think-tanks in the world, has said that a British Columbia pesticide ban is ILL-CONCEIVED, and does not accurately reflect the current state of scientific knowledge.

THE WISDOM OF THE FRASER INSTITUTE (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-2bj>

Highest Quality Scientific Health and Policy Experts

Dr. Leonard Ritter, Dr. Keith R. Solomon, and The Fraser Institute have THE HIGHEST QUALITY ESSENTIAL EXPERTISE on pest control products, and NOT Patti Moore, and NOT Canadian Cancer Society.

If Canadian Cancer Society does not employ the expertise of the HIGHEST QUALITY SCIENTIFIC EXPERTS AND INSTITUTIONS, then who are the experts consulted by Canadian Cancer Society ?!?!

Are there other experts ?

Yes.

And NONE WERE EVER CONSULTED by Canadian Cancer Society.

NORAHG

The following LEADING EXPERTS have recognized expertise, training and background in matters concerning pest control products.

They are RESPECTED and HIGHLY RATED EXPERTS who promote ENVIRONMENTAL REALISM and PESTICIDE TRUTHS.

These EXPERTS have publicly stated, in one form or another, that pest control products are SCIENTIFICALLY-SAFE — and they were NEVER CONSULTED by Canadian Cancer Society —

- AMES, Bruce N., Professor
- BRANHAM, Bruce, Professor
- DOLL, Richard, The Greatest Epidemiologist of the 20th Century
- DOST, Frank N., Doctor
- DRYSDALE, Art C., Horticulturist
- EDWARDS, J. Gordon, Doctor
- FELSOT, Allan S., Professor
- HEPWORTH, Lorne, Doctor
- HOLLAND, John J., Communications Director
- MILLOY, Steven J., Juris Doctor
- RITTER, Leonard, Doctor
- ROSS, Gilbert L., Doctor
- SCHWARCZ, Joseph A., Doctor
- SOLOMON, Keith R., Professor
- STEPHENSON, Gerald R., Professor
- SWITZER, Clayton M., Doctor
- The 350 EXPERT SCIENTISTS at HEALTH CANADA
- The THOUSANDS OF EXPERT SCIENTISTS at U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
- WHELAN, Elizabeth M., Doctor
- WOOD, Joel, Doctor

These people have THE ESSENTIAL EXPERTISE on pest control products, and NOT Patti Moore, and NOT Canadian Cancer Society.

NORAHG

NO Conclusive Link Between Pesticides and Cancer

According to Patti Moore, the non-expert lobbyist from Canadian Cancer Society —

There are some situations where the evidence of harm may be inconclusive. [?!?!]

In these cases, if the evidence is growing, suggestive and the threat of harm to human health exists, the society bases decision-making using the precautionary principle. [WRONG !]

In fact, Canadian Cancer Society's own web-sites STATE REPEATEDLY that scientific research DOES NOT PROVIDE A CONCLUSIVE LINK between pest control products and cancer.

What is Moore's problem ?!?!?!?

Why is Moore WASTING EVERYBODY'S TIME on the issue of pest control products ?

ORGANIZATION - CANADIAN CANCER SOCIETY - NO DIRECT PROOF PESTICIDES CAUSE CANCER (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-4qC>

Pitiful Number Of Studies

According to Patti Moore —

More than 100 STUDIES [?!?!] have been evaluated ... and the weight of the evidence links exposure to pesticides with certain types of cancer. [WRONG!]

A MERE 100 studies ?!?! This is a pitifully small number of studies.

Moore ALLEGES having A MERE 100 UNDISCLOSED, NON-EXISTENT, AND UNVERIFIABLE SECRET EVIDENCE about the safety of pest control products.

NORAHG

How could Moore obtain studies that have somehow been withheld from the entire world ?

Moreover, pest control products like 2,4-D Herbicide have been the subject of over 40,000 STUDIES.

40,000 !

Furthermore, since 1988, The Industry Task Force II On 2,4-D Research Data has submitted to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency over 250 STUDIES concerning mammalian and environmental toxicology, environmental fate and residues.

2,4-D is probably THE MOST studied and best understood of ANY chemical ... not just pesticide ... in existence.

2,4-D HERBICIDE (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-1J8>

2,4-D HERBICIDE - THE INDUSTRY TASK FORCE II ON 2,4-D RESEARCH DATA - REVISED WEB-SITE - MYTHS VERSUS FACTS - UPDATE - 2012 09 00 (Reports)

<http://wp.me/p1jq40-57Y>

Trend Against Pesticide Bans

Government Officials are now INCLINED TO AVOID Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION for the following reasons —

- **BAN FAILURES** — Because of Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITIONS, green alternative pesticides and practices were shown to be DISMAL FAILURES.

CARNAGE LEADING TO BAN FAILURES - FAILURE OF ORGANIC PESTICIDE-FREE MAINTENANCE (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-445>

CARNAGE LEADING TO BAN FAILURES - FAILURE OF ORGANIC PESTICIDE-FREE MAINTENANCE - MORE DETAILS (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-3yl>

NORAHG

- **CHILDREN SUFFERING INJURIES** — Because of Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITIONS, children are AT HIGH RISK of SLIPPING AND TRIPPING and becoming SERIOUSLY HURT.

CARNAGE LEADING TO CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUFFERING INJURIES - CHILDREN ARE NOT AT RISK WITH PESTICIDES (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-2ha>

- **GARBAGE DUMP GREEN SPACES** — Because of Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITIONS, public and residential green spaces turn into GARBAGE DUMPS.

CARNAGE LEADING TO GARBAGE DUMP GREEN SPACES (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-44g>

CARNAGE LEADING TO GARBAGE DUMP GREEN SPACES (Photo Gallery)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-4z3>

- **STUNNINGLY EXORBITANT COSTS** — Because of Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITIONS, municipalities were/are forced to spend STUNNINGLY EXORBITANT amounts of money.

There are examples where Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION led to municipalities being forced to spend STUNNINGLY EXORBITANT amounts of money

- Coquitlam (British Columbia)
- Cornwall (Ontario)
- Delta (Ladner, British Columbia)
- Hamilton (Ontario)
- Kelowna (British Columbia)
- Kingston (Ontario)
- Langley (British Columbia)
- Lawrence (Kansas)
- Lincoln City (Oregon)
- London (Ontario)
- Mississauga (Ontario)
- Oshawa (Ontario)
- Portland (Oregon)
- Revelstoke (British Columbia)
- Richmond (British Columbia)
- Sudbury (Ontario)
- Surrey (British Columbia)
- Vernon (Coldstream, British Columbia).

CARNAGE LEADING TO STUNNINGLY EXORBITANT COSTS (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-43V>

NORAHG

- **TREND AGAINST PESTICIDE BANS** — Government Officials realize that PROHIBITION is NOT necessary because STRICT REGULATIONS based on scientific research already PROTECT our health and our environment.

There are examples of a REAL TREND AGAINST Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION • Alberta (Province) • Ashland (Oregon) • Beaumont (Alberta) • British Columbia (Province) • Calgary (Alberta) • Campbell River (British Columbia) • Chicago (Illinois) • Durango (Colorado) • Edmonton (Alberta) • Everett (Washington) • Guelph (Ontario) • Guelph-Eramosa (Ontario) • Kamloops (British Columbia) • Kelowna (British Columbia) • Merritt (British Columbia) • Portage La Prairie (Manitoba) • Quebec (Prohibition Invalidated When Lawsuit Defeated Ban) • Regina (Saskatchewan) • Rossland (British Columbia) • Salmon Arm (British Columbia) • Scarborough (Maine) • Saint John's (Newfoundland & Labrador) • Steinbach (Manitoba) • Vernon (British Columbia).

VICTORIES AGAINST TERRORISTS ! - TREND TOWARDS PESTICIDE BANS HAS STOPPED (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-1JO>

Public Support !?!?

The public DOES NOT WANT PESTICIDE BANS.

The ONLY people who want Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION in provinces like British Columbia are a SMALL GROUP of activists like Moore with VESTED INTERESTS defended by the IMAGINARY DANGER of pest control products.

British Columbians DO NOT SUPPORT A BAN

Ontarians DO NOT SUPPORT A BAN

NORAHG

British Columbians DO NOT SUPPORT A BAN

In BRITISH COLUMBIA, the public DOES NOT WANT PESTICIDE BANS.

A recent POLL conducted by Canadian Consumer Specialty Products Association (CCSPA) showed that the VAST MAJORITY of residents in British Columbia are OPPOSED to the PROHIBITION of conventional pest control products, and they actually FAVOUR the continued use of these products around their homes and in public green spaces.

FORCE OF NATURE --THE WISDOM OF CANADIAN CONSUMER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS ASSOCIATION -- 2011 08 17 -- POLL INDICATES RESIDENTS WANT PESTICIDES (Report)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/Force-Of-Nature-The-Wisdom-of-Can.-Consumer-Specialty-Products-Asn-2011-08-17-Poll-Indicates-Residents-WANT-Pesticides.pdf-300 dpi.pdf>

PESTICIDE TRUTHS -- 2012 05 06 - BRITISH COLUMBIA PESTICIDE BAN -- PUBLIC REJECTS PROHIBITION -- BANS CAUSE CATASTROPHIC CARNAGE (Report)

<http://pesticidetruths.com/2012/05/09/british-columbia-pesticide-ban-public-rejects-prohibition-bans-cause-catastrophic-carnage-2012-05-06/>

FORCE OF NATURE -- BRITISH COLUMBIA CONSPIRACY -- 2012 05 06 -- LETTER TO THE EDITOR -- SPALDING - PUBLIC REJECTS BANS -- RESPONSE

<http://pesticidetruths.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/Force-Of-Nature-Letter-to-the-Editor-2012-05-06-Spalding-Public-Rejects-Bans-Carnage-BC-RESPONSE.pdf>

The public in British Columbia DOES NOT SUPPORT A PESTICIDE BAN.

Ontarians DO NOT SUPPORT A BAN

In ONTARIO, the public DOES NOT WANT PESTICIDE BANS.

According to another recent Ontario poll, MORE THAN HALF of Ontario residents believe that the provincial government DID NOT DO THE RIGHT THING by implementing Anti-Pesticide PROHIBITION.

Ontario residents WOULD RATHER HAVE THE BAN SCRAPPED OR MODIFIED.

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO - 2011 POLL REGARDING PROHIBITION (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-3kB>

The public in Ontario DOES NOT SUPPORT A PESTICIDE BAN.

NORAHG

Bottom Line

ALLEGATIONS from Patti Moore about the IMAGINARY DANGER of pest control products are TOTALLY FALSE, and are UNTRUE MIS-REPRESENTATIONS designed to alarm and enrage the public and enhance the BUSINESS, CASH-FLOW and PROFIT of Canadian Cancer Society.

Moore has NO SCIENTIFIC PROOF that pest control products cause cancer.

There is NOT ONE KNOWN ILLNESS or DEATH from the proper use of pest control products used in the Urban Landscape.

There is NO direct link between pest control products and cancer.

Pest control products CAUSE NO HARM, including chronic effects such as CANCER.

Any PROHIBITION against pest control products is NOT JUSTIFIED.

Patti Moore should Get Off Our grASS !

WILLIAM H. GATHERCOLE AND NORAH G

NORAHG is the National Organization Responding Against HUJE that seek to harm the Green space industry.

NORAHG is a NATIONAL NON PROFIT NON PARTISAN organization that does not accept money from corporations or governments or trade associations, and represents NO VESTED INTERESTS WHATSOEVER.

THE PESTICIDE TRUTHS WEB-SITE

<http://pesticidetruths.com/>

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR / LETTERS OF COMPLAINT (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-1J6>

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR - RESPONDING TO SCREECHING MONKEYS (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-4hi>

THE COMPLETE LIBRARY OF REPORTS & REFERENCES (Web-Page)

<http://wp.me/P1jq40-2rr>